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Foreword

Hertsmere Borough Council aims to produce a Local Development Framework (LDF) which is not only distinctive to the Borough, but also provides an effective response to local issues and priorities.

A key part of that process is the preparation of the LDF Core Strategy, which will set the parameters for development in the Borough until 2021.

In February 2006, Hertsmere Borough Council published an LDF 'Issues and Options' report which set out a range of options for how the Borough could develop over the next 15 years. The Council recognises the importance of the priorities which were identified during the community and stakeholder consultation undertaken last year, which informed and guided the preparation of this Preferred Options document.

This Preferred Options stage of the Core Strategy puts forward for public discussion the preferred approach to the spatial planning of the Borough. It has been prepared within the context of national and regional policy requirements, but the Council remains committed to preparing a document which enables the Borough’s local requirements to be met.

After the publication of these Preferred Options, the Core Strategy will be reviewed in the light of the responses received to this consultation before being submitted to the Government for public examination.

I hope that you will take the opportunity to examine the options being put forward here and look forward to seeing what all people with an interest in Hertsmere’s future have to say about the future of the Borough.

Councillor Hannah David
Portfolio Holder for Planning and Enforcement
1. **Introduction and Context**

**Purpose and status of this document**

1.1 This development document has been produced by Hertsmere Borough Council as a basis for consulting on the second stage of the Hertsmere Local Development Framework (LDF). It builds on the earlier Issues and Options report and consultation undertaken and presents a range of policy options for the Hertsmere Core Strategy.

1.2 Although this document proposes a set of policy options for the Hertsmere Core Strategy, it has not yet been approved by the Council for submission for public examination or for interim development control use. The Document has been published for consultation purposes and, in the meantime, all existing Local Plan policies will continue to apply.

**About the Hertsmere Local Development Framework**

1.3 The LDF for Hertsmere will replace the current Local Plan which was adopted in 2003. It is being prepared under recent legislation, the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, which requires the preparation of a portfolio of separate documents containing policies and proposals for the use of land in the Borough over the next decade. Together these documents will be known as the Hertsmere LDF.

1.4 The Core Strategy is the key statutory Development Plan Document (DPD) in that it sets out the Council’s vision and strategy for the Borough from now until 2021 and sets out a variety of overarching policies to guide future development and land-use in the Borough. It also sets the parameters for further policy documents: the Site Allocations and Development Control Policies DPDs, along with supporting Supplementary Planning Documents. Once adopted, the three DPDs will supersede the entire Local Plan.

1.5 The Council has published a Local Development Scheme which comprises a detailed timetable and project plan for the preparation and eventual adoption of the three DPDs. The DPDs are being prepared alongside a number of Supplementary Planning Documents which will provide additional detail and guidance in respect of key policies. Table 1 summarises the key documents to be prepared.

1.6 The Core Strategy will replace a significant number of existing planning policies in the Hertsmere Local Plan, once it is adopted. This Preferred Options Document details the extent of these changes and the Council’s justification for making them. In due course, the other two DPDs will replace many of the remaining Local Plan policies. However, the adoption of the three DPDs separately and over time, will mean that some Local Plan policies continue to remain in place alongside new DPD policies. The Council will ensure that the status of Local Plan and DPD policies are clearly explained throughout the process of preparing these new policy documents. The Council will also ensure that consultation and participation in the preparation of the DPDs reflects the commitments set out in its Statement of Community Involvement.

1.7 The LDF is based on a comprehensive evidence base, derived from research and data compiled in the form of technical background studies. They include research on brownfield land supply, employment land needs, housing needs, car parking and open space requirements. A number of these studies have now been completed and are available for inspection at the Civic Offices in Borehamwood and, where practical, have been placed on the Council website.
Table 1. Key documents to be prepared as part of the Hertsmere LDF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document Title</th>
<th>Brief Description</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Core Strategy</td>
<td>Sets out the strategic objectives and spatial strategy for the area up to 2021. Key diagram shows relationships to neighbouring areas.</td>
<td>DPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Allocations</td>
<td>Provides site-specific allocations for a range of land uses such as housing, employment, retail, leisure, community uses and urban open spaces. To cover at least a 10 year period.</td>
<td>DPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Control Policies</td>
<td>A suite of generic development control policies, not otherwise covered by other DPDs, which set out the criteria against which planning applications will be considered, e.g. residential amenity, visual impact, nature conservation, highways issues.</td>
<td>DPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning and Design Guide</td>
<td>The guide is required to update current Supplementary Planning Guidance to ensure that policies are implemented in a consistent manner in accordance with principles of good design.</td>
<td>SPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordable Housing</td>
<td>To provide detailed guidance on the application of affordable housing policies.</td>
<td>SPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Standards</td>
<td>Sets out detailed off-street parking standards for residential and non-residential development, including standards for the mobility, as well as guidance on Travel Plans</td>
<td>SPD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife Sites and Biodiversity</td>
<td>Details the extent of designated Wildlife Sites and provides guidance for habitat creation and the implementation of the Hertfordshire Biodiversity Action Plan</td>
<td>SPD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**What is the Core Strategy?**

1.8 As a spatial plan, the Core Strategy seeks to facilitate the land use requirements of local communities and service providers, including those set out in the new Hertsmere Together Community Strategy (2006-2020). The Community Strategy was prepared by a range of partners including the Primary Care Trust, Hertfordshire Constabulary, Hertfordshire County Council and Hertsmere Borough Council and recognises that many of the important issues facing the Borough cannot be tackled fully by one single organisation.

1.9 The Core Strategy deals with those issues that involve the use of land and the movement of people and access to opportunities. That means that it deals with issues such as where new homes are built, how much land needs to be set-aside for business uses and the amount of off-street parking required for new development. It cannot directly deal with non-spatial issues such as GCSE pass rates or the length of hospital waiting lists but can facilitate the land (and in some limited cases the funding) requirements to help deliver these wider community needs, as reflected in the following key Community Strategy objectives:

1. To create a safer environment
2. To improve and sustain the quality of Hertsmere’s environment
3. To promote healthier communities and leisure and cultural opportunities
4. To encourage economic development, lifelong learning, employment and regeneration opportunities
5. To work towards meeting local housing needs
1.10 Each of these Community Strategy objectives contains a series of separate aims to be implemented through individual action plans. Linkages between those Action Plans and LDF policy areas are set out in Appendix 2. The LDF and its constituent documents are one of the main tools for delivering these action plans and Government Guidance states that the Core Strategy should:

- be made up of a spatial vision and strategic objectives for the area;
- draw on any strategies of the local authority and other organisations that have implications for the development and use of land;
- seek to implement the spatial and transport policies of the Regional Spatial Strategy and incorporate its housing requirement;
- set out broad locations for delivering the housing and other strategic development needs such as employment, retail, leisure, community, essential public services and transport development.

(PPS12, paragraphs 2.9 and 2.10)

1.11 The proposed Core Strategy has not been finalised by the Council but this document proposes a range of policy options for its final Core Strategy from now until 2021 within the parameters set by regional and national policy. The Council has already published an Issues and Options report for all three DPDs which generated considerable response and interest. This document has now been prepared for additional community and stakeholder involvement, before the Core Strategy is finalised for submission to the Secretary of State for independent examination later in 2007. It is important that anyone with an interest in the future use of land in the Borough responds to this document. Further details on how to respond to the Council are set out in Section 10.

1.12 The Core Strategy, when it is submitted to the Secretary of State, will be made available for another statutory six week period during which formal representations can be made. At this stage, all representations received will be forwarded to the Planning Inspectorate for consideration at a public examination. This will be conducted by an independent Planning Inspector who will test the ‘soundness’ of the Core Strategy. The tests of soundness are set out in Planning Policy Statement 12 (paragraph 4.24) and include a series of separate tests relating to procedure and consultation, conformity with other relevant documents and the preparation of a sound and credible evidence base. The Inspector’s report will be binding on Hertsmere Borough Council and it is hoped that the Core Strategy will be adopted in 2008.

Table 2. Process for adopting the Core Strategy and other DPDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1: Introduction and context</td>
<td>Evidence Gathering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Preparation</td>
<td>Preparation of Local Development Plan and Local Plan (Reg 26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Examination</td>
<td>Examination of Local Plan (Reg 28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: Adoption</td>
<td>Adoption of Core Strategy (Reg 29)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure reproduced from PPS12 Local Development Frameworks (ODPM, 2004)
National policy, East of England Plan and other external influences

1.13 It needs to be borne in mind that the preparation of policies for Hertsmere, which reflect local needs and circumstances, must take account of an increasingly wide range of external influences. In particular, the Core Strategy must conform to policies and guidance set nationally and regionally. However the Council considers that some flexibility and discretion is available to enable local requirements to be clearly addressed within the Core Strategy and other DPDs. A more detailed explanation of the national and regional planning policy context as it relates to Hertsmere is set out on pages 8 - 12 of the Issues and Options Report but key requirements include:

National

- The promotion of sustainable development to meet community development needs and the promotion of high quality design
- A proposed reduction in the size of privately developed sites on which affordable housing must be provided, from 25 units to 15 units (PPS3, Housing)
- The allocation of a ‘rolling’ five year supply of housing sites (PPS3)
- Identification of housing land for a further 10 years, to enable 15 years total supply (PPS3)
- 30 dwellings per hectare (dph) to be used as a national indicative minimum to guide policy development (PPS3, Housing)
- A cap on levels of off-street car parking at new developments (PPG13, Transport)
- Promotion of growth within town centres (PPS6, Retail and Town Centres)

Regional

1.14 The Core Strategy is being prepared to be in general conformity with the emerging Regional Spatial Strategy for the East of England (also known as RSS14 or the East of England Plan), which is replacing the Hertfordshire Structure Plan – with the exception of a limited number of Structure Plan policies which have been saved under the provisions of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. A draft Plan was produced by the East of England Regional Assembly, the regional planning body, otherwise known as EERA.

1.15 Although the East of England Plan has not yet been adopted, it has been tested at a major public examination and following the publication of the independent Panel’s report in June 2006, a number of significant changes to the draft Plan were proposed by the Secretary of State in December 2006 including increased housebuilding requirements. Should the finalised Plan retain these changes, it would seek:

- 5,000 new homes to be built in Hertsmere between 2001 and 2021
- Housing targets to be treated as minimum figures rather than ceilings which need not be exceeded
- A sustainable relationship between jobs, homes and services with development focused in or adjacent to major urban areas
- A jobs growth ‘indicative target’ for Hertfordshire of 68,000 jobs including 50,000 to be split between seven districts, including Hertsmere, in the Hertfordshire London Arc
- 35% of overall housing supply in the form of affordable and primarily social rented housing
- 10% of energy requirements to be met through on-site provision on larger developments
- A sequential approach to locating new development based on a hierarchy of differently sized settlements
- The location of major new retail development in larger centres, outside of the Borough, including Watford, St Albans and Hemel Hempstead

1.16 The Secretary of State’s proposed changes, which include a number of substantial green belt reviews elsewhere in Hertfordshire (Hemel Hempstead and Welwyn Hatfield) were subject to a three month consultation period which ended in March 2007. The finalised East of England Plan is expected to be adopted during mid-2007, assuming there are no legal challenges made at any time during this process.
1.17 The level of job growth proposed for the seven London Arc districts in Hertfordshire has changed several times – from 55,800 new jobs in the draft Plan to 63,000 jobs in the Panel Report to 50,000 jobs in the Secretary of State’s proposed changes. An interim employment land review, commissioned by the Council and considered later in this document, made land requirement forecasts on the basis of the original jobs forecasts in the draft Plan. An update of this review covered the Secretary of State’s proposed changes which suggest a small decrease in employment land requirements for Hertsmere. Additional work will be undertaken, if required, when the final jobs growth targets are made clear.

1.18 Should further substantial changes be made to the finalised East of England Plan, beyond those outlined above, it may be necessary to make further amendments to the Core Strategy. Full public consultation and participation would be undertaken on any amendments. A single alteration of the RSS, relating to Gypsies and Travellers, was also started in 2007 by EERA. The timing of this alteration is such that its outcome is unlikely to be concluded until after the Core Strategy has been submitted to the Secretary of State. On this basis, the Council would commit to an early alteration of its adopted Core Strategy, should there be issues of general conformity with the alteration to the East of England Plan.

Other external influences

1.19 The strategies, plans and programmes of local stakeholders are of particular importance in preparing the Core Strategy. A number of these have potential land use implications and constraints and as a starting point, it is important to ensure that policies in the Core Strategy and other DPDs are consistent with the delivery of stakeholder priorities. Areas of potential conflict with local and national planning requirements need to be identified at an early stage so that the Council’s spatial planning framework for the next 15 years is able to facilitate the delivery of other service priorities. The key priorities identified are set out in Table 3.

1.20 The Hertfordshire Local Area Agreement is a three-year agreement that sets out local service priorities across the county, through strengthened partnership working within the various Local Strategic Partnerships. These partnerships include Hertsmere Together, the Hertsmere Local Strategic Partnership which is made up of Hertsmere Borough Council, the police and health services, together with a number of voluntary and community organisations.

1.21 The Agreement consists of a number of targets aimed at improving the quality of life for Hertfordshire residents and runs from April 2006 to March 2009. Targets are spread across four themed areas including 15 reward targets which are recognised to be particularly demanding and have the potential to bring in an extra £30m to Local Strategic Partnerships across Hertfordshire. A number of these targets are considered to have direct or indirect land use implications, although specific sub-targets for Hertsmere are still to be determined. It will be important to ensure that the Core Strategy, wherever possible, helps to achieve those targets with land use implications. A summary of Local Area Agreement targets with land use implications is set out in Appendix 5.

1.22 Traffic growth in the Borough is projected to increase by 22% by 2021 and the Core Strategy must also take account of the new Hertfordshire Local Transport Plan (2006/7 – 2010/11) and its associated documents: an Accessibility Strategy, Bus Strategy, Rail Strategy and Rights of Way Improvement Plan. The Local Transport Plan sets out measures for improving transport across the county, including road safety and congestion reduction. A limited number of major schemes are proposed in Hertfordshire although none of these are actually located within the Borough. However, the Core Strategy must take account of a range of Local Transport Plan priorities including:

- a reliable and free-flowing road network;
- reliable, flexible and convenient bus services;
- making walking and cycling a real alternative for local trips;
- greater use of workplace and school travel plans;
- efficient, safer and cleaner means of local goods distribution;

1 Source: Derived from TEMPRO (database version 1.6 updated August 2005), Department for Transport
- overcoming barriers to accessing services;
- progressing rights of way improvement planning; and
- minimum service frequencies for local rail services

(Hertfordshire’s Local Transport Plan 2006/7 – 2010/11, pages 18 – 21)

A more detailed description of the issues as they affect Hertsmere is set out in Appendix 1.

1.23 Hertsmere adjoins several other local authorities, sharing boundaries with Welwyn Hatfield, Watford, St Albans and Three Rivers in Hertfordshire and Barnet, Enfield and Harrow in London. The Core Strategy must take account of planned developments in these neighbouring authorities and key issues such as traffic congestion, water supply and the viability of nearby town centres. A more detailed description of the issues in neighbouring authorities relating to Hertsmere is set out in Appendix 3. Many of these external influences are being considered through the Sustainability Appraisal of the Core Strategy, a separate technical report, whose purpose is described in later in this section.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevant outcomes and actions</th>
<th>Spatial implication</th>
<th>LDF Policy themes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Hertfordshire County Council School Organisation Plan (2003 - 2008) | • Predicted 25% surplus of primary school places in Potters Bar  
• Closure of Sunny Bank Primary School in 2008  
• Predicated 0.4% shortfall of primary school places in Radlett  
• Review of primary school places required in Radlett | Building Sustainable Communities  
• Policy CS17 (Key Community Facilities)  
• Policy CS18 (Securing mixed use development) |
| Hertfordshire County Council Children and Young People's Plan 2006-2009 | • Promotion of healthy lifestyles and development of primary care intervention  
• Protection from dangers of drug and alcohol misuse  
• Reduce number of children with learning needs placed out of County  
• Reduce anti-social behaviour  
• Reduce road traffic accidents  
• Raise quality of early years, childcare and play provision  
• Increase number of children's centres  
• Develop training initiatives and collaborative arrangements between education and employment sectors  
• Reduce number of young people who are homeless | Building Sustainable Communities  
• Policy CS17 (Key Community Facilities)  
• Policy CS18 (Securing mixed use development) |
| Hertfordshire County Council Adult Care Services Additional Care Home Capacity for 2010 | • Encourage providers to develop nursing care in Hertsmere, particularly in Potters Bar  
• No direct intervention by Adult Care Services presently recommended in Hertsmere | Building Sustainable Communities  
• Policy CS17 (Key Community Facilities) |
| Hertsmere Crime and Disorder Reduction Partnership  
Hertsmere Crime and Disorder Reduction Strategy 2005 - 2008 | • Reduce burglary  
• Reduce anti-social behaviour  
• Reduce alcohol-related disorder  
• Reduce fear of crime  
• Keeping young people safe and out of trouble  
• Monitoring disturbance at licensed premises  
• Increase number of pubwatch schemes  
• Improve use of CCTV and signage for CCTV and alcohol free zones  
• Improved street lighting | Strategic Spatial Policy  
• Policy SP3 (Safe and accessible development)  
• Policy CS27 (Safe and Attractive Evening Economy) |
| Hertsmere Borough Council Keep smiling: A cultural and leisure strategy for Hertsmere 2007 - 2010 | • Supporting the development of additional school holiday and term time activities.  
• Developing floodlit facilities at the multi-use games area in Meadow Park, Borehamwood.  
• Establishing a youth council network that covers the whole Borough.  
• Supporting the extended schools initiatives across the Borough by coordinating the running of Hertsmere’s Children’s Trust Partnership.  
• Reduction in anti-social behaviour  
• Improvement in community safety | Building Sustainable Communities  
• Policy CS17 (Key Community Facilities)  
• Policy CS18 (Securing mixed use development)  
• Policy SP3 (Safe and accessible development) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hertfordshire County Council</th>
<th>Identify client groups and geographic areas with a need for housing-related support 28 units for older people with support needs in Hertsmere</th>
<th>Geographic requirements will inform site-specific policies including requirements for mixed-use and mixed-tenure housing</th>
<th>Housing • Policy CS7 (Housing) mix Site Allocations DPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hertfordshire Constabulary</td>
<td>• Reduce total crime including serious violent crime • Reduce anti-social behaviour and disorder including issues relating to alcohol and licensing • Improve safety on our roads</td>
<td>• Safer and more secure development required, including promotion of ‘secure by design’ • Controls over number and concentration of establishments selling alcohol • S106 agreements to fund CCTV and improved street lighting • A balanced evening economy providing a range of outlets for young people • Rejecting new development which fails to address road safety issues</td>
<td>Strategic Spatial Policy • Policy SP3 (Safe and Accessible Development) Town Centres and Shopping • Policy CS27 (Safe and Attractive Evening Economy) Transport • Policy CS21 (Development and Accessibility)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hertfordshire Policing Plan 2006-2007</td>
<td>• To improve learning provision for target areas and groups, at local level • Partnership development and harnessing of mainstream funding, to address social and economic deprivation • Cowley Hill ward identified as one of three priority renewal areas in Hertfordshire</td>
<td>• Focus S106 training opportunities on Cowley Hill ward • Protect and promote small business accommodation in and around Cowley Hill</td>
<td>The Economy • Policy CS9 (Local Significant Employment Sites) Building Sustainable Communities • Policy CS10 (Standard Charge and other Planning Obligations)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Community and Stakeholder Participation

1.24 Community engagement is vitally important to the LDF and the Council has already conducted extensive consultation and encouraged participation on issues relating to the future of the Borough, in accordance with the commitments contained within the Council’s Statement of Community Involvement. The Statement of Community Involvement significantly exceeds the minimum requirements of the Local Development Regulations and was adopted by the Council in September 2006.

1.25 In 2005 an initial questionnaire was sent to all households in Hertsmere to gauge opinion on key issues as well as providing the opportunity for householders to be included on the LDF mailing list for future consultation. Almost 1,000 responses were received, identifying several issues as residents’ priorities:

- protection of the Green Belt;
- protection of open space in towns;
- protection of local shopping facilities;
- protection of wildlife and habitats;
- reduction of traffic congestion; and
- protection of listed buildings / conservation areas

1.26 The Council recognises the importance of these priorities and the responses received informed more extensive community and stakeholder consultation which took place between February and April 2006. A comprehensive Issues and Options report and summary booklet was published setting out the planning issues and associated policy options across the following key land use themes: housing; building sustainable communities; open space and the environment; the economy; transport and parking, and town centres and shopping.
The Issues and Options report was distributed to approximately 1,500 statutory and non-statutory organisations, businesses, developers and interest groups, along with those householders on the LDF mailing list. Four public meetings were held across the Borough, together with a weekend drop-in session in Borehamwood and a stakeholder event aimed at members of Hertsmere Together and other important local groups. Meetings were also held with local Town and Parish Councils and there was considerable local press coverage. Over 200 written responses were received which together with the feedback provided at the events have provided the Council with a clear understanding of stakeholder priorities. Follow-up meetings and site visits relating to written responses have taken place and the responses received have heavily influenced the content of this Preferred Options Document.

The key findings of the consultation undertaken in early 2006 are set out in Table 4; a detailed summary of the consultation undertaken to date has been prepared separately. In many instances, support existed for a number of the options presented for consideration. Where a particular option has failed to secure more than 50% support from respondents, a view was taken that no initial consensus has been found.

The lack of consensus across a number of important policy areas was a feature of the consultation undertaken including an evident lack of agreement on how best to ensure an adequate, affordable supply of new housing for the local community. Nevertheless, little support existed for a ‘do nothing’ approach in any policy area indicating that a pro-active approach to addressing new development needs will need to be considered by the Council.

It is acknowledged that the responses received so far are not necessarily representative of all sections of the community. Publication of the Preferred Options for the Core Strategy represents an important opportunity for the whole community to consider and respond to the proposed strategy for the Borough. The Council is committed to involving all sections of the community and will seek to connect with hard to reach groups, including members of the general public who would not normally engage in the planning process. There will also be a continued emphasis on the role of local organisations in articulating the most relevant issues to their constituent groups and members.

**Sustainability and alternative options**

A Sustainability Appraisal of the Core Strategy has been prepared to test whether the options being advocated are consistent with the Council’s sustainability objectives. These objectives consider a range of important social, economic and environmental issues which need to be met if the Hertsmere LDF is to be regarded as genuinely sustainable. The objectives are set out in full in Appendix 4 to this document.

The Appraisal incorporates the requirements of the EU Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive and the recommendations put forward resulted in amendments to a large number of the policies and the inclusion of two further policies on sustainability and design. The changes are highlighted in the Appraisal which has been published alongside this document.

The appraisal process has guided the Council’s assessment of different policy options alongside its consideration of whether emerging options were consistent with national policy, regional policy (including relevant Structure Plan areas), the Community Strategy and the findings of public consultation. Options were considered within a range of policy themes from which specific policy options were developed. These are set out in the Sustainability Appraisal Report.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Issue</th>
<th>Main conclusion</th>
<th>Other key points raised</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location of new housing</td>
<td>Additional land for housing should come from surplus employment land rather than green belt or allotments</td>
<td>• Regional Housebuilding targets should be challenged&lt;br&gt;• Supporting infrastructure / facilities must be considered&lt;br&gt;• Area is already at capacity in terms of housing&lt;br&gt;• Removing employment opportunities increases travel to work requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordable housing</td>
<td>No specific consensus except on continued promotion of rural exceptions policy</td>
<td>• More onerous requirements could make some brownfield sites unviable&lt;br&gt;• Affordable housing needs to be properly integrated into new developments&lt;br&gt;• Different policies could apply to different parts of the Borough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix of new housing</td>
<td>New housing should reflect locally identified need and demand although any prescribed mix of housing types within new developments not supported by housebuilders</td>
<td>• More accessible / lifetime homes are needed&lt;br&gt;• Scarcity of land justified greater prescription over housing mix&lt;br&gt;• Mixes should not be prescribed on smaller sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density and scale of new housebuilding</td>
<td>Design and scale of new housebuilding should be considered on a site by site basis</td>
<td>• Ruling out developments at over 50 homes per hectare would place significant pressure on the Green Belt&lt;br&gt;• Densities should not lead to inadequate amount of green space pro-rata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensification of existing residential areas</td>
<td>A limit on the amount of large residential plots redeveloped to provide smaller houses or flats</td>
<td>• Different policies could apply to different parts of the Borough&lt;br&gt;• Avoid classifying garden land as brownfield land&lt;br&gt;• Conversion of surplus offices should be permitted at the same time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gypsies and Travellers</td>
<td>Rather than make additional provision through new / extended sites, maintain current approach where need for new sites must be demonstrated and new sites assessed against various criteria-</td>
<td>• Part of large housing sites could be allocated for traveller requirements, in same way as social rented housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale of new development</td>
<td>(1) Scale of development should be focused on Borehamwood, Potters Bar, Bushey and Radlett (in that order) and (2) proportionate to size / scale of town</td>
<td>• Sufficient flexibility must be retained for windfall development&lt;br&gt;• There is already sufficient speculative office development in place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community facilities and mixed use development</td>
<td>(1) Large development sites should provide a variety of facilities and (2) a tariff should be placed on smaller schemes</td>
<td>• Impact of a tariff on viability of scheme needs to be assessed&lt;br&gt;• Facilities rather than payments-in-lieu are the priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status of the Green Belt</td>
<td>No specific consensus on the status of safeguarded sites with differing views from developers and the local community</td>
<td>• Until Urban Capacity Study findings are known, it is not possible to determine status of the Green Belt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status of the Green Belt</td>
<td>No specific consensus on how best to protect the Green Belt given wish to develop some sites from some respondents</td>
<td>• Surplus Employment land should be used instead of Green Belt land for housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land use and diversification in the countryside</td>
<td>No specific consensus</td>
<td>• More rural recreational facilities needed if higher density development is considered elsewhere&lt;br&gt;• Increased rural access is not an acceptable trade-off for inappropriate Green Belt development&lt;br&gt;• Rigid controls on the use of surplus agricultural land could shift burden of maintaining the environment to public sector</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4. Summary of responses received to LDF Issues and Options consultation, February to April 2006 (cont)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Issue</th>
<th>Main conclusion</th>
<th>Other key points raised</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Renewable energy</td>
<td>(1) Greater emphasis on energy requirements of new development and (2) the provision of more renewable energy (with general exception of windfarms)</td>
<td>• Steps are required to ensure energy efficiency measures are actually implemented</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| No specific consensus except on promotion of film and TV studios by relaxing some planning controls | • Release of employment land could be unsustainable, forcing local residents to have to travel further to work and increasing carbon emissions  
• Greater flexibility for acceptable uses, including hotels / conferencing, within employment areas | • No need to overlap with Building Regulations  
• Impact on financial viability of schemes must be considered  
Employment                                                                 | Transport and Parking                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Encourage the use of alternative modes where possible, acknowledging need for private car use elsewhere | • Address inefficient land-take by car parking, by requiring underground and shared car parks  
• Increased car parking at stations should be sought  
• Consider car-free housing schemes in the right location | Town Centres and Shopping                                                                                                                                   |
| Greater protection of town and neighbourhood centre shopping facilities    | • Incorporate measures suggested by New Economics Foundation report to prevent over-dominance of national chains  
• More facilities for younger people, particularly in the evenings  
• Crime and disorder issues require greater consideration |                                                                                                                                                          |
2. Spatial Vision and Development Strategy

Spatial Vision

2.1 The Core Strategy and other constituent documents of the LDF aim to improve the overall quality of life for Hertsmere residents in a way which will benefit existing and future generations. A sustainable approach to social, economic and environmental issues must be at the centre of the Core Strategy, reflecting the objectives of the national strategy for sustainable development, as set out in the government’s Planning Policy Statement 1 (Delivering Sustainable Development):

1. Social Progress which recognises the needs of everyone;
2. Effective protection of the environment;
3. Prudent use of natural resources; and
4. Maintenance of high and stable levels of economic growth

2.2 The Hertsmere Together Community Strategy sets out the vision for the Borough until 2020. The Core Strategy’s spatial vision will give direction to the development and infrastructure needed to deliver the objectives of the Community Strategy over the next decade and beyond.

Table 5. LDF Core Strategy Spatial Vision

| The vision for Hertsmere is for the delivery of a high quality, accessible, safe and economically viable environment to be achieved through a commitment to the principles of sustainable development. By 2021, the Hertsmere LDF will have helped deliver the objectives of the Hertsmere Community Strategy by providing a planning framework for: |
|---|---|
| • creating an attractive townscape and rural environment; |
| • meeting housing needs; |
| • creating a safer environment; |
| • providing health, community, leisure and other essential facilities; and |
| • improving economic development and employment opportunities |

Over the next 15 years, future development across the Borough will reflect the fact that Hertsmere is made up of very distinct communities – both geographically, economically and demographically – with close inter-relationships to London, Watford and other nearby centres of population. The high degree of mobility and levels of in and out-commuting will reinforce the need to improve both public transport patronage and capacity and locate new development in accessible locations. Steps will be taken to ensure that sufficient land is made available to meet the housing needs of the local population and for a range of business accommodation and local services, facilitating a more sustainable pattern of development. Recognising the distinct development needs of the four key settlements of Borehamwood, Potters Bar, Bushey and Radlett together with those of other communities, will remain a priority.
LDF Core Strategy Objectives

2.3 The Core Strategy objectives were first proposed in the LDF Issues and Options report but have been refined to support the Spatial Vision and are set out below. The development required in each local area will be planned in a sustainable way which ensures quality of life for those living and working in all locations, while ensuring that the Borough as a whole makes a significant contribution to local housing needs and the prosperity of the wider region. This means recognising both the potential and the limits of built-up areas to accommodate new development.

Table 6. LDF Core Strategy Objectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>To provide the spatial policies necessary to deliver the land use requirements of the Hertsmere Together Community Strategy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>To protect the Green Belt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>To maintain an adequate supply of suitable land, concentrated on brownfield sites within towns, to accommodate expected development needs and supporting community infrastructure arising from growth requirements in the East of England Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>To work towards meeting the community's need for Affordable Housing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>To address issues arising from climate change and flooding and to take advantage of water and other natural resources responsibly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>To improve environmental and streetscape quality in town centres and protect and enhance the built heritage of Hertsmere.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>To protect and enhance the environment in Hertsmere by addressing local causes and impacts of pollution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>To raise levels of access by seeking development in locations not dependent on access by car and by requiring the provision of physically accessible transport interchanges and other buildings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>To promote safe and healthy communities, respecting the diverse needs of the whole Borough.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>To support businesses of all sizes and to help promote local skills, focusing on areas of deprivation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>To provide a planning framework which promotes sustainable and competitive economic performance, in support of regional jobs growth requirements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>To safeguard and enhance the role of the town and district centres in Hertsmere, steering commercial developments which attract a large number of people toward the most accessible locations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>To protect and enhance local biodiversity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>To secure efficient land use through well-designed development reflecting the size, pattern and character of settlements in Hertsmere.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>To promote rural diversification and through the Watling Chase Greenways Strategy, sustainable access to the wider countryside.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4 The Spatial Vision and Core Strategy Objectives have guided the development of more detailed, spatial objectives for the different settlements in the Borough. These settlement objectives have also been influenced by the spatial implications of other plans, strategies and programmes, as set out in Table 3 of this document.

**Table 7. Spatial objectives by settlement**

**Borehamwood:**
- Manage housing availability and affordability;
- Tackle deprivation in and around the Cowley Hill ward including the enhancement of training opportunities;
- Reduce shop vacancies and improving the local environment and streetscape along Shenley Road and at Leeming Road;
- Improve community facilities and addressing the absence of any public square within Borehamwood town centre;
- Address localised anti-social behaviour problems;
- Address environmental quality and physical accessibility at Borehamwood Station and enhancing its role as a key transport node;
- Reduce traffic congestion in and around the town;
- Provide more play areas and facilities for young people;
- Continue to promote the role of film and television production in the town;
- Facilitate the renewal of the Elstree Way Corridor; and
- Protect employment and industry in the town

**Potters Bar:**
- Manage housing availability and affordability;
- Enhance the station forecourt and other approaches to the station;
- Address the poor environment in and around the bus garage;
- Address the decline of the High Street;
- Address localised anti-social behaviour problems;
- Provide more play areas and facilities for young people;
- Reduce congestion including traffic caused by the diversion of vehicles from the M25;
- Develop the range of evening activities in the town centre for younger people;
- Roll out town centre environmental improvements beyond Darkes Lane; and
- Protect employment and industry in the town
Bushey:

- Manage housing availability and affordability;
- Absorb the impact of housing growth on local services;
- Maintain and improve public transport, cycling and pedestrian links towards destinations outside of the Borough including Bushey and Watford Junction stations;
- Provide more play areas and facilities for young people;
- Protect the viability and vitality of local shopping;
- Tackle traffic congestion on Aldenham Road and other roads leading up to Hartspring roundabout; and
- Protect employment and industry in the town

Radlett:

- Improve physical accessibility at Radlett Station;
- Protect the viability and vitality of local shopping;
- Maintain the character of the town, particularly around the fringe;
- Work with the Parish Council to produce a Parish Plan; and
- Maintain and expand key public services in the town

Shenley:

- Maintain and enhance existing local services;
- Provide more play areas and facilities for young people
- Address localised anti-social behaviour problems;
- Address local housing affordability;
- Work with the Parish Council to implement the Shenley Parish Plan; and
- Working with Shenley Park Trust to provide enhanced visitor facilities for Shenley Park

Other settlements

- Protect existing key community facilities and services
2.5 The hierarchy of settlements within the Borough will reflect the established structure of local communities, as set out in Table 8, recognising that the scale of new development must reflect local character and capacity, including accessibility to public transport and local services.

2.6 Borehamwood and Potters Bar will remain the two largest centres of employment in the Borough where many homes, jobs and services are located. The Council’s Urban Capacity Study has identified sufficient brownfield land available across the Borough to accommodate more than 90% of the likely required government housing target of 5,000 new homes between 2001 and 2021. However, this will need to be achieved through the larger settlements accommodating a share of the required development proportionate to their capacity and:

- the redevelopment of some vacant or potentially vacant employment land;
- accepting some housebuilding in existing residential areas at higher densities; and
- accepting some housebuilding within existing residential areas with an average of 1.5 parking spaces for new 1, 2 and 3 bedroom flats and houses.

2.7 In particular, suitable brownfield sites in Borehamwood and Potters Bar will deliver a significant proportion of the required new housing, including any limited release of land presently allocated for employment purposes. Suitable brownfield sites will also need to be identified in Bushey for new housing. On this basis, Borehamwood, Potters Bar and Bushey have been identified as Strategic Housing Locations, commensurate with their position within the Borough settlement hierarchy in Table 8 and the likelihood of brownfield development sites coming forward for development. Guided by the Council’s own projections of where housing capacity exists in the Borough (see Table 11) and the existing ratio in size between the three towns, no more than 40% of new housing during the period 2001 to 2021 will be developed in Borehamwood. In addition, up to 30% of new housing will be provided in Potters Bar, up to 30% will be provided in Bushey and up to 15% will be provided in Radlett and other suitable locations. Clear criteria will need to be provided to ensure that the focus on brownfield land does not lead to development at densities which harm the character and appearance of established residential areas.

2.8 The focus for employment will continue to be on town centres and on the Borough’s designated Employment Areas, rather than through the development of new locations for employment generating development. No significant need has been identified to allocate new areas of land for employment purposes and the Council will seek to direct significant new industrial and warehousing development to designated brownfield locations in Borehamwood, Potters Bar and Bushey. Major new office developments will be directed to town centres and other locations with good public transport accessibility and sufficient infrastructure.

2.9 The release of greenfield, Green Belt land for any new development may only be sought later in the plan period if absolutely necessary to accommodate any balance of housing which cannot be accommodated on previously developed land within existing built up areas. Any Green Belt releases required where relevant or necessary will be focused on sites within identified areas of search as shown on the key diagram (page 32). Consideration of development opportunities may take place throughout the Borough and consideration may also be given to local small-scale changes to Green Belt boundaries where, exceptionally, it has been demonstrated that a location no longer meets the government’s own criteria for Green Belt as set out in PPG2 (Green Belts). The Council also acknowledges and agrees that further brownfield land may emerge over time preventing the need for Green Belt land to be developed in the Plan period.

---

2 The average density of new residential development between 2003 and 2006 in Hertsmere was 34 homes per hectare (dph). The average density increases to 40 homes per hectare when 7 homes built on 3.3 hectares of green belt land are removed from calculations.

3 Average car ownership in Hertsmere for 1, 2 and 3 bedroom homes is 0.7, 1.1 and 1.6 cars per household respectively (based on 2006 survey of 418 households).

4 The land area of Borehamwood (7.05 km²), Potters Bar (5.05 km²) and Bushey (5.28 km²) equates to a ratio of 4:3:3.
2.10 30% of housing capacity in the Borough has already been identified in Bushey\textsuperscript{5} and with the construction of a large number of homes in the Green Belt at the International University site, it is not considered appropriate to provide any significant additional releases in Bushey. The importance of maintaining the Green Belt between Bushey and Watford will be emphasised through the designation of a strategic gap between the two towns.

Table 8 The Settlement Hierarchy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Settlement</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Borehamwood</strong></td>
<td>A diverse, growing population and an important economic centre for south Hertfordshire, rail and bus links to London and other key towns, an international reputation for film and television production, along with a retail centre with a growing presence of national multiples.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Potters Bar</strong></td>
<td>A key local town in the east of the Borough with a number of major employers, two distinct shopping areas, thriving industrial areas and rail and bus links to London and towns to the north.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bushey</strong></td>
<td>Predominantly residential in character covering three distinct centres (Bushey Heath, Bushey Village and North Bushey) with bus and nearby rail links to Watford and London, significant pockets of industrial land and a wide variety of local shops and services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Radlett</strong></td>
<td>Largely residential in character and surrounded by Green Belt with good rail links to London and a popular town centre serving both the local population and an increasing number of visitors from further afield.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shenley</strong></td>
<td>Substantially enlarged following the residential redevelopment of Shenley Hospital but remaining within the Green Belt, a key service village largely residential in character and with a limited range of local shops and services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elstree (that part outside of the Green Belt)</strong></td>
<td>A distinctive village in its own rights which, despite its close proximity and association with Borehamwood, contains a small but viable centre with a range of local businesses and services and limited opportunities for infill development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elstree (that part within the Green Belt), Aldenham (including Wall Hall), Letchmore Heath, Patchetts Green, Ridge and South Mimms</strong></td>
<td>Small rural villages within the Green Belt which remain largely residential in character and land use, relying on larger settlements nearby for employment and local services.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{5} Source: Hertsmere Urban Capacity Study (June 2007)
2.11 Radlett is considered to have limited scope and capacity for further growth, in terms of large identifiable sites and key community facilities such as primary school capacity, local bus services and an absence of any secondary schools. In the absence of identifiable brownfield sites, planned growth for the town would have to be focused almost entirely on Green Belt expansion, adversely affecting the Borough settlement hierarchy and running contrary to key objectives for the Hertsmere LDF.

2.12 Smaller ‘windfall’ sites - unforeseen development land - will play an important role in providing new housing on small sites in the three largest towns, as well as in Radlett and the other settlements. The role of Radlett as a focus of local shopping, employment and service provision will also continue. Shenley has been considerably expanded over the past two decades and is considered to have reached its natural limits within the Green Belt. Any development opportunities in both Shenley and Elstree, identified as ‘service villages’, will be restricted to infill opportunities where development may be acceptable, supports a local need and contributes to the sustainability of the local community. In other small settlements and rural locations, small-scale development sites will only be considered in exceptional circumstances and on previously developed land.

**Alternative Options**

2.13 The Council has explored a number of alternative growth options to the Development Strategy set out above. This process has been informed by the Sustainability Appraisal which assessed a number of approaches to accommodating development growth in terms of their environmental, social and economic effects. The following options did not score well against that approach.

2.14 **Urban Intensification:** An alternative option would, in addition to focusing employment and retail development within existing built up areas, be to allow for large amounts of high density, windfall development to be accommodated at densities of 50 - 100 homes per hectare. Many of these development opportunities would be on small sites within established suburban areas, akin to the density of new development in much of urban and other highly accessible locations in London. Historically most suburban areas in Hertsmere have been developed at densities of less than 30 homes per hectare. Although industrial and warehousing development would be directed to established areas of employment and other commercial development to town centres and areas of higher public transport accessibility, accommodating a substantial increase in the scale of residential development would transform the character of large parts of the Borough’s towns. Such increases in the scale of development would have an adverse affect on the character and appearance of many suburban areas, as well as giving rise to parking and congestion difficulties.

2.15 **Rural Expansion:** This approach would effectively require an acknowledgement that the Borough’s towns are full and that much of the required housing and commercial growth should be focused on new locations in the Green Belt. However, employment studies undertaken suggest that there is sufficient capacity within existing employment land and buildings to meet job growth requirements to 2021. Diverting retail development away from the main towns would run contrary to national and regional Green Belt and retail policy. The expansion of rural communities and remote rural sites raises serious concerns over directing growth to areas lacking key local services and other facilities with associated impacts on car dependency, air quality and a disproportionate effect on landscape / historic character. The Sustainability Appraisal identified this approach as having strongly or moderately negative effectiveness against over half of the Council’s sustainability objectives. Limited opportunities for small-scale infill development on brownfield sites may exist within villages identified in the settlement hierarchy but the wider rural expansion option is considered to represent an unsustainable option for development growth.

---

6 See Table 4.1 (density location and parking matrix), London Plan (GLA, 2004)
2.16 **Removal of a settlement hierarchy:** The option of removing the distinction between different towns in the Borough, in terms of their development potential, was considered. Removing the hierarchy would enable the Borough’s towns to grow according to the availability of suitable sites over time, rather than on any established Hertsmere polycentric structure. Whilst such a structure may be considered more equitable, by potentially spreading growth more evenly, it could result in smaller towns growing at a faster rate - absorbing more than their traditional ‘share’ of development. Consequently, the Sustainability Appraisal identified this type of option as conflicting with a number of key sustainability objectives relating to ready access to local services, car dependency and air quality. Although few potential sites seem likely to emerge within smaller settlements, uncontrolled growth within these communities was identified as potentially overburdening local schools and other services, as well as the local highways and public transport infrastructure.

2.17 **Market Led / Do Nothing:** This particular option would enable housing, employment and other commercial development to be developed according to the demands of the market, rather than being influenced by local planning controls. Notwithstanding potential conflict with national and regional policy, including the need to identify a polycentric network of settlements and their role within the network, such an approach would have similar effects to the removal of the Borough’s settlement hierarchy with new development capable of taking precedence over local capacity and character. There would be considerable potential for conflict with key sustainability objectives including those relating to ready access to local services, reduction of poverty and social exclusion, the protection of landscape / historic character and reducing car dependency. The Sustainability Appraisal identified this type of approach as having strongly or moderately negative effects against one-third of the Council’s sustainability objectives.

**Delivering the Spatial Vision**

**Housing for the community**

2.18 Three key demographic trends will be pivotal in planning for the future:
- An increased overall population;
- An increased total number of households; and
- An increasing proportion of elderly residents

2.19 The predicted rate of household formation to 2021 will be greater than overall population growth and this will have the effect of sustaining high levels of demand for affordably priced housing for rent or sale. Accommodating the housing needs of existing residents will remain a priority throughout the period of the Core Strategy, including the needs of concealed households – those individuals and young families unable to move out of a family home and afford their own accommodation. This responds to the Council’s Housing Needs Survey which has projected that there will be 2,600 concealed households in the Borough between 2004 and 2009.

2.20 Policies will need to address the immediate housing needs of the local community in order to reduce the number of younger families leaving the Borough and to maintain a balanced demographic profile within the population. At the same time, the needs of older and elderly people will need to be considered, with a particular focus on facilitating the provision of more sheltered or extra-care housing, as well as lifetime homes which can be easily adapted for those with mobility problems.

2.21 There will be a continued recognition of the need for well planned, affordably priced housing, as part of new housing supply, which will include subsidised housing for rent at less than market value. This will require both an increase in the number of sites delivering a proportion of affordable housing and possibly an increase in the proportion of affordable homes built on these sites. Steps to prevent the splitting up or under-development of sites to avoid Affordable Housing requirements will be needed, although there will also be a need to ensure that suitable sites remain viable for development.
**Employment**

2.22 A limited surplus of industrial / warehousing accommodation within the next fifteen years’ supply has been identified in the Borough and where genuinely surplus sites are identified, a limited amount of redevelopment for housing or mixed use development will be considered acceptable. However, accommodating a growing economy and the needs of major and local, small employers will need to be recognised. Steps will be taken to ensure that sufficient land for a range of business accommodation is retained, to ensure a sustainable pattern of development with the largest towns existing as self-sufficient communities wherever possible.

2.23 In addition to maintaining a supply of strategic sites for industrial and warehousing premises, additional protection for smaller sites will be sought. The East of England Plan will result in a need to plan for additional levels of jobs growth across Hertsmere and the protection of existing designated employment land will need to be promoted. This is likely to have the effect of restricting the amount of brownfield land which could be redeveloped for housing.

**Transport and parking**

2.24 Due to the limited east-west public transport links and the semi-rural nature of much of the Borough, the car will remain the dominant mode of transport in many areas. However, development which creates traffic congestion and is over-reliant on access by car, will not be allowed.

2.25 The location and quantity of car parking will have an important bearing on the efficient use of land. Reduced levels of off-street car parking will only be considered in a limited number of local areas which have been identified as having increased levels of public transport and service accessibility: central Borehamwood, central Potters Bar, Bushey town centre and Radlett town centre. Elsewhere, car parking levels will need to reflect a range of local factors, including car ownership levels and on-street parking conditions.

2.26 The concept of Transport Development Areas (TDAs), where higher density development is concentrated around transport hubs, could be promoted close to transport interchanges in Borehamwood and Potters Bar which, together with transport corridors running into these TDAs and other main centres, may act as a focus for new development and offer some scope for trip-generating new development.

**The built and natural environment**

2.27 Protecting the high quality environment of the Borough will be a priority. The quality of the Borough’s historic and natural heritage, its diverse wildlife and habitats and the character of its landscape will be safeguarded and enhanced through action by the Council and its partners. The openness of the Borough outside the urban areas will also be protected by maintaining the Green Belt. Consequently, three environmental themes run through the LDF and will need to be applied to all new development in the Borough:

- protecting and enhancing environmental assets
- the prudent use of natural resources
- the promotion of high quality design which respects local character

2.28 The protection and enhancement of environmental assets, together with the prudent use of natural resources, will require an increased commitment from developers to use (a) sustainable design and construction techniques and (b) renewable energy sources. Measures which achieve this will be sought by the Council in order for new development to be considered acceptable.
2.29 The promotion and protection of town centres and local parades will remain a priority, with a focus on the retail function of the key centres. The growth in the number of take-aways, pubs, bars and other non-retail uses will need to be carefully managed, with full use of the flexibility afforded by the splitting up of categories of eating and drinking premises within the Use Classes Order. At the same time, community confidence will also need to be built by reducing opportunities for crime through careful design and controls on the late night economy.

2.30 Greater steps will be taken to ensure that the wider impacts of new development on the community are properly mitigated by developers, regardless of the number of new homes being built. Continuing community concerns about the cumulative impact of new housebuilding on existing services and infrastructure will be addressed through planning obligations secured under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act. As part of this approach, a standard charge will be expected to apply to smaller housing schemes to cover many of the wider costs associated with new development – including schools, healthcare facilities, libraries and highway improvements – through a fixed formula approach. Section 106 planning obligations will continue to be negotiated individually on larger housing developments, commercial schemes and for the provision of Affordable Housing.

2.31 In taking forward the spatial vision set out above, the Council proposes a number of key strategic policies. These are intended to provide the overarching direction for subsequent policies in the Core Strategy and other Local Development Documents and are derived from the Council’s Community Strategy objectives. Two further overarching policies have also been introduced covering sustainable development and design since these will be applicable to all development in the Borough.

2.32 Whilst recognising the differing roles of the Community Strategy and the LDF Core Strategy, the strategic spatial policies need to be closely matched with the main objectives of the Community Strategy. In doing so, the Core Strategy can reflect the priorities of key service providers in the Borough and provide the land use policies to help to facilitate their various requirements.

**Policy SP1 Creating sustainable development**

The Council will require all development to make a sustainable contribution to delivering the Core Strategy Spatial Vision and Strategy. Accordingly new development will be required to:

(i) make efficient use of brownfield land within a hierarchy of settlements as shown on the key diagram;

(ii) ensure a healthy living environment to residents and other users of a development;

(iii) seek to mitigate the environmental impact of transport by promoting alternatives to the car;

(iv) be constructed and operated using a minimum amount of non-renewable resources and be required to reduce the use of energy;

(v) avoid prejudicing, either individually or cumulatively, characteristics and features of the natural and built environment, including local infrastructure and services;

(vi) Comply with the key environmental policies set out in the Core Strategy; and

(vii) offset the impact on local infrastructure.
2.33 This is to be achieved through the application of the Government's four sustainability objectives for new development proposals, as set out in Planning Policy Statement 1, taking account of both the short and longer term, the careful management of the natural and built environment in close liaison with the Environment Agency, Natural England and English Heritage and the implementation of policies which reflect the principles of sustainable development.

Policies will be required which cover:

- Phasing of housing development (Policy CS3)
- Protection and enhancement of the natural environment (Policy CS12)
- Protection and enhancement of historic assets (Policy CS13)
- Environmental impact of development (Policy CS15)
- Access to services (Policy CS16)
- Key community facilities (Policy CS17)
- Securing mixed use development (Policy CS18)
- Standard charges and other planning obligations (Policy CS19)
- Development and accessibility to services and employment (Policy CS21)
- Accessibility and parking (Policy CS22)
- Promoting alternatives to the car (Policy CS23)

Policy SP2 Meeting local housing needs

The Council will support new housebuilding schemes on sites in sustainable locations, between 2001 and 2021 with a focus on development within the three Strategic Housing Locations of Borehamwood, Potters Bar and Bushey. Unless there are exceptional circumstances, no more than 40% of new housing will be sought in Borehamwood, up to 30% in Potters Bar, up to 30% in Bushey and up to 15% in Radlett and other suitable locations. Development proposals should ensure that any adverse effects on the built and natural environment are avoided and / or mitigated. Windfall developments will be supported on appropriate sites in all towns, subject to the environmental constraints and requirements of Policies SP1, CS12, 14, 15 and other relevant planning policies. The provision of adaptable and affordably priced homes for the local community will be sought in all locations and suitable proposals from social rented landlords will be supported, where required, on qualifying development sites.

2.34 This is to be achived through an understanding of local housing needs and the implementation of policies which seek to increase overall housing supply for all sections of the community, including increased levels of affordable and lifetime homes. Working with the private sector and Registered Social Landlords, the Council will need to ensure that new social housing remains genuinely affordable for the local community in perpetuity. Policies will be required which cover:

- The location and supply of new homes (Policy CS1)
- Phasing of housing development (Policy CS3)
- Affordable housing (Policy CS4)
- Affordable housing in rural areas on exception sites (Policy CS5)
- Gypsy and Traveller Sites (Policy CS6)
- Housing mix (Policy CS7)
Policy SP3  Safe and accessible development

New development will be required to create places which are safe and secure, thereby reducing crime and the fear of crime. To achieve this, development will also be expected to promote locational and physical accessibility to key services to all sections of the community, including those with reduced levels of mobility.

2.35 This is to be achieved through close liaison with the Hertsmere Crime and Disorder Reduction Partnership and Hertfordshire Constabulary on key development proposals, the careful management of town centre uses and the incorporation of secure by design principles in new development. The creation of a safer environment will require measures to promote social inclusion, including the safeguarding of key local services and the location and design of new facilities where they can be accessed by all sections of the community who need to use them.

Policies will be required which cover:

- Safe and attractive evening economy (Policy CS27)
- Access to services (Policy CS16)
- Key community facilities (Policy CS17)
- Physically accessible buildings (Policy CS20)
- Development and accessibility to services and employment (Policy CS21)
- Detailed design and security in the Site Allocations and Development Control Polices DPDs

Policy SP4  Promoting healthier communities and leisure and cultural opportunities

Proposals which maintain and improve social, educational, sports and leisure facilities for the local community will be supported by the Council. Where development cannot take place within the limits of existing community infrastructure, additional local provision should be made.

2.36 This is to be achieved through close liaison with key local service providers, including Hertfordshire County Council and the Primary Care Trust and the introduction of a Section 106 standard charge on smaller housing developments, alongside conventional individually negotiated Section 106 agreements on larger schemes. The phasing of major new developments will be important as will the implementation of policies requiring shortfalls in community facilities to be addressed, as part of development proposals.

Policies will be required which cover:

- Phasing of housing development (Policy CS2)
- Access to services (Policy CS16)
- Key community facilities (Policy CS17)
- Securing mixed use development (Policy CS18)
- Standard charge and other planning obligations (Policy CS19)
- Promoting alternatives to the car (Policy CS23)
- Site-specific requirements in the Site Allocations DPD
Policy SP5  Employment opportunities and economic development

The Council will support development proposals in appropriate locations which attract commercial investment, maintain economic competitiveness and provide employment opportunities for the local community. Development proposals should ensure that any adverse effects on the built and natural environment are avoided and / or mitigated. The provision of training opportunities for the local workforce will be encouraged and sought as part of new employment development in the Borough.

2.37 This is to be achieved through the retention and protection of a significant stock of business accommodation across the Borough, working with the South West Hertfordshire Business Partnership, Hertfordshire Prosperity, the Investing in Communities programme and with other stakeholders to identify local business and training requirements.

Policies will be required which cover:

- Scale and distribution of Employment Land (Policy CS8)
- Local Significant Employment Sites (Policy CS9)
- Land use within Employment Areas (Policy CS10)
- Promoting film and television production in Hertsmere (Policy CS11)
- Securing mixed use development (Policy CS18)
- Standard charge and other planning obligations (Policy CS19)

Policy SP6  Securing high quality design

The Council will require all development to be of high quality design which is appropriate in scale to the local context and ensures the creation of attractive and usable places. Development proposals will be expected to take advantage of opportunities to improve the character and quality of an area. The Council will also take account of the cumulative impact of new development on the character and appearance of an area including the impact arising from residential intensification and redevelopment within residential areas.

2.38 This is to be achieved through the application of the Hertsmere Planning and Design Guide and working in consultation with the Hertfordshire Design Panel, the Regional Inspire East Design Panel and CABE’s Design Review Panel where schemes require external scrutiny.

Policies will be required which cover:

- Protection and enhancement of historic assets (Policy CS13)
- Environmental impact of development Policy (CS15)
3. Housing

“Local development documents will require provision of a range of dwelling types and sizes to meet the assessed need of all sectors of the community…”
Policy H2, P.128, Draft East of England Plan

“Work towards meeting local housing needs”
Strategic Objective, P.15, Community Strategy

“To optimise the supply of affordable housing”
Key Aim, P.19 Community Strategy

“To work towards meeting the community’s need for Affordable Housing”
LDF Core Strategy Objective 4

3.1 The amount, location and affordability of new housing in the Borough over the next fifteen years are key policy areas to be addressed in the LDF Core Strategy. Housing demand remains high across the entire Borough and needs to be addressed in ways which protect the living standards and environment which attract people to the area.

3.2 The continued need to accommodate more housing development in the Borough arises from three key reasons:

- Household growth: Although the number of households across England has increased at greater rate than the overall total population for almost a century, this rate of change has increased substantially in recent decades. The continued increase in the numbers of households, which has generated a corresponding demand for more housing, is largely due to smaller family sizes, higher life expectancy and increased divorce and separation rates. Household formation rates in Hertsmere are expected to increase at a rate of 15% over the next fifteen years7 and Hertsmere is located within the part of England projected to have the highest rate of household increase over the next twenty years. The average household size in Hertsmere has fallen from 3.02 persons in 1971, to 2.75 (1981), to 2.5 (1991) to 2.4 (2001)8.

- Population increases: Although household growth rates are responsible for much of the demand for new housing, the local population of 94,000 is projected to increase to over 103,000 by 2021 and to 107,000 by 20289. This is consistent with the latest population projections for the County which show an increase from 1.05 million to 1.14 million in 2021 and 1.18 million in 202810. The population increases are caused by both natural change and increased net international migration which, at a national level, have led to the population of the United Kingdom exceeding 60 million people for the first time.

- Housebuilding rates: Increased household growth and population increases have led to an increased level of demand from developers which is unlikely to abate. There are approximately 38,700 homes in the Borough and the development of new houses cannot meet demand levels. An annual average of 228 new homes (houses and flats) have been built in Hertsmere over the past six years, against a projected level of Affordable Housing need – those unable to afford to buy or rent privately – of 351 homes per year11. As a response to this demand, national and regionally set housing targets also require Councils to accommodate more housebuilding.

---

7 Source: DCLG Household Projections March 2006
8 Source: National Census 1971 to 2001
9 Source: ONS Population projections 2004
10 Source: ONS Population projections 2004
11 Source: Hertsmere Housing Needs Survey 2005
The availability of land for new housing

3.3 The Government requires local planning authorities to identify sufficient land to meet regionally set housing targets. These were previously set out in the Hertfordshire Structure Plan and the requirement for 4,600 new homes between 1991 and 2011 is set to be met ahead of time. This is confirmed in the ‘housing trajectory’ set out in Table 9. The Council, however, will be required by the government to provide sufficient land to meet targets set out in Policy H1 of the draft East of England Plan. The target, as proposed by the Secretary of State in changes to the Plan, is 250 new homes per year equating to a total of at least 5,000 new homes between 2001 and 2021.

Table 9. Hertsmere Housing Trajectory

3.4 In assessing the distribution and type of new housing in the Borough, the overriding challenge is to ensure sufficient, suitable land is identified to accommodate any required levels of new housebuilding. New government policy in PPS3 (Housing) identifies a need for the Council to identify and allocate at least five years of developable land for new housing development at any time. Where possible, a further ten years should be allocated through the identification of both broad locations and wherever possible, specific sites. In order for the Council to comply with this it has to identify housing land for the duration of the Plan period to 2021, in addition to existing planning permissions. Unforeseen windfall sites can only be considered within housing land supply where there are known to be insufficient suitable, vacant sites. The Council’s Urban Capacity Study and the Central Hertfordshire Employment Land Review, along with suitable sites brought to the attention of the Council, will be important considerations in identifying the extent of housing sites.

3.5 To consider the extent to which the additional housebuilding requirements could be satisfactorily accommodated within the Borough’s existing settlements, the Council carried out a detailed Urban Capacity Study. The Study closely followed the Government’s own methodology for assessing potential housing capacity, focusing principally on brownfield land in the Borough. It considered large sites in and around town centres and employment areas, existing residential areas, garage courts and flats above shops. The Council for the Protection of Rural England and the Home Builders Federation (HBF) were consulted on
the methodology and potential sites were, after consultation with HBF, included in the final report. The findings of the Urban Capacity Study were updated in June 2007 to include the latest housing monitoring figures.

3.6 The Study considered the future capacity of the Borough under two main scenarios. Scenario 1 assumed that current policy restraints remain in force for the duration of the plan and under Scenario 2, a degree of flexibility was assumed in terms of policy restraints. This included the residential redevelopment of a limited number of potential sites within employment areas, together with increases in the level of residential intensification or redevelopment elsewhere. Table 10 summarises this work.

Table 10. Anticipated Brownfield Housebuilding Capacity in Hertsmere

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Scenario 1 Policy restraints in force</th>
<th>Scenario 2 Policy restraints relaxed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing Completions 2001-2007</td>
<td>1,367</td>
<td>1,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sites in progress at March 31st 2006</td>
<td>763</td>
<td>763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sites with detailed planning permission at March 31st 2006</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sites agreed subject to completion of legal agreements</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identified Urban Capacity 2006-2021</td>
<td>1,622</td>
<td>2,154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Housing Supply 2001-2021</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,014</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,546</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.7 With regard to any considerations in relation to the Green Belt (if required), the Council considers that overall development of around 40 homes per hectare would provide a balance between the need to provide good environmental conditions within areas of new housing and the overriding requirement to minimise land-take within any current area of Green Belt. Such a density of development might require approximately 11 hectares of Green Belt land, excluding any associated infrastructure and services for housing in the Borough by 2021.

3.8 Meeting a government housing target of 5,000 could be accommodated clearly by identifying a single location of at least 11 hectares to accommodate the known shortfall of brownfield land. In addition to identifying areas of search around Borehamwood and Potters Bar within which smaller individual sites will be identified, a single large Green Belt release could also be promoted. The East of England Plan specially states where strategic or local Green Belt review will be sough in Hertfordshire; Hertsmere is not identified as one of those locations, and it is likely that a single 11 hectare Green Belt release would require a local Green Belt review.

The distribution and location of new housing

3.9 The proposed spatial development options reflect an urban capacity for the Borough which could be accommodated under Scenario 2:
- the redevelopment of some vacant or potentially vacant employment land;
- accepting some housebuilding within existing residential areas at densities closer to 40 homes per hectare; and
- accepting some housebuilding within existing residential areas, where located close to public transport, with an average of 1.5 parking spaces for new 1, 2 and 3 bedroom flats and houses.
3.10 Various brownfield sites exist in the Borough with Borehamwood and Potters Bar the principal urban locations. A number of previously developed sites in Bushey are also potential sites but there were few potential brownfield housing sites identified anywhere else in the Borough. Table 11 sets out the projected distribution of housing capacity in the Borough.

3.11 Whilst the Council will need to ensure that all sites for development beyond existing built up areas, including currently Safeguard Land, are considered, it is fundamental to the identification of suitable sites that the infrastructure is in place to support the sites. Proposed, broad areas of search for potential housing sites in the Green Belt have been identified by applying a range of high-level criteria, as defined below.

**Relevant PPG2 criteria**

Coalescence of towns: No additions to a town that would breach a 1-mile buffer as measured from the nearest point of a neighbouring settlement. Areas not resulting in the separation distance between settlements already less than 1-mile apart being further reduced, will be considered.

Encroachment of countryside: No additions to towns that would require a clear defensible barrier (railway line, motorway or A-road, river or other substantial watercourse), that is presently unbroken, to be breached.

To preserve the setting and special character of historic towns: Not to seek additions to the existing town that would breach an 800-metre (½ mile) buffer as measured from the nearest point of a designated Conservation Area in surrounding villages.

**Amenity criteria**

Areas that would result in residential development directly adjacent to or accessed through designated Employment Sites have been excluded from consideration.

**Access criteria**

Areas that could only be accessed using existing minor suburban roads or cul-de-sacs have been excluded from consideration.

**Open space criteria**

Areas that would result in the loss of school grounds or playing fields, allotment gardens, parks, town or village greens, National Trust lands and other amenity spaces that are located in the Green Belt.

**Area-size criteria**

Any areas less than two hectares in size once the above criteria have been applied have been excluded from consideration.

3.12 This process has resulted in the identification of broad areas of search for sites, as set out in Policy CS2. Specific sites need to be identified in the Site Allocations DPD. The process for identifying and selecting individual sites for allocation will be based on a number of more detailed, site-specific criteria.

**Table 11. Projected Distribution of housing capacity in Hertsmere 2001-2021**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capacity Source</th>
<th>Borehamwood</th>
<th>Bushey</th>
<th>Potters Bar</th>
<th>Radlett</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Built 2001-2007</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>1,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under construction or with permission at 31st March 2007</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identified sites</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipated intensification / redevelopment within residential areas</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other windfall opportunities</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identified capacity</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>2,154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total capacity</td>
<td>1,797</td>
<td>1,487</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>4,546</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Hertsmere BC Housing Urban Capacity Study (June 2007)

Note: Balance of total housing target to be sought through Green Belt releases.
**Policy CS1  The location and supply of new homes**

The Council will make provision for new homes in accordance with any obligations imposed in RSS14 and in providing for the new homes and identifying new locations for development, the Council will take account of:

i) environmental constraints and compliance with the key environmental policies set out in the Core Strategy (including Policies CS11, CS12 and CS14);

ii) the density of the surrounding area;

iii) the need to retain existing housing;

iv) the need to locate new development in the most accessible locations;

v) the settlement hierarchy identified in the Hertsmere Core Strategy; and

vi) the need to focus development within the boundaries of existing built-up areas.

**Policy CS2  Housing beyond existing built up areas**

Changes to the boundaries to the existing built up areas to accommodate up to 460 new homes will be focused on sites to be identified through the Site Allocations DPD, broad locations for which are shown on the Key Diagram.

The identification of individual sites will be based on a range of criteria including (but not restricted to):

i) Air quality;

ii) Noise;

iii) Transport impact;

iv) Flood risk;

v) Nature conservation value;

vi) Landscape conservation value

vii) Agricultural land quality; and

viii) Accessibility to and capacity of public transport and essential services

ix) The extent of previously developed land on the site

**Phasing and implementation strategy**

3.13 Government policy in PPS3 emphasises the need to identify land to enable continuous delivery of housing for at least 15 years from the date of adoption of DPDs. However, it is neither appropriate nor required for all housing land to be made available for development from the outset. Such an approach would compromise the ability to deliver sustainable communities across a local authority area, placing a major burden on infrastructure and community facilities, to the detriment of existing and new householders.

3.14 Consequently, the Council will use its reasonable endeavours to seek to ensure that a rolling five year supply of developable housing land is made available from the date of adoption of this Core Strategy. Given the time lag between the preparation of this Core Strategy Preferred Options Document and the final adoption of the Strategy, it will be necessary to monitor housing approval and completion rates up
until the Core Strategy is submitted for public examination. New housing sites may need to be brought into the five year land supply whilst housing land supply for the following ten years will also need to be reviewed for allocation over and above the amount of anticipated windfall housing expected to come forward on small sites.

3.15 The Council considers that the emerging East of England housing targets go beyond the upper limit of residential development which could be satisfactorily accommodated in the Borough. Under the government’s own ‘Plan, Monitor and Manage’ approach to housing (PPS3, Housing), the Council will regularly monitor the level of new housebuilding on both allocated and windfall sites, to ensure that regional housing targets are not substantially exceeded. Where the total 20 year housing target is likely to be exceeded before 2021, it is proposed that the Council should prevent any allocated housing sites, together with large windfall sites in excess of 25 new homes, from being developed. The Council will also review the release of large allocated green belt sites where their development would lead to the total housing target being significantly exceeded before 2021.

3.16 Although PPS3 requires land to be identified for at least 15 years from the date of adoption, the housing targets within the East of England Plan only run to 2021 – within a 15 year period from the expected date of adoption of this Core Strategy in 2008. Hertsmere has not been formally identified as a location for Green Belt review in the emerging East of England Plan and so it is not presently considered necessary or realistic to identify sites or broad locations for development after 2021. However, windfall development should be accommodated during the early years after 2021 at the same rate for all development between 2006 and 2021. A review of the Core Strategy will be undertaken subsequently when a revision of the East of England Plan is completed and new housing targets beyond 2021 are set.

3.17 The latest government policy in PPS3 and associated draft guidance also states that assessments of housing land demand and need should relate to ‘sub-regional housing markets’. Consequently, decisions to release housing land will also need to take account of whether the housing market in which the Borough is located is one of ‘high growth’, ‘managed release’, ‘low growth’ or ‘managed reduction’. The identification of sub-regional housing markets has not yet been agreed within the Eastern Region of England although it is suggested that the Borough be considered as a managed release market area. This is on the basis of its location outside of any defined ‘growth area’ or proposed ‘key centre for development and change’ and because of its proximity to London. However, significant housing growth, above annual housing land requirements, may have a further constraining effect on the release of sites beyond the identified five year supply. It is proposed that more than a 20% variation in planning permissions over any three year period, from the phasing sought in Policy CS3, will trigger a review of the phasing of allocated housing sites.

3.18 Housing monitoring details, including amendments to the Council’s housing trajectory, will be published each year in the Annual Monitoring Report. In between the publication of these reports, monitoring will be carried out continuously to ensure that there is up-to-date housing data available to inform the consideration of new planning applications and the adequacy of projected housing supply.

3.19 The Council considers that brownfield sites which closely reflect its Spatial Vision for the LDF should be released first, with lower priority sites released in later phases. The availability of supporting local infrastructure and community facilities and the need to provide additional capacity in some locations will also determine the timing of the release of sites for development. This is considered to be particularly pertinent given the acknowledged pressure on waste water and transport infrastructure arising from the wider regional housing requirements across central and southern Hertfordshire. Consequently, to ensure the sustainable phasing of new housing during the Plan period, where housing completions during any three year period are projected to exceed the proportion sought in each phase in Policy CS3 by 20%, planning permission will not be granted for schemes in excess of 25 units. This element of Policy CS3 is only expected to apply in exceptional circumstances where there are a number of very large windfall sites

---

12 Planning for Housing Provision and Housing Market Assessments (ODPM, 2005)
emerging in close succession - resulting in housing supply typically exceeding annualised requirements over three years by more than say 700 homes\textsuperscript{14}.

3.20 The Council proposes to base housing land releases on three phases: short term (1 - 5 years), medium term (6 - 10 years) and long term (11 - 15 years). The selection and phasing of sites will be guided by the findings of the Urban Capacity Study.

3.21 The Council’s Urban Capacity Study has identified a five year supply of potential housing development capacity capable of exceeding the East of England Plan housing requirements for that period. In total and as of April 2007, developable land for 1,271 units has been identified comprising:

- identified sites for 250 units;
- 258 homes with permission (or subject to legal agreements); and
- 763 homes under construction.

3.22 This figure exceeds the 1,250 new homes which would be required to meet an annual minimum housing requirement of 250 homes per year, as proposed in the emerging East of England Plan. The Council considers that it is realistic to include sites with planning permission within the five year supply, including those under construction, given the very high delivery rates in the Borough. Between 2001 and 2007, 2,258 new homes were granted planning permission whilst only 24 permitted units were not developed during this period, indicating a delivery rate of 99%. The Council also assumes that a significant proportion of housing coming forward in the medium term (2011-2016) will be on windfall sites. 78% of all new housing from 2001-2007 was in the form of windfall completions.

3.23 Given the high level of housing supply over the first five years, with over half of this housing expected to come from sites already approved or under construction, it is proposed that only a limited number of new sites be allocated for development within the first five years. This will reduce the extent of any overdevelopment of new housing and ensure that new development can best be co-ordinated with infrastructure requirements. The identification of additional sites to meet any shortfall from a housing target, will be reserved for release in the long term, if required and to ensure brownfield land is prioritised.

**Policy CS3 Phasing of Development**

\textit{To facilitate a sustainable rate of housebuilding within the Borough under the terms of Policies CS1 and CS2, the Council will permit phased levels of new housing development, measured from the start of the plan period in 2001:}

- **Phase 1:** Up to 57% of new homes by March 2011
- **Phase 2:** Up to 74% of new homes by March 2016
- **Phase 3:** Up to 100% of new homes by March 2021

\textit{Housing sites will be phased for periods up to March 2011, March 2016 and March 2021 through the Site Allocations DPD, to facilitate the required levels of housebuilding sought in the East of England Plan. Based on the findings of Annual Monitoring Reports, allocated land in later phases will be brought forward, if necessary, to maintain the five year supply of land for housing.}

\textit{Sufficient Greenfield sites should be identified in the Site Allocations DPD to enable the provision of land for new homes in Phase 3, if required.}

\textsuperscript{14} Based on current housing delivery and an assessment of the variation between projected housing delivery and annualised housing requirements between 2021-2015.
In order to prevent the overdevelopment of housing in the Borough ahead of required infrastructure and community facilities, outstanding residential land allocations and residential proposals of 25 units (net) or more will not be permitted where the number of projected completions, as detailed in Annual Monitoring Report housing trajectory, is forecast to exceed either

- 20% of the proportion sought in each phase over the following three years; or
- any final target of homes in the East of England Plan before 2021

Table 12. Phasing of housing capacity 2001 - 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Completions to date</th>
<th>Number of Units Short Term (2007-2011)</th>
<th>Number of Units Medium Term (2011-2016)</th>
<th>Number of Units Long Term (2016-2021)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completions 2001-2007</td>
<td>1,367</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total existing commitments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>985</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total identified site-specific capacity</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total other identified capacity</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>1,526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total capacity including commitments and dwellings completed 2001-2007</td>
<td>1,367</td>
<td>1,482</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>4,546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative total from 2001</td>
<td>1,367</td>
<td>2,849</td>
<td>3,698</td>
<td>4,546</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East of England Plan Housing target (250 homes per year 2001-2021)</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>3,750</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative housing supply balance against East of England Plan target</td>
<td>-133</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td>-454</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: 1. Numbers may not tally due to rounding
The first phasing period of four years does not correspond directly to any rolling five year supply of developable housing land as required in PPS3.
Source: Hertsmere Borough Council Urban Capacity Study (June 2007)

Affordable Housing

3.24 The availability of affordably priced homes is a key issue affecting Hertsmere and a long-term problem across the whole London commuter-belt. An increasing gap between housing costs and incomes, the loss of existing social housing through ‘right-to-buy’ and a limited supply of new affordable housing, have all contributed to this problem.

3.25 There is a clear aspiration within the community for more housing to be affordably priced, as evidenced in much of the LDF Issues and Options consultation undertaken. Although the Council is unable to directly influence the cost of housing on the open market, the Community Strategy seeks to optimise the supply of Affordable Housing in the Borough. Such Affordable Housing, as set out in the Core Strategy and as defined in Annex B of PPS3 (Housing), refers to the provision of new housing with an element of subsidy, for rent or shared ownership, rather than the development of low-cost private houses and flats. This may either be delivered with public subsidy or achieved through the value generated from the development of private housing on a site. Affordable Housing does not include the provision of low cost market housing

Hertsmere Housing Needs Survey

3.26 Government policy and associated guidance require that where there is evidence of housing need (i.e. those unable to afford to buy or rent privately), policies should require a proportion of Affordable Housing to be provided on suitable sites. The Council commissioned a Housing Needs Survey, undertaken in 2004
and 2005, in accordance with the Government’s good practice guide, which concluded that there were significant levels of housing need across the Borough.

3.27 The survey projected that there may be an annual shortfall of Affordable Housing of 351 homes. The total shortfall incorporated the projected needs of concealed households, identified as those households who cannot afford to move out of the family home. The projected annual shortfall represents a significant amount of housing need, given that it exceeds the total amount of new housing for each of the past five years. Nevertheless consultation on the LDF Issues and Options in 2006 also revealed a lack of consensus on how best to meet Affordable Housing needs in the Borough, including specific requirements for the amount of Affordable Housing to be required on privately developed sites.

3.28 The planning system has an important role to play in increasing the supply of affordably priced homes but it must be emphasised that the planning system cannot alone meet the shortfall of Affordable Housing. Regardless of the specific Affordable Housing requirements on privately developed sites, it is clear that the continued development of housing sites for affordable rent or shared equity by Housing Associations and other agencies will be needed if more of the shortfall is to be met.

National and regional policy

3.29 Specific requirements for the delivery of Affordable Housing through the planning system are set out in a range of documents. The latest Government policy (PPS3) sets out an indicative national threshold of sites of 15 units, above which Affordable Housing should be sought. On this basis, it is considered appropriate to seek a basic ‘trigger’ threshold of 15 units (or 0.5 hectares). The Council will seek to ensure that proposals involving the underdevelopment or splitting up of large sites, in order to avoid Affordable Housing requirements, are not be permitted. The focus of the new threshold is likely to be on areas where allocated or windfall development opportunities are expected to arise. Other locations will only be considered where sites are accessible to public transport and local services.

3.30 The proposed parameters for setting the proportion of affordable homes on privately developed sites are set out in the draft East of England Plan (Policy SS13). The draft Plan proposed that at least 30% of housing supply in all local authority areas is developed as social rented housing together with the equivalent of a further 3% in the form of key worker housing. There is also an ‘aspiration’ that at least 40% is provided in areas of housing stress and whilst these are not specifically identified, towns and cities in the south of the region close to London are considered to be ‘hot spots’ of housing pressure. The proposed changes since published by the Secretary of State seeks to increase the minimum Affordable Housing requirement to 35% (from all planning permissions) upon adoption of the East of England Plan albeit from a variety of tenures, rather than solely social rented development.

3.31 In working towards meeting the community’s need for affordable homes, the Council considers that there are many sites and locations where the provision of Affordable Housing will continue be viable. Over 300 new Affordable Housing units have been delivered in the Borough since 2001 under the terms of the Council’s current Affordable Housing policy requirement of 25% of new homes on qualifying privately developed sites of 25 or more units or 1 hectare. The Council will continue to seek the provision of at least 25% on qualifying sites (of 15 units or more), pending the finalisation of Affordable Housing requirements in the East of England Plan and any requirement for an increased proportion of Affordable Housing on privately developed sites. Assuming that three-quarters of new housing development will continue to come forward from sites of 15 or more units, following adoption of the East of England Plan in 2007, this equates to an Affordable Housing target of around 940 homes out of the government’s 5,000 housing target. In two of the past five years, with a 25% quota the actual level of provision has exceeded 30% of all new housing built in the Borough including the development of sites with 100% Affordable Housing such as at Hartspring Lane in Bushey. The lowering of the threshold to 15 units, in line with the requirements of PPS3, is expected to further increase the supply of new Affordable Housing in the Borough.

16 1,021 out of 1,367 new homes built between 2001 and 2007 were developed on sites of 15 or more units, amounting to 75% of completions. (source: Hertsmere BC monitoring)
3.32 There are recognised to be a limited number of situations where it may be unviable or physically or logistically impractical to provide such a high proportion of Affordable Housing. This may be the case on some smaller or remote sites or in locations where the development density and residual values are restricted. There is therefore considered to be a need for limited flexibility to enable certain sites to provide a slightly reduced level of affordable housing where there is evidence to demonstrate:

1. that it would be economically unviable to provide 25% of housing on the site in the form of Affordable Housing; or
2. that the requirement to provide a reasonable and practicable mix of unit sizes across a site would necessitate a reduction in the number of affordable homes

3.33 Any reduction in the level of on-site Affordable Housing provision should not affect the need to provide suitably sized residential units, which broadly reflect the overall housing mix of the site. Supplementary Planning Document on Affordable Housing, to replace existing Supplementary Planning Guidance, will provide additional clarification on where the lower Affordable Housing requirement will be considered acceptable. It will also clarify arrangements for the delivery, funding and implementation of different Affordable Housing tenures.

3.34 Affordable Housing requirements will need to be met through the provision of both social rented and shared equity housing – the latter being, in part, directed towards key workers. Given the present uncertainty in the emerging East of England Plan over the proportion to be attributed towards different Affordable Housing tenures, there is no clear regional basis for setting specific affordable tenure requirements. The Council, therefore, considers that the Affordable Housing tenure mix is best considered on a site-by-site basis, in consultation with the Housing Department, having regard to a guideline 75% / 25% split between social rented housing and intermediate housing. This suggested proportion represents a slight reduction in intermediate housing requirements from the current maximum of one-third of new Affordable Housing sought on privately developed sites. This follows recent difficulties in the Borough in selling shared equity housing and more closely reflects the ratio between tenures in two regional Affordable Housing Studies, identified in paragraph 4.63 of the East of England Plan. Should more specific requirements be set out in the finalised East of England Plan, the guideline 75% / 25% split may need to be reviewed.

Policy CS4 Affordable Housing

In order to optimise the supply of Affordable Housing, developments involving more than 15 residential units (gross), or residential sites of more than 0.5 hectares, should make provision for an element of Affordable Housing.

The Council will continue to seek the provision of at least 25% Affordable Housing on qualifying sites, pending the finalisation of Affordable Housing requirements in the East of England Plan, equating to an overall Affordable Housing target of 940 homes (out of an overall government housing target of 5,000 homes).

On sites requiring the provision of Affordable Housing and subject to other relevant DPD / Local Plan policies, the Council will seek to maximise the provision of affordable, rented accommodation managed through a Registered Social Landlord unless otherwise agreed with the Council’s Housing Department.

Affordable Housing in rural areas

3.35 The Housing Needs Survey identified a significant scale of need for Affordable Housing in the smaller settlements of Shenley, South Mimms and Elstree. The three villages had a respective need of 131, 191 and 192 units between 2004 and 2009. The Survey did not cover the smaller villages in the Borough.
3.36 All of the Council’s villages are located in the Green Belt, with the exception of part of Elstree and there is always likely to be a limited supply of new development opportunities in these locations. Those which do arise are likely to fall below the Affordable Housing site threshold set in Policy CS4. To enable Affordable Housing to be provided in rural settlements to meet local needs, PPS3 allows new Affordable Housing to be permitted on small sites in or adjoining existing settlements. These are sites which would not normally be released for market housing but in the case of specified rural settlements, housing can be accommodated as an exception to normal policies.

3.37 The focus of a rural exceptions policy will be on the larger villages (Shenley and Elstree), where a need has been identified and a development can be managed through a Registered Social Landlord. However, should a genuine need be identified on a suitable site in the smaller villages, it is also proposed to permit small “exceptions” sites in those locations. Typically, this would comprise schemes of no more than 10 residential units in the larger villages, with no more than five units in any “exceptions” sites in smaller villages. Such need would be most appropriately identified in the smaller villages through the preparation of a Village or Parish Plan, prepared by the local community and supported by a robust local needs survey. The provision of affordable homes on any ‘exceptions sites’ would need to be in perpetuity and in doing so, would result in future tenants of those homes being ineligible for the ‘right to buy’. This restriction is consistent with the overall objectives of Section 157 of the Housing Act 1985 and will prevent “exceptions” development in the Green Belt becoming part of the private housing market over time.

Policy CS5  Affordable Housing in rural areas on “Exception” sites

Designated “smaller” settlements in the Green Belt, as set out on the Core Strategy Key Diagram, may be permitted to include the development of small scale Affordable Housing schemes as an exception to normal policies. “Exception” sites should be small in scale in relation to the size of settlements and such schemes should meet the identified needs of people local to the village or settlement, remain affordable in perpetuity and be managed by a Registered Social Landlord. Priority will be given to sites located on previously developed land within settlements and the scale of development should not exceed the level of need identified or have adverse effects on the natural and built environment.

Gypsies and Travellers

3.38 In addition to the needs of the settled population, the Council is required by the Government to take full account of the housing needs of those who pursue a more itinerant or travelling lifestyle. A new government Circular was issued in January 2006 which requires an assessment of local Gypsy and Traveller housing need and if necessary, the provision of additional sites to meet this need. The Borough presently has four authorised sites for the Gypsy and Traveller communities with up to 56 pitches17 but there are concerns across the region that an insufficient number of sites in the region has led to a rise in the number of unauthorised encampments.

3.39 Hertsmere Borough Council commissioned a joint needs assessment in 2004 with Hertfordshire County Council and four other districts in the County to establish the level of unmet need in south and west Hertfordshire. An Assessment of the Accommodation Needs of Gypsies and Travellers in South and West Hertfordshire, produced by the Centre for Urban and Regional Studies (CURS), concluded that there was a total need for 155 pitches to 2011 across the entire study area comprising:

- 90 additional plots required now on local authority and private sites;
- 35 plots arising from household growth from existing families over the next five years; and
- 30 plots from three 10-plot transit sites to accommodate potential, unauthorised encampments.

---

17 A pitch is the space required to accommodate one household. The regional average is 1.7 caravans per pitch.
3.40 More recently, a single issue review of the East of England Plan, relating to Gypsy and Traveller requirements, has been commenced by EERA. The Council has raised concerns with EERA over the policy options which have been published. It is also recognised that the Hertsmere Core Strategy may be too far advanced by the time the East of England Plan alteration is completed, to take full account of its final requirements. Nevertheless, EERA in its consultation paper suggests that Hertsmere should make provision for up to 17 additional pitches by 2011, broadly reflecting the level of need identified in the CURS report.

3.41 The Council has recently granted planning permission for six additional pitches on the Pylon site in Barnet Road, Potters Bar, reducing the requirement to 11 pitches. Given that there may be scope for small extensions on one or more of the other existing authorised sites it is likely that there will be a need for no more than one additional site to accommodate up to 8 to 10 pitches. The Council will also consider the potential for extending the existing transit site at South Mimms or providing some permanent pitches here and also recognises that windfall sites could potentially come forward in the interim, further reducing the requirement to allocate new or extended sites through the Site Allocations DPD. Out of a total government requirement of 5,000 houses for the community, eight to ten further additional pitches for Gypsies and Travellers represents a very small percentage.

3.42 A follow up study was commissioned by the local authorities in South and West Hertfordshire to identify broad areas within which the number of required sites could be located. Although this study, produced by Scott Wilson, devised a number of criteria and suggested a total of 85 potential locations for further consideration across South and West Hertfordshire (including 21 areas in Hertsmere), these locations are the recommendation of Scott Wilson and are in no way endorsed by the Council. A follow-up assessment of potential sites, including extensions to existing permanent and transit sites (this not being undertaken in the Scott Wilson report), will be undertaken by the Council. Land ownership will also need to be taken into consideration as will the ability for any future sites to be managed by the County Council or a Housing Association. This will enable the pitches to be retained for use by local Gypsy and Traveller families.

3.43 The Council recognises the need to engage the whole community when planning for future housing needs and in July 2007 held a series of public meetings to provide information on the Council’s responsibilities to identify sites for Gypsies and Travellers. Over 1,200 people attended these meetings with the minutes available to view on the Council website. In planning for Gypsy and Traveller pitch provision, it will be important for the Council to take into consideration the wide range of views expressed at these meetings. The Council recognises the need for a balance to be found between the legitimate needs of the Gypsy and Traveller community and the need for any new sites to be fairly distributed in suitable locations, as well as having a minimal impact on the local environment. Following the recent granting of permission to extend the Pylon site in Potters Bar, the identification of any new or extended sites for a further 11 pitches will be considered in the Site Allocations DPD against a number of criteria which are set out in Policy CS6 below.

Policy CS6 Gypsy and Traveller sites

The Council will seek to provide for the further needs of Gypsies and Travellers (as defined in Circular 01/06). On the basis of identified need within south and west Hertfordshire, the Council will seek to provide for up to 11 additional pitches through the identification of land in the Site Allocations DPD. In identifying any required potential sites, consideration will be based on a range of criteria including:

i) the potential of existing sites to accommodate additional pitches;

ii) a sequential site selection process with an emphasis on land which has been previously developed;

iii) safe and convenient access to the primary road network with proximity to the major road network and without blocking any existing rights of way;
iv) avoiding prejudicing nearby residential or rural amenity as a result of visual intrusion, excessive noise, lighting, traffic generation or activity at unsocial hours;

v) avoiding overdominating and respecting the size and scale of the nearest settled community, ensuring that there is not an undue level of Gypsy and Traveller pitches in any one part of the Borough which might result in an adverse impact on the local environment and / or infrastructure;

vi) safe and acceptable environmental conditions within the site including the need to avoid air and noise pollution and significantly contaminated land;

vii) an ability to receive essential services including water, sewerage, drainage and water disposal;

viii) an ability for the site to be effectively managed for Gypsies and Travellers with local connections;

ix) a location within reasonable proximity to key local services;

x) the potential for a site to be effectively landscaped and where necessary, an adequate buffer between the site and any nearby housing;

xi) the potential risk of flooding or the ability to mitigate this risk; and ensuring any other adverse effects on the built and natural environment are avoided and / or mitigated including compliance with the key environmental policies set out in the Core Strategy (including Policies CS11, CS12 and CS14); and

xii) the likely availability of the site to accommodate Gypsy and Traveller pitches.

The mix of new housing

3.44 Ensuring that new homes built in the Borough meet local needs will require an appropriate mix of housing to be delivered to 2021. The trend in recent years has been for the development of smaller properties, with 61% of new homes (flats or houses) in 2004/2005 and 70% of new homes in 2003/2004 in the Borough having only one or two bedrooms. The unprecedented number of flats being built across Hertsmere reflects the increased demand from either newly forming households or those who have moved into the area: young couples and single professionals and those downsizing such as the recently divorced or separated. As the population ages and the trend towards smaller households continues, it will be important to ensure that right types of homes are built within overall regional housebuilding targets.

3.45 The Council’s Housing Needs Survey suggested a need to provide a more balanced, overall housing stock through increased numbers of flats and terraced houses. Only 8% of new housing between 2003 and 2005 comprised four or more bedroom homes although much of the imbalance in the housing stock relates to the older housing within the Borough. The total amount of new housebuilding envisaged over the plan period will represent less than 15% of the total existing housing stock. However, broad controls over the mix of housing size (number of bedrooms) and type (e.g. houses, maisonettes or flats) are considered to be necessary to ensure that larger developments contain a good housing mix, reflecting local need.

3.46 New Government guidance in PPS3 (Housing) also requires provision to be made in LDFs for the balance between different household types across the Plan period. Whilst the Housing Needs Survey concluded that existing households’ requirements are largely met through stock turnover, the Council wishes to ensure that additions to the market housing stock retain a broad mix until 2021. Consequently, it is considered reasonable to ensure that developments of ten or more units contain some variation in the size of units. Considerably larger developments will be expected to contain a greater variety in terms of both housing size and type, including a mix of flats and houses.
3.47 In the case of large market housing schemes, typically in excess of 25 new homes, development sites will be expected to have some regard to the findings of the Housing Needs Survey. The Survey concluded that amongst newly forming households, there may be a greater level of need and (in terms of affordability) preference for flats over terraced houses. Although there is a need to avoid an overly prescriptive approach to the preferred housing mix within new developments, the Council recognises the importance of ensuring that there is sufficient choice within larger new housing schemes respecting the prevailing character and need of an area. Consequently, developments in excess of 25 new homes which contain either a very low proportion of flats or a high proportion of large, executive-type (four or more bedrooms) homes are unlikely to meet this requirement.

3.48 The housing mix within the affordable proportion of a scheme will be expected to broadly reflect the mix within the overall site. The Housing Needs Survey concluded that approximately two-thirds of concealed households have a need for one and particularly, two bedroom flats, ahead of terraced and semi-detached homes. It is likely that a mix of flats and houses will need to be provided on larger Affordable Housing sites but developers will be expected to consult with the Council’s Housing Unit on the precise mix within each scheme. Further details of Affordable Housing mix requirements will be set out in the Affordable Housing SPD being prepared by the Council.

3.49 The needs of an ageing population are also reflected in the Housing Needs Survey which identified a requirement for almost 1,200 sheltered housing units by 2021. This was in addition to other forms of housing for older people including residential and care home bedspaces or accommodation with relatives. The Council recognises that many older people wish to remain in their own home and Policy CS20 of the Core Strategy seeks to ensure that 100% of all new homes are built to life-time homes standards.

3.50 The Council will encourage the development of sheltered or ‘very sheltered’ (extra care) housing and nursing or residential care homes in suitable locations. Sheltered or very sheltered housing are defined as self-contained, independent housing units with a level of on-site support and communal facilities. On particularly large development sites in excess of 100 new homes, including significant developments sites identified in the Site Allocations DPD, a proportion of sheltered or very sheltered housing will be sought as part of the overall housing mix. Unless sheltered or very sheltered housing is made available for affordable rent and through a Registered Social Landlord, the Council will consider them as market rather than Affordable Housing.

Policy CS7 Housing mix

To help meet local housing needs, proposals for new housing should provide an appropriate mix of new homes in terms of housing size and type. Development proposals will be permitted, subject to the requirements of other relevant DPD / Local Plan polices, so long as:

i) housing developments in excess of 10 units (gross) contain some variation within their housing mix, with sites over 25 units or 1 hectare reflecting identified variations within the Borough’s housing need, subject to proposals respecting the prevailing character of the area; and

ii) on development sites of 100 or more units (gross), a proportion of sheltered or very sheltered housing is provided as part of the overall housing mix.

The Council will seek to identify land, through the Site Allocations DPD, where sheltered housing can be included as part of any future development.

---

Source: Hertfordshire Observatory, 2006. Unemployment in Cowley Hill Ward standards at 4.1%
Hertsmere Borough Council Monitoring, 2005
4. Employment and the Economy

“Local development documents will allocate employment land to provide a range of sites and premises to meet the quantitative and qualitative needs of business.”
Policy E3, P.109, Draft East of England Plan

“...a leading economy, founded on our world-class knowledge base and the creativity and enterprise of our people, in order to improve the quality of life of all who live and work here.”
Vision Statement, Regional Economic Strategy for the East of England

“Encourage economic development, lifelong learning, employment and regeneration opportunities”
Strategic Objective, P.15 Community Strategy

“To support businesses of all sizes and to help promote local skills, focusing on areas of deprivation”
LDF Core Strategy Objective 10

“To provide a planning framework which promotes sustainable and competitive economic performance, in support of regional jobs growth requirements”
LDF Core Strategy Objective 11

4.1 Owing to the excellent strategic location of the Borough, its road and rail links and the availability of a skilled local workforce, Hertsmere benefits from a strong local economy and forms a key part of a wider economic area across the London commuter belt. There continues to be ready, local access to a range of job opportunities and in 2005, unemployment stood at 2%.

4.2 Pockets of deprivation with slightly higher rates of unemployment continue to exist, particularly in parts of Borehamwood where unemployment is approximately twice that of the Borough average\(^\text{20}\). The LDF has an important role to play in ensuring that local jobs and training opportunities are maintained or facilitated, alongside the Investing in Communities programme which has identified the Cowley Hill ward as one of three priority renewal areas in Hertfordshire. However, the strength of the overall local economy is considered to be a key local asset. Maintaining this competitive economy, in a way which supports local business and workforce requirements, represents a key challenge for the Council.

The Local Economy

4.3 Approximately 216 hectares (494 acres)\(^\text{21}\) of land across the Borough is used for key employment generating activities such as offices, industry and warehousing. Approximately 106 hectares are presently designated for office, industrial, warehousing and a limited range of other activities, in six locations across Borehamwood, Elstree, Potters Bar and North Bushey.

4.4 Long-standing controls over the types of uses permissible within the five designated Employment Areas and a Key Employment Site (Centennial Park, Elstree) have helped to retain many local jobs. They have also provided a suitable location for large industrial or distribution premises which cannot realistically locate in residential, town centre or other locations. In addition to these designated Employment Areas, approximately half of the workforce is employed in schools, healthcare, the film and television industry, town centres and elsewhere, including a number of rural locations such as the Bio Products Laboratory (Aldenham) and National Institute for Biological Standards and Control (South Mimms).

\(^{20}\) Annual Business Inquiry, 2004 (excludes self-employed)
\(^{21}\) Source: 2001 Census
4.5 There are approximately 42,000 jobs in the Borough, with a particular emphasis on knowledge-based sectors such as communication, finance and banking, computing, media and hi-tech manufacturing. For the purposes of this document, B-space employment comprises activities within Classes B1-B8 of the Use Classes Order, along with a limited range of related activities such as construction, waste disposal and vehicle repairs.

4.6 The B-space proportion is similar to the Hertfordshire and London averages, but 10% higher than the national average with significantly more office-based employment in Hertsmere than other parts of England. In total, there is estimated to be 213,000 sq m of existing office floorspace and 432,000 sq m of existing industrial / warehousing development. A further 77,300 sq m of office floorspace and 11,100 sq m of industrial / warehousing floorspace is potentially available in terms of vacant floorspace and unimplemented planning consents.

4.7 Much of the local economy is based on small, local businesses, with 88% of businesses employing up to 10 people, higher than the national average of 83% and the County average of 86%. Nevertheless, the Borough is home to a number of major employers with over 500 employees and whose workforce invariably comes from further afield, including the BBC, Pinnacle Insurance, Canada Life, Soundcraft and Bio Products Laboratory.

4.8 Although unemployment remains low across most of the Borough, residents’ travel to work patterns reveal a high degree of mobility. 37% of the resident workforce commute to London and only 41% actually work in the Borough. Hertsmere has a significant number of in-commuters and continues to be a ‘net exporter’ of labour resulting in a small, negative commuting balance. However, out-commuting has decreased by more than half since 1991 as the local economy has grown and local skills levels have increased, resulting in more job opportunities for the local population. The Council recognises that there will always be a significant amount of out-commuting to both London and other nearby centres of employment. However, ensuring an employment land supply which enables a proportion of the resident workforce to be able to work locally, represents an important measure of local economic and environmental sustainability.

4.9 Despite the strength of the local economy, there are significant pressures to develop employment land and buildings for other land uses and in particular, for residential development. Government policy in PPS3 requires Local Planning Authorities to consider whether sites currently allocated for industrial or commercial use could be more appropriately re-allocated for housing development. The majority of respondents to LDF consultations undertaken to date, have also stated a preference for the redevelopment of surplus employment land, ahead of Green Belt or any other sites.

4.10 There are currently no significant areas of vacant industrial or warehousing land in Hertsmere, although approximately 45,000 sq m of office accommodation presently lies vacant. Much of this is contained within Imperial Place, formerly the headquarters of T-Mobile, whose departure in 2001 affected the Borehamwood office market and left the town over-supplied with office space. Imperial Place has been refurbished and has started to attract new occupiers, underlying a gradual strengthening in demand in office space in the town.

4.11 Notwithstanding the pressure to reallocate employment land for new homes, the East of England Plan proposes significant jobs growth targets, which amount to 64,700 extra jobs across the County to 2021. An increased figure of 77,000 was recommended in the Panel report, following the Examination in Public into the Plan, although serious concerns were expressed throughout the Examination about the derivation and robustness of these targets and their adequacy as a sound tool for setting local employment and housing land requirements (paragraph 6.11, Panel Report). Consequently, the Secretary of State’s rejected this level of jobs growth and proposed a reduction in the level of jobs growth to 68,000 for the whole of the County and 50,000 for the seven London Arc districts in Hertfordshire.

---

22 Based on various criteria including (1) the percentage of knowledge based jobs in central Hertfordshire (23%) being above national (17%) and south east (20%) average and (2) the proportion of VAT registered firms being above the national and south east average.
The Central Hertfordshire Employment Land Review

4.12 Given the pressure to develop surplus employment land for new housing, the Council has sought to assess the extent of available business floorspace in the Borough. In 2005, the Council commissioned a study with St Albans City and District Council and Welwyn Hatfield District Council, to identify employment land supply, demand and market trends across the three local authority areas. The study, known as the interim Central Hertfordshire Employment Land Review (CHELR), was completed in November 2006 and considered the extent of the local economy and property market, in order to arrive at a quantitative and qualitative position on the supply and demand of business floorspace in the Borough. The report has an interim status with its recommendations based on the original level of jobs growth sought in the East of England Plan.

4.13 The CHELR identified the draft East of England Plan target of 64,700 extra jobs (county-wide) as the preferred and most likely scenario, considering that the other scenarios were at the lower and upper limits of likely future growth. The draft Plan’s jobs growth forecast was based on a full economic forecast, broken down on a district and sectoral basis. The CHELR report also provided for some flexibility by building in a land supply allowance for market ‘churn’ (the turnover of existing sites) and delays in the take-up of new sites in the market place through construction or infrastructure delays. The margin was derived from past levels of completed development amounting to 8% of office stock and 3% of industrial and warehousing stock.

4.14 The key conclusions from the CHELR report will have an important bearing on the supply of land for employment and housing development to 2021 and included:

- Hertsmere’s potential for growth and wealth creation is higher than equivalent national or regional benchmarks;
- there are no current, major economic deficiencies which need to be addressed through planning policy;
- a forecasted shortfall of office space in Hertsmere amounting to between 21,338 sq m, likely to materialise towards the end of the 15 year plan period;
- a forecasted oversupply of industrial / warehousing space over the next 15 years, amounting to between 12,652 sq m;
- varying quality of business accommodation, with approximately 30 hectares of employment sites considered to be of poor quality;
- the Council should consider releasing some of the poorer stock, allocating additional sites for employment use;
- any additional sites may need to be greenfield extensions to existing designated Employment Areas and Sites, such as Centennial Park and Cranborne Road;
- high-tech service sectors, including computing, communications and film / television, should be specifically supported;
- lower graded and corresponding lower skilled B-space should be specifically protected;
- surplus demand for office accommodation in the later years of the Plan period could migrate to neighbouring areas, including Hatfield Business Park and North London;
- existing good quality employment sites, which are not presently protected, should be identified for further protection; and
- regular monitoring and review of supply and demand data throughout the plan period.
The location, scale and type of new employment development

4.15 One of the key roles of the LDF is to maximise economic development and employment opportunities in the Borough. This is set out in the LDF spatial vision and is consistent with key objective 11 of the Core Strategy, which seeks to provide the planning framework to promote sustainable and competitive economic performance. The CHLR concluded that there are no major economic deficiencies to be addressed in Hertsmere. Furthermore, it concluded that even with a significant allowance for market churn and delays, the overall supply of employment land would meet expected demand over the next 15 years.

4.16 The CHLR estimated a small shortfall of 21,338 sq m of office accommodation over a 20 year period, taking account of planned supply in the market such as existing vacant sites and unimplemented planning permissions. Given the increased plot ratios at which offices can be developed, it is likely that this office shortfall would be more than offset by a predicted surplus of 12,652 sq m of industrial / warehousing. Based on a subsequent update to the CHLR, to take account of the small reduction in jobs growth requirements proposed by the Secretary of State, the office shortfall was lowered to 19,963 sq m and the industrial / warehousing surplus increased to 14,171 sq m. Nevertheless, both these amounts are considered to be small and potentially within the margins of error of an employment land study, given that there is estimated to be in excess of 600,000 sq m of B-space floorspace in the Borough.

4.17 Converting these employment forecasts into land requirements has been done on the basis of a plot ratio of 75% for office developments and 40% for industrial and warehousing development\(^2\). Increased plot ratios for office developments are likely to occur, in particular, within the Elstree Way Employment Area which contains a number of town-centre type high density, multi-storey office developments and has been identified through Policy CS10 as a location for new office development in excess of 2,500 sq m.

Table 13. B-space land requirements (based on proposed changes to the East of England Plan)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Use</th>
<th>Shortfall supply to 2021</th>
<th>Surplus supply to 2021</th>
<th>Land Equivalent (hectares)</th>
<th>Overall Market balance (hectares)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Offices</td>
<td>-19,963 sq m</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.66ha</td>
<td>+0.88 hectares</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial and Warehousing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+14,171 sq m</td>
<td>3.54ha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.18 A small B-space market balance (or surplus) of +0.88 hectares is projected to occur. On this basis, the Council does not consider that there is any specific need to extend existing or create new Employment Areas in the Borough, in order to sustain a competitive local economy. There is also, therefore, considered to be no justification for allocating the area of land safeguarded for employment development in the current Local Plan, at Cranborne Road, Potters Bar. Large-scale releases of designated employment land are also not advocated given the scale of the identified B-space surplus.

4.19 The retention of most, if not all, existing, designated employment land in the Borough is likely to represent the most logical way of maintaining some control over the supply of B-space accommodation. However, very limited releases of designated employment land may need to be considered where sites are anticipated to become vacant and which might offer some potential to provide sites for new housing - in line with the latest guidance in PPS3 (Housing) on focusing housing on previously developed land. The Council also recognises that surplus demand for office accommodation, as recommended by the CHLR, is capable of migrating to larger, nearby centres of employment including Hatfield Business Park and North London. Limited releases will need to be considered on their individual merits and are expected to be offset by the identification of new Locally Significant Employment Sites (see Policy CS9 and paragraph 3.23) with more than 100 hectares of B-space accommodation located within currently unprotected sites, large office developments and trading estates.

4.20 Alongside the Borough’s strategic Employment Areas, maintaining an adequate supply of business accommodation will require a degree of protection over smaller, undesignated employment sites. This will help to ensure a supply of smaller sites and business units over the Plan period, recognising the importance attached in the CHELR to small business units and good quality sites outside of Employment Areas. Locally Significant Employment Sites over 0.25 hectares will be identified in the Site Allocations DPD and detailed criteria for their use will be set out in the Development Control Policies DPD. Such an approach will ensure a degree of protection can be afforded to viable sites and premises with satisfactory access and environmental conditions, ensuring a supply of accommodation to a range of other businesses, including those relying on the local workforce.

4.21 The Council’s Urban Capacity Study identified 3.6 hectares of Employment Land in Elstree Way, Borehamwood which is anticipated to become vacant where landowners have formally drawn this to the Council’s attention through initial consultation on the Core Strategy and Site Allocations DPDs. Clearly, the Council has to balance economic objectives with the need to allocate sufficient land for new housebuilding and the Urban Capacity Study identified that, in the absence of any policy changes – including limited employment land releases – there is unlikely to be sufficient brownfield land to meet regional housebuilding requirements.

4.22 The conclusions of the CHELR are important in that they would not support any substantial net loss of employment land. The Council therefore supports the limited release of no more than 4 hectares of previously designated employment land in the Elstree Way Employment Area, subject to the detailed criteria in Policy CS8, which can be allocated for housing-led development. Such releases are expected to be off-set or exceeded by the identification of Locally Significant Employment Sites in the Borough and on this basis, the Council considers that there would be sufficient provision for employment land in the Borough, consistent with regional jobs growth aspirations in the emerging East of England Plan, the recommendations of the CHELR and its own Community Strategy and LDF Objectives relating to economic development and performance. Any further Employment Area releases, during the Plan period, will need to be considered through a review of the Core Strategy and Site Allocations DPDs which would also need to consider the need for compensatory employment land allocations elsewhere.

Policy CS8 Scale and distribution of employment land

In order to encourage economic development and promote a competitive local economy, provision will be made for the supply of at least 102 ha of strategically designated employment land24 for B-class development within the Borough up to 2021, focused on the following locations and as identified on the Key Diagram:

Employment Areas
• Elstree Way, Borehamwood
• Stirling Way, Borehamwood
• Cranborne Road, Potters Bar
• Station Close, Potters Bar
• Otterspool Way, Bushey

Key Employment Site
• Centennial Park, Elstree

24 The total area of designated employment land may change following finalisation of jobs growth targets in the adopted East of England Plan, expected in mid-2007. Additional consultation on the Preferred Options Core Strategy report will be undertaken if the changes are significant.
The boundaries of these locations will be clarified in the Site Allocations DPD including the limited release of up to 4 hectares of previously designated land within the Elstree Way Employment Area for new housing or housing-led mixed-use development where appropriate. The precise boundary of individual sites to be released will be based on an assessment of whether:

i) an acceptable environment can be provided for housing-led development, including its impact on local traffic levels;

ii) a housing-led development would prejudice the ability of nearby businesses to operate;

iii) the employment land release would prejudice the implementation of the Elstree Way Corridor Planning and Design Brief and other Council economic development and regeneration strategies; and whether

iv) any adverse effects on the built and natural environment can be avoided, mitigated and/or compensated.

Policy CS9 Local Significant Employment Sites

In order to sustain a competitive local economy with good access to employment for the local population, the Council will seek to maintain a supply of smaller, business units across the Borough. The Council will work with key partners, including the South West Hertfordshire Business Partnership and local Chambers of Commerce, to identify Local Significant Employment Sites subject to the provisions of Policies CS22, CS23 and other relevant DPD / Local Plan environmental policies. These sites will comprise economically viable business accommodation of 0.25 hectares or more with satisfactory access, parking and environmental conditions, for B-class and other identified, employment generating uses. Any redevelopment of a Locally Significant Employment Site for housing or other development, will be based on an assessment of the criteria in Policy CS8.

Land use within Employment Areas

4.23 National planning policy (PPG4, Industrial, Commercial Development and Small Firms) continues to discourage the placing of restrictions on the type of activities which are permitted within the B1 Use Class. For a number of years, Hertsmere has sought to limit the proportion of B1 (a) office development within any designated employment site to 50% of overall gross floorspace. However, the CHELR work undertaken suggests that there is likely to be a small deficit, rather than any surplus of office accommodation, and advocates the removal of current restrictions on the amount of office development within any particular site.

4.24 The Council recognises that office development can generate higher employment densities and there are significant traffic and parking problems in many existing Employment Areas. LDF consultation undertaken in Spring 2006, including a workshop for local business stakeholders and an extensive business survey, raised particular concerns about parking within a number of the Borough’s industrial estates. It is, therefore, considered appropriate to limit major office developments in the Borough to more sustainable locations, reflecting Policy CS21 (Development and Accessibility). Consequently, new proposals in Employment Areas for more than 2,500 sq m (net) of office floorspace (including ancillary office accommodation) will be limited to locations in the Elstree Way, Borehamwood Employment Area. Other Employment Areas are either poorly served by public transport or are considered to be too small to accommodate large office developments.
4.25 Whilst the Council considers that the focus within designated Employment Areas should remain on B-class development, a limited range of other uses will also be considered. These generally comprise related ‘Sui Generis’ uses which are most appropriately sited within a specific employment or industrial estate setting: builders merchants, waste management facilities, vehicle depots, film / television production and certain quasi-retail operations such as builders merchants and car-dealerships with a substantial proportion of servicing and repairs floorspace. Other uses will not be permitted except where they are clearly subordinate in scale and ancillary to the main use(s), rather than attracting visitors in their own right.

**Policy CS10  Land use within employment areas**

*Activities within designated Employment Areas will be limited to office, industrial, warehousing and other B-class uses. Any new office development exceeding 2,500 sq m within Employment Areas will be limited to the Elstree Way, Borehamwood Employment Area, subject to meeting environmental and other relevant DPD / Local Plan Policies.*

*Certain other uses will also be permitted within Employment Areas, comprising waste management, builders merchants, film / television studios and production, and car dealerships and trade counter operations where the extent of any (non-trade) retail or sales activity display remains ancillary to the principal use of the site. All development should meet the requirements of Policies CS12 and CS15 to ensure that potential contamination is minimised and remediated.*

**Promoting the film and television industry**

4.26 Borehamwood and Elstree have a long history of association with film and television production going back to the early years of the 20th Century. At one time, there were six major studios located in and around Borehamwood. Although a general decline in the British film industry resulted in the closure of some of the studios, three studios continue to be active in the town: Elstree Film Studios, BBC Elstree Centre and the smaller Millennium Studios. All three studios are involved in the production and filming of nationally and internationally known film and television.

4.27 The two principal studios have a combined area of 12 hectares. They have 10 sound stages between them and are key local assets, bringing investment into the town and forming an important part of the town’s profile and identity. Public consultation on the LDF Issues and Report in 2006 revealed that local support exists for promoting the film and television industry in the Borough and there are considered to be clear economic benefits from taking steps to help secure the retention and expansion of the industry in the town.

4.28 The Council considers that a positive planning policy context for the future of these sites is necessary to facilitate their retention and promotion for film and television production. This is consistent with the conclusions of the CHELR which suggest that measures are taken to support and enhance local strengths across the sub-region, such as the specialist film and television industry. Whilst recognising the environmental constraints of both sites close to residential and town centre uses, the Council will support proposals which help secure the continued retention of the two principal studios for film and television production and ancillary uses.

4.29 The commencement of provisions in the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 has also provided Local Authorities with the scope to make a Local Development Order (LDO) for a particular area. To facilitate the day-to-day operational requirements of the two principal studios in Borehamwood and maintain the studios as attractive locations for film and television production, the Council will consider making provision for a future LDO in these two locations. An LDO would grant permission for certain types of development (as specified in the LDO) and in doing so, would remove the need for a planning application for some of the small-scale changes which take place within the studio sites.
Support was expressed for this approach as part of earlier consultation on the LDF in 2006. However, an LDO would need to take account of residential and other land uses located close to the studios and would clearly need to be limited to those operational activities which have no adverse effect on neighbouring properties. However, the intention would be to ease planning controls over those small-scale changes which are generally granted permission by the Council.

Policy CS11 Promoting film and television production in Hertsmere

To promote the retention and growth of the film and television production industry in the Borough, the Council will support proposals relating to film and television production and ancillary or associated uses in Borehamwood. Proposals to refurbish and upgrade the Elstree Film Studios and BBC Elstree Centre will be supported subject to environmental constraints and other relevant policies.

In order to facilitate the operational requirements of the film and television production industry, the Council will also seek to make a Local Development Order (LDO) on the principal studio sites. The LDO will grant permission for future, small-scale changes within these sites relating to their primary use as locations for film and television production.
5. Open Land and the Environment

“Improve opportunities for people to engage in a healthy, active lifestyle through the development of facilities, activities and cultural opportunities”
Key aim, P.17, Community Strategy

“To protect the Green Belt”
LDF Core Strategy Objective 2

“To improve environmental and streetscape quality in town centres and protect and enhance the built heritage of Hertsmere”
LDF Core Strategy Objective 6

“To protect and enhance the environment in Hertsmere by addressing local causes and impacts of pollution”
LDF Core Strategy Objective 7

“To protect and enhance local biodiversity”
LDF Core Strategy Objective 13

“To promote rural diversification and through the Watling Chase Greenways Strategy, sustainable access to the wider countryside”
LDF Core Strategy Objective 15

“Improve and sustain the quality of Hertsmere’s environment”
Strategic Objective, P.13, Community Strategy

“To conserve and enhance biodiversity, conservation management...should go beyond merely maintaining the existing landscape features and aim to enhance them through restoration and creation of habitats, together with a reduction in fragmentation by linking, buffering and expanding.”
P.18, Hertfordshire Biodiversity Action Plan

5.1 Ensuring that the environmental quality of the Borough is maintained and wherever possible enhanced must be a priority for the Hertsmere LDF. This reflects one of the five Strategic Objectives of the Community Strategy: To improve and sustain the quality of Hertsmere’s environment. Achieving this represents a key challenge given the significant housing and economic growth required by the East of England Plan for the Borough.

5.2 The Council readily acknowledges the need for new development, particularly where it meets requirements for local housing, jobs and community needs, but a balanced Core Strategy must include strategic policies relating to the protection of the natural and built environment. Public consultation undertaken over the past year, through the 2005 householder survey and subsequent LDF public consultation, has confirmed that the protection of the Green Belt and the need for environmentally responsible development are fundamental local priorities.

5.3 Strategic environmental issues can be considered under three broad headings:

- Protection and Enhancement of the Natural and Historic Environment
- The Environmental Impact of new development; and
- Efficient Use of Natural Resources
Protection and enhancement of the natural and historic environment

5.4 The Borough has numerous historic and natural assets which make a significant contribution to the quality of life and identity of the local area. A number of these assets are also major destinations for visitors from further afield, such as Aldenham Country Park, the sixth most popular visitor attraction in Hertfordshire.25

5.5 The diverse range of assets in the Borough includes both statutory, national designations and sites and areas of local importance:

- Green Belt
- Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI);
- Trees covered by Tree Preservation Orders
- Hedgerows;
- Local Nature Reserves, Wildlife and Protected Species Sites;
- Regionally Important Geological Sites
- Grades 1, 2 and 3a Agricultural Land
- Minerals reserves (sand and gravel)
- Historic Parks, Gardens and Battlefields;
- Watling Chase Community Forest ‘Gateway Sites’; and
- Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, Scheduled Ancient Monuments and archaeological remains.

Natural assets

5.6 Within the Green Belt, there is a need to maintain strict controls over the types of development which can be permitted. The types of uses permitted in the Green Belt are limited by central Government in PPG2 (Green Belts) to a limited range of ‘open land’ uses, in order to protect its openness and prevent urban sprawl or the merging of towns. These permitted uses extend to agriculture, forestry, essential facilities outdoor for sport and recreation, cemeteries and mineral extraction. Limited extensions to, or replacement of, existing homes and limited infilling of existing villages or identified ‘Major Developed Sites’ can also be permitted and there are presently 15 Major Developed Sites in Hertsmere. The number of these sites, together with their boundary ‘envelope’ for appropriate infilling, will be clarified in the Site Allocations DPD.

5.7 In the absence of any change in government policy, there is not considered to be any scope to review the range of uses permissible in the Green Belt. However, the Council will review and (where necessary) clarify the detailed criteria for considering the scale and extent of development in the Green Belt, in the Development Control Policies DPD. In addition, the Council proposes the creation of a one mile wide strategic gap designation within the Green Belt between Bushey and Watford. Much of Bushey remains physically separate from Watford and the importance of maintaining established settlement patterns and a gap between the two towns, given development pressures in both Watford and Bushey, is considered to be particularly pressing.

5.8 The Green Belt is an overarching designation within which various other levels of protection exist. Whilst the Borough’s two SSSIs (Redwell Wood and Castle Lime Works Quarry) and 600 Tree Preservation Orders covering several thousand trees receive statutory protection, 129 other locations have also received protection through their collective status as ‘Wildlife Sites’. The sites are set out in the Council’s Wildlife Sites Supplementary Planning Guidance and are designated because they contain species protected by law or include ancient Woodland and other important habitats. The list of Wildlife Sites will continue to be reviewed annually by the Herts and Middlesex Wildlife Trust in conjunction with the Hertfordshire Biological Records Centre and detailed criteria for the assessment of proposals affecting these sites will be sent out in the Development Control Policies DPP. In the past three years, a further 11 sites have been added:

25 Source: Hansard pt 8401, June 2006
Table 14. New Wildlife Sites ratified in Hertsmere 2003 - 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wroxham Fields Ponds</td>
<td>Potters Bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridgefoot House area</td>
<td>Potters Bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassland and Allotments by South Medburn Farm</td>
<td>Borehamwood / Shenley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrubbitts Wood</td>
<td>Radlett / Aldenham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkley Lane</td>
<td>Borehamwood / Shenley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saffron Green Pasture</td>
<td>Borehamwood / Shenley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paddock Road Pond</td>
<td>Bushey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkfield</td>
<td>Potters Bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paddock by Summerhouse Lane</td>
<td>Patchetts Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Heights</td>
<td>Borehamwood / Elstree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cow Banks Wood</td>
<td>Shenley</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.9 There are also three Regionally Important Geological Sites in the Borough, two immediately south east of Newberries Avenue, Radlett and a third between Shenley and South Mimms. 1,759 hectares of the countryside extending between Radlett and the A1(M) at South Mimms have previously been designated as Landscape Conservation Areas, along with 115 hectares of land to the south east of Elstree. However, recently revised government policy (PPS7) promotes the use of criteria-based policies, utilising tools such as landscape character assessment, ahead of rigid, blanket designations. Consequently, the Council will seek to develop an appropriate policy in the Development Control Policies DPD to guide any proposals, considered acceptable in principle in the Green Belt, in terms of landscape impact. In the interim period, following the adoption of the Core Strategy, the Council will continue to apply Policy D21 of the Local Plan (Design and Setting of Development) to ensure that any proposals considered acceptable retain and enhance landscape features.

5.10 The Borough also contains areas of high quality agricultural land, as well as important reserves of sand and gravel which have been identified by Hertfordshire County Council as ‘preferred areas’ for future mineral extraction in its Minerals Local Plan. The local extraction of sand and gravel will help ensure that fewer raw materials for construction in the Borough need to be sourced from other parts of the country or overseas. Ensuring that proper protection from new development on or close to these sites continues to be of paramount importance.

5.11 Protecting and enhancing these assets and ensuring their proper management involves partnership working between the Council, national agencies such as English Heritage, Natural England and the Environment Agency and many local environmental and voluntary organisations. In particular, the LDF can make a vital contribution to achieving the objectives of the Hertfordshire Biodiversity Action Plan, which was re-issued in 2006 and includes separate action plans to protect key habitats and species across the County. Developers should consult both the Hertfordshire Biodiversity Action Plan and the Wildlife Sites Supplementary Planning Guidance when considering how their proposals should protect and enhance biodiversity.

5.12 There will be a continued presumption against any development which has an adverse effect on any natural asset, whether that be species, landscape, agricultural or geologically related. Previously the Council has only permitted development on Wildlife Sites in exceptional circumstances where the reasons for the proposal are demonstrated to outweigh the need to protect the nature conservation value of a site. Recently revised government policy (PPS9, Biodiversity and Geological Conservation) endorses this principle advising that where no suitable alternative sites exist and adequate mitigation cannot be provided on the affected site, proposals should be refused. The Council supports this approach and will seek to identify detailed criteria in the Development Control Policies DPD against which proposals affecting Wildlife sites can be considered.
**Historic assets**

5.13 Although 80% of the Borough is designated as Green Belt, the character and distinctiveness of its towns and villages are critical local assets. Protecting the historic fabric of the local community is an integral part of the wider protection of the environment and the 2005 householder survey revealed the protection of listed buildings and conservation areas to be a top priority amongst local residents.

5.14 There are presently over 330 listed buildings or structures in the Borough together with 15 Conservation Areas, all of which receive additional protection through the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990. Building and structures which are either listed (Grade I, II or II*) or located in a Conservation Area are subject to additional statutory controls to control alterations and extensions. Separate consent is also required for the demolition of Listed Buildings or buildings in a Conservation Area.

5.15 The future of protected buildings is often best secured through the continuation of the original use but the Council recognises that heritage assets have to adapt to survive and remain financially viable. In doing so, the Council will seek to ensure that strict planning controls exist to prevent any unsympathetic and unnecessary alterations to buildings. The last of the Borough’s current Conservation Areas was designated in 2000 (The Royds, Potters Bar), but the Council will be commencing detailed character appraisals of each Conservation Area in 2007, as a means of informing individual planning decisions and community enhancement projects.

5.16 The Council is presently compiling a Borough-wide list of buildings of local architectural or historical interest. The list will comprise buildings of local historic, architectural, religious or civic interest which may not necessarily be of sufficient merit to be included on the Statutory List but which clearly merit additional local protection. Following an initial consultation exercise, a large number of nominations were received in 2006 from Parish and Town Councils, amenity societies and the general public. The final non-statutory list will ensure that these buildings receive similar levels of protection, wherever possible, to reflect the basis for their local listing.

5.17 Whereas listed buildings and conservations can and often need to adapt in order to survive, the Borough’s Archaeological remains are a finite resource for the whole community. The Borough’s four Scheduled Ancient Monuments are critical local assets which are statutorily required to be preserved in situ:

- A moated site at Bushey Hall Farm, Bushey;
- A moated site at Penne’s Place, off Butterfly Lane, Aldenham;
- A motte and bailey castle at South Mimms; and
- Romans remains on Netherwylde Farm, Aldenham.

5.18 A large number of other sites of archaeological interest exist in the Borough, based on the Sites and Monuments Records maintained by Hertfordshire County Council. Ensuring that they receive similar levels of protection, including a presumption against development which adversely affects these sites or their settings, is considered to be of paramount importance.

**Policy CS12 Protection and enhancement of the natural environment**

All development proposals must conserve and enhance the natural environment of the Borough, including biodiversity, landscape character and sites of ecological and geological value, in order to maintain and improve environmental quality. Development proposals should provide opportunities for habitat creation and enhancement. There will be a presumption against inappropriate development and other development which causes harm to the openness and appearance of the Green Belt, as defined in PPG2 (Green Belts) and in the case of the highest quality agricultural land (Grades 1, 2 and 3a) and Preferred Areas of mineral extraction, proposals will only be permitted where there is no likelihood of the land being sterilised. A strategic gap in the Green Belt between Bushey and
Watford will be maintained within which any limited development, deemed acceptable in the Green Belt, should serve to retain the separation between the two towns.

Policy CS13  Protection and enhancement of historic assets

All development proposals must conserve and enhance the historic environment of the Borough in order to maintain and improve local environmental quality. Development proposals should be sensitively designed to a high quality and not cause harm to identified, protected sites or locations of historic or archaeological value including Conservation Areas, Listed Buildings, Historic Parks and Gardens, Scheduled Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Remains.

Access to the countryside

5.19 The Council recognises that many natural and historic assets in the Borough are popular visitor destinations in their own rights, both for local residents and for those coming from further afield. Almost the entire Borough lies within the boundary of Watling Chase Community Forest whilst Wrotham Park, Wall Hall and Aldenham Country Park are nationally designated Historic Parks and Gardens (Grade 2 listed). Part of the historic battlefield of Barnet also lies within the Borough. Whilst the level of public access to these sites varies, they are likely to experience continuing development pressures over the next 15 years.

5.20 A series of ‘Gateway’ sites to the Watling Chase Community Forest are intended to provide principal, car-free points of entry to the Forest’s network of paths and routes. They also act as a focus for both information provision and visitor activities or attractions. Three of the four current Gateway Sites – Aldenham Country Park; The Willows (near St Albans) and Shenley Park – experience significant development and traffic pressure and it is important that these sites continue to maintain their rural character. All four sites (the other being at South Mimms Service Area) are located within the Green Belt.

5.21 Measures which facilitate safer and more secure car-free access to parks, open spaces and the countryside, including access via Gateway Sites, other countryside visitor locations and rights of way, will be actively promoted and sought. The Council recognises that measures are being sought by the Shenley Park Trust to improve public access through Shenley Park and provide improved visitor facilities. Since the late 1990s, Greenways have been promoted by the Council as a largely car-free network of improved routes for walkers, cyclists and horse riders, both within and between towns and into the countryside. Measures which support the development of this network will also be actively promoted and sought. Further details on Greenways are set out in Section 6 of this document.

5.22 Consultation on the LDF Issues and Options in early 2006 revealed no clear consensus on land use and diversification in the countryside, including the contrasting options of greater or fewer controls on the use of land and buildings in the countryside. The Council acknowledges the ongoing costs of maintaining rural visitor destinations, particularly where there is a financial onus on farmers and rural landowners to diversify owing to a decline in agricultural revenues. However, recognising that population and housing numbers are set to increase in the Borough over the next fifteen years, the Council wishes to ensure that there is some scope to enhance countryside sites and attractions for the wider local community. So long as this is consistent with Green Belt protection objectives, this can ensure the Core Strategy helps to deliver the facilities, activities and opportunities to engage in a healthy, active lifestyle as sought by the Council’s Community Strategy.
Policy CS14 Promoting recreational access to open spaces and the countryside

The Council will work with its partners and relevant agencies to safeguard, enhance and facilitate access to parks, open spaces and to the local countryside. Measures which secure the provision of safer and more secure car-free access including enhancements and additions to the rights of way/Greenways network, will be actively sought where they do not present a risk to the biodiversity value and intrinsic environmental quality of the locality. The provision or enhancement of visitor facilities in the countryside, including Watling Chase Community Forest Gateway Sites and Historic Parks and Gardens, will only be encouraged where this:

i) specifically enhances access for the local population

ii) does not harm the character, appearance and openness of the Green Belt, landscape and wider countryside;

iii) does not cause new road congestion;

iv) does not exacerbate existing road congestion; and

v) will ensure that biodiversity is protected and enhanced in accordance with Policy CS 12.

The environmental impact of new development

5.23 Accommodating a government target of 5,000 new homes, within the driest region in England, represents a major challenge. Although controls on development in Hertsmere cannot address global environmental problems, significant local environmental problems are a microcosm of the national and international problems faced by society. The Council wishes to ensure that, wherever possible, the required new development for the Borough until 2021 is genuinely sustainable, whilst at the same time ensuring that sensitive developments, such as housing and schools are protected from sources of pollution. This will require policies which enable a range of issues to be considered when assessing new development, including energy use, flood risk, water supply, air quality and contamination.

5.24 Hertsmere Borough Council has worked closely with Hertfordshire County Council on the preparation of the Hertfordshire Sustainable Development Guide, Building Futures which was published for consultation in July 2006. Building Futures provides clear and detailed guidance for a range of development types, together with best practice examples, on various measures of sustainability including:

- Water
- Energy
- Waste
- Efficient use of land, buildings and materials
- Air quality
- Noise
- Design

5.25 The use of Building Futures will be of great importance and the Council intends to formally approve it as a material planning consideration to help ensure it is carried through into practice by the development industry. Developers will normally be expected to fund environmental mitigation required for their proposals, including measures set out in Building Futures and where appropriate, their future environmental management.
Climate Change and Flood Risk

5.26 Climate change is already having a significant impact on the increased risk of flooding in some places. The Environment Agency publishes Flood Zone maps, which are updated regularly, showing areas at high (1 in 100 year), medium (1 in 1,000 year) and low (less than 1 in 1,000 year) risk of flooding. There are a number of identified areas in the Borough which fall within these zones and the Council will need to follow the Government’s required sequential approach, when considering new sites for development. On this basis, there must be a clear presumption against development in areas at highest risk of flooding and the Council will ensure that sites allocated for development include a full Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) as part of the required Sustainability Appraisal of the Site Allocations DPD. A Level 1 SFRA is being undertaken for the Core Strategy.

5.27 The Council recognises that new development can increase the risk of flooding by causing increased run-off or simply by impeding the flow or storage of flood water. Where necessary, appropriate alleviation measures will be sought, including investment in sewage discharge and treatment. In order to achieve a sustainable method of surface water discharge, the Council will seek the introduction of rainwater harvesting and ‘sustainable urban drainage systems’ (SUDS) wherever practicable. Building Futures contains a range of guidance on SUDS which may take the form of soakaways, permeable paving, lagoons, reed beds and retention ponds, depending on the nature of the development and the locality. Some SUDS also offer opportunities for environmental and landscape enhancement improving bio-diversity and local amenity. Even where SUDS alone cannot provide total surface water drainage solutions, they can be of benefit when used in conjunction with conventional piped systems.

Air Quality

5.28 The risk to public health from poor air quality, particularly close to major transport corridors and junctions, has led to the designation of six Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) in Hertsmere (as set out in Table 15). The AQMAs are sited in residential areas close to the M1, M25 and other busy main roads or major town centres. They have been designated where nitrogen dioxide levels exceed guidelines set by the Government and Hertsmere has an average of 36 days when air pollution levels are moderate or worse than the target (compared to a Hertfordshire average of 27 days).

5.29 Although much of the local air pollution is caused by motorway traffic, which remains outside of the control of either Hertsmere or the County Council, air quality is a key consideration in considering planning applications and associated traffic volumes. Consequently, it is important that the Core Strategy seeks to direct new development, which generates large number of journeys, to the most accessible locations. Addressing poor air quality also requires new development to incorporate energy efficient building techniques, as well as promoting alternatives to the car, to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and reduce pollution levels.

Table 15. Air Quality Management Areas in Hertsmere

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AQMA No.</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>23-27 Dove Lane and caravan site off A1000 Barnet Road, Potters Bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Charleston Paddocks, St Albans Road, South Mimms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>31-39 Blanche Lane, South Mimms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Grove Place / Winfield Caravan Site, Hartspring Lane, Aldenham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11 - 23 Watling Street, Elstree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>133 - 167 High Street, Potters Bar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Contaminated Land

5.30 The Council actively encourages the re-use of previously developed land and in recent years has ensured that 100% of new housebuilding has occurred on ‘brownfield’ land. The re-use of sites can involve land which has been contaminated by previous activity and it is important to ensure that any contamination is dealt with as part of the re-development process. The development of sites can mean that the land, in its new use, is designated as contaminated under Part 2A of the Environmental Protection Act 1990. Consequently, where development is proposed, the primary responsibility for ensuring that a development is safe and ‘suitable for use’ will rest with a developer, including the preparation of any remediation strategy.

Efficient use of natural resources

5.31 Making prudent use of natural resources is one of the Government’s four aims for sustainable development and is one of the key themes of the East of England Plan. It is also reflected in objective 5 of the Core Strategy.

5.32 The efficient use of natural resources, particularly the burning of fossil fuels, is one of the main means of reducing greenhouse gas emissions. The Government has committed to reduce carbon dioxide and greenhouse gas emissions by 12.5% below 1990 levels over the period 2008 - 2012 (the 1997 Kyoto Agreement). It has also sought to move towards the domestic goal of a 20% cut in carbon dioxide emissions below 1990 levels by 2010, with a long term target of a 60% cut by 2050. Work undertaken by the East of England Sustainable Development Round Table in 2001 sought to identify the capacity of the region to generate its own renewable energy, concluding that 17% of the region’s electricity could be produced by renewable sources by 2020. The figure increases to 44% when offshore wind is included.

5.33 It is therefore considered important for the LDF to contain policies which help to secure a more efficient use of natural resources. This can be considered under three main headings.

Sustainable Construction

5.34 It is particularly important that new developments make use of sustainable construction techniques and efficient use of resources wherever possible including the shared use of telecommunications sites and apparatus. The Council considers that for development to be considered genuinely sustainable, it must also demonstrate energy-efficiency, minimise the use of scarce resources and adopt sustainable construction principles. Measures which should be considered by developers are set out in both the Government’s Code for Sustainable Homes, as well as in Building Futures and include:

- installing water saving measures and devices;
- fitting and/or making future provision for installing on-site heating and power systems with low or zero carbon dioxide emissions;
- constructing buildings that are naturally ventilated, maximize natural light and capable of enduring higher day and night time temperatures without the need for air conditioning systems.

Energy Consumption

5.35 The promotion of renewable energy technology, subject to adequate mitigation of any adverse impacts, is supported by the Council. It is also reflected in draft East of England Plan which requires that larger developments (above 1,000 sq m or 50 new homes) provide at least 10% of their predicted energy requirements on site. The Council considers that it is preferable for carbon omissions to be reduced through sustainable design and construction, before requirements for on-site renewable energy generation are considered.

5.36 Larger, commercial renewable energy source developments, whilst broadly acceptable in principle, will need to be considered on their merits including their impact on designated and non-designated landscapes in the Green Belt. However, smaller-scale and community-based schemes are most likely to be permissible in the majority of locations and carbon neutral developments will be encouraged wherever they can be
practically achieved. The Council is presently working with Hertfordshire County Council and the other districts in the County to agree a set of consistent County-wide criteria for considering energy source developments. It is envisaged that the detailed criteria will be incorporated into the Development Control Policies DPD.

**Waste Generation**

5.37 Although the Council is not the waste planning authority for the area, it has a range of statutory responsibilities for waste collection and disposal. The Council wishes to ensure that waste is treated and disposed of in a sustainable and environmentally acceptable way, balancing the economic, social and environmental needs of the District. Construction and demolition waste also needs to be minimised with greater use of recycled building materials; the Council will increase the number of new developments which are required to make provision for construction demolition waste.

5.38 The move away from landfill disposal towards more sustainable means of dealing with waste arisings, may well require an increase and improvement in the number and range of facilities in the area. Whilst the identification and promotion of new sites will be undertaken by Hertfordshire County Council, the Council will promote the onsite management of waste wherever this can be satisfactorily be achieved.

5.39 New building design and layout can also contribute to effective waste management and all new development should make adequate provision for the storage, re-cycling and collection of waste during the construction phase and following occupation. Developers will also be expected to ensure that the production of construction waste is minimised and that use is made of re-cycled materials wherever possible.

**Policy CS15 Environmental impact of development**

The Council will work with key partners, including the Environment Agency and Natural England, to ensure that development proposals do not create an unacceptable level of risk to occupiers of a site, the local community and the wider environment. Development proposals should take account of the guidance set out in the Hertfordshire County Council guide ‘Building Futures’. Proposals will be required to incorporate sustainability principles, minimising their impact on the environment and ensuring prudent use of natural resources by:

(i) avoiding development in the floodplain unless flood prevention/mitigation measures are in place as required by the Environment Agency;

(ii) ensuring that developments larger than 1,000 sq m or 10 new homes include measures to offset at least 10% of total predicted carbon emissions*, subject to local environmental and amenity constraints, through a combination of sustainable design and construction and on-site renewable energy generation;

(iii) minimising water consumption through measures such as water saving devices and incorporating the use of Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS);

(iv) ensuring that pollutants are minimised (including emissions to air, water, soil, light and noise);

(v) remediating land affected by instability and contamination, and maintaining appropriate distance from establishments containing hazardous substances;

(vi) ensuring efficient use is made of natural resources through their layout, design and construction, including locally sourced materials where possible;

---

* 10% of carbon emissions as calculated against an equivalent development containing no sustainable design and construction or on-site renewable energy generation.
(vii) achieving reduced levels of energy consumption and the use of energy from renewable resources;

(viii) making provision for waste minimisation and recycling within the development; and

(ix) seeking to exceed the minimum standards as set out in The Code for Sustainable Homes within new residential developments.

Development proposals must demonstrate that they accord with Policy CS12 and that any adverse effects can be overcome by appropriate alleviation and mitigation, which are capable of being secured through planning conditions or an obligation in accordance with Policy CS19.

To facilitate waste minimisation and recycling, the Council will support the development of on-site recycling facilities and new sources of renewable energy generation where there is no adverse environmental impact on nearby communities.
6. Building Sustainable Communities

“Hertsmere Together will work towards promoting healthier communities and leisure and cultural opportunities”
Strategic Objective P.19, Community Strategy

“Encourage the development of youth facilities and activities... Improve the provision of sports pitches... Promote health improvement... Support the sports and arts infrastructure... Promote accessibility to green and open spaces”
Key Aims, P.26 – 32, Smile - A Cultural and Leisure Strategy for Hertsmere

“To promote safe, healthy and inclusive communities, respecting the diverse needs of the whole Borough”
LDF Core Strategy Objective 9

6.1 Ensuring quality of life for the local population requires proper consideration of the wider impacts of both existing and new development. Existing households generate a significant demand for education, healthcare, leisure and other local services and the construction of at least 5,000 new homes cannot be considered without the wider effects on the community being addressed. A higher number of new homes would have even greater infrastructure requirements.

6.2 Public participation in the LDF Issues and Options has revealed serious concerns about the impact of new housing on local communities and the ability of an area to absorb new development. The planning system has an important role to play in mitigating the impact of new development and policies are needed which can facilitate:

- the provision of land and buildings for community facilities;
- the provision of the necessary transport infrastructure;
- a mix of supporting uses on or close to larger development sites;
- the funding of costs associated with expanding local services;
- sustainable design and construction with limited impact on the local environment; and
- fair access to new services and buildings.

Development and local services

6.3 The Council believes that the creation of genuinely sustainable communities in Hertsmere requires access for residents to properly resourced, local services. Consequently, a key policy aim of the Core Strategy is to ensure local infrastructure and service providers’ needs are addressed when considering new development. In this respect, the LDF has a major role to play in delivering the spatial requirements of the stated priorities of key service providers in the Borough.

Policy CS16 Access to services

The Council will work with local service providers to facilitate their stated land use and buildings requirements through the identification of mixed-use and other development opportunities in the Site Allocations DPD. The Council will also require new development to contribute to the Community Strategy aim of ensuring fair access to services provided
through key community facilities and the wider goal of creating a safer and more sustainable environment. New proposals will be assessed against their impact on existing local infrastructure, services and resources and where necessary, new provision should be made as part of the development in order to meet or fund any infrastructure impact, having regard to the provisions of Policy CS19.

6.4 The Hertsmere Community Strategy has the strategic objective of promoting both healthier communities and leisure / cultural facilities. In helping to deliver this objective, ‘key community facilities’ are considered to include:

- Schools and colleges;
- Nurseries;
- Hospitals, doctors surgeries and dentists surgeries;
- Extended residential care and supported accommodation;
- Community, youth and children’s centres;
- Public libraries and community arts venues;
- Places of worship;
- Allotments and other urban open land
- Parks and sports clubs and pitches;
- Public leisure centres; and
- Public houses in rural villages

6.5 Key community facilities are recognised to be those facilities which are available for use by the local community. They also include privately owned buildings or land where there is a known local shortfall of such facilities. The Council is committed to promoting, providing or facilitating the provision of key community facilities and a clear presumption in favour of supporting such uses is considered to be of paramount importance. The promotion of key community facilities includes shared or dual uses.

6.6 There remains a continued presumption against any development which would lead to the loss of an existing facility and / or displacement to an inappropriate location or unsuitable buildings. Key community facilities rarely become permanently surplus to local requirements and there will always need to be an onus on developers – and where necessary, service providers – to demonstrate that a particular building or site is no longer required or could not be used by another community facility.

6.7 The provision of purpose built facilities will often present the best solution for healthcare, social service, education or other providers of a local service. However, ensuring quality of life and fair access to services, is largely dependent on providing facilities for the local population. This may require the conversion of existing building and in exceptional circumstances, the adaptation or redevelopment of buildings – including some residential properties - to provide certain healthcare and elderly care facilities will need to be supported by the Council.

Policy CS17 Key community facilities

Proposals for the provision or dual use of key community facilities, including educational, healthcare and recreational facilities, will be supported, subject to any environmental constraints and other relevant policies. Their loss, reduction or displacement will not be permitted unless it can be demonstrated that they are genuinely surplus and that any replacement accommodation is satisfactory for all of its users, having regard to the provisions of Policy CS19.
The conversion or redevelopment of residential properties for healthcare and elderly care will not be considered appropriate unless it can be demonstrated that there are no other suitable sites or buildings within the service provider catchment.

Providing a mix of uses

6.8 The promotion of mixed use development is a central tenet of government planning policy (PPS1). The Council recognises that proposals containing a mix of uses, which can compliment each other, are a prerequisite for creating sustainable communities. Consequently, where large brownfield development sites, including former industrial and business sites, come forward for redevelopment in the Borough over the next fifteen years, the creation of large, single-use developments may not always be appropriate. This would include the development of large housing estates with no supporting facilities.

6.9 Larger mixed use developments are most likely to be most appropriate in or close to Borehamwood and Potters Bar town centres, which benefit from a range of other complementary services and transport links. However, the development of mixed use schemes may represent a sustainable and efficient use of land in other locations and the Council wishes is to secure a mix of uses in all locations where local services, transport links and the established character of an area can accommodate such development. In securing mixed use developments, members of the Local Strategic Partnership and community groups, together with Parish and Town Councils, will have an important role to play in identifying local service and community needs.

Policy CS18  Securing mixed use development

Mixed-development will be sought on major development sites in Borehamwood and Potters Bar town centres and in any other locations capable of satisfactorily accommodating a range of uses. The ability of any site to accommodate a mix of uses will be assessed on:

i) the need for additional services and facilities in an area;

ii) the potential to create linkages with other nearby land uses;

iii) public transport accessibility and local road capacity; and

iv) the impact on the environment within and around the development site.

The Council will work with in partnership with local service providers, Parish and Town Councils and local community groups, in order to identify the need for additional services and facilities.

Funding sustainable communities

6.10 Concerns were expressed during public participation on the LDF Issues and Options over the impact of unmet costs arising from new development. For a number of years, Local Planning Authorities have used Section 106 planning agreements to secure financial contributions to fund new community facilities associated with new development. In Hertsmere, this has tended to be secured on a site-by-site basis with a focus on larger developments. An updated approach is considered to be necessary, if greater clarity and certainty is to be provided for developers and local service providers, which at the same time ensures that the cumulative impact of new development is addressed.
In order to achieve this, the Council's preferred option is to develop an index-linked standard charge on housebuilding schemes of less than 15 units, to be secured through a planning obligation under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act. A Section 106 standard charge would underpin the sustainable growth of local communities and ensure that the wider costs of housebuilding can be covered from the outset, within the parameters set by the government circular 05/05 on planning obligations. The amount payable would depend on the number of homes built but this would reduce the need for protracted Section 106 negotiations on residential schemes and enable contributions for community facilities to be pooled through a fixed formula. The basis of the standard charge and its application will be laid out in a new Planning Obligations Supplementary Planning Document. The Council also recognises the importance of ensuring that a standard charge is not set at an unreasonably high level leading to new sites becoming too costly to develop for housing. The tariff would be expected to cover costs associated with a range of items associated with the delivery of new housing, as set out in Table 16 below:

Table 16. Potential items to be incorporated into a future Section 106 standard charge for Hertsmere

| Highways and Transportation | • Public Transport improvements  
|                            | • On-site highway improvements  
|                            | • Community Transport (including both Dial-a Ride and voluntary car scheme)  
|                            | • Greenways (generally shared routes for cycling, equestrian and pedestrian use)  
|                            | • Parking improvements in the vicinity of the site  
| Recreation and Environment | • Recreation and Leisure (including sports, museums, allotments, Public Open space and Children’s Play Areas)  
|                            | • Watling Chase Community Forest  
|                            | • Town Centre Improvements (including Public Conveniences and Public Art)  
|                            | • Air Quality and Noise Monitoring  
|                            | • Recycling Facilities  
|                            | • Flood Management, Drainage and Water Engineering  
|                            | • Habitat creation  
| Education, Housing and Community | • Affordable Housing  
|                                | • Nursery, Primary, Secondary and Special Education Needs School Provision  
|                                | • Libraries  
|                                | • Youth and Childcare Provision  
|                                | • Fire hydrants  
|                                | • Healthcare Facilities  
|                                | • CCTV  
|                                | • Contribution to the Costs of Policing  
|                                | • Community Centres  

At the same time, traditional agreements under Section 106 of the Town and County Planning and related legislation would continue to be negotiated individually for larger developments and for securing Affordable Housing. They would also be used to address the impact of commercial developments where Section 106 and Section 278 (of the Highways Act) agreements are most likely to be appropriate on commercial schemes in excess of 500 sq m. A number of the items set out in Table 16 would continue to be applicable to commercial development under a Section 106 agreement and detailed guidance, currently set out in the Council’s Section 106 Procedural Note, will be clarified in the new Planning Obligations Supplementary Planning Document.
Policy CS19 Standard charge and other planning obligations

Provision for on and off-site facilities, services and improvements, for which a need is known to arise from new residential development, will be secured through:

(i) a standard charge on the approval of each new home, on sites of fewer than 15 units (gross), to be secured through a planning obligation Council under Section 106 of the Town and County Planning Act; and

(ii) the use of individually negotiated planning obligations entered into by the Council under Section 106 of the Town and County Planning Act, on sites of 15 or more units (gross).

The standard charge will be set at a suitable level to ensure that the development of new housing does not adversely affect existing facilities and services, having regard to the viability of developing land in the Borough.

The provision of Affordable Housing, together with on and off-site facilities, training, services and improvements necessitated by new commercial development, will be secured through planning conditions and obligations entered into by the Council and developers under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act and related or equivalent legislation.

Specific details on arrangements for securing developer contributions will be set out in the Planning Obligations Supplementary Planning Document.

Creating an accessible environment

6.13 At the heart of creating sustainable communities is the need to ensure that buildings and the wider public realm are physically accessible to all sections of the community. This is recognised in the government’s overarching guidance on the planning system in PPS1, requiring the planning system to address physical access to land and buildings for all members of the community. Groups with particular mobility requirements can include the elderly, people with babies and young children as well as those with a temporary or permanent physical disability.

6.14 Recent changes to the General Development Procedure Order have placed a requirement on developers to prepare ‘Design and Access Statements’ and the Council’s Planning and Design Guide provides advice on how can this be undertaken. Ensuring that buildings are accessible to the mobility impaired, including the future needs of occupiers or visitors, is considered to be of great importance in Hertsmere because of the increasing proportion of the local population who will be over 65 by 2021. A 24% increase in the proportion of the over 65 age group is predicted, including an additional 1,100 people over the age of 80.

6.15 Consequently, in addition to requirements emanating from the Disability Discrimination Act, the Housing Corporation’s Scheme Development Standards and Part M of the Building Regulations, the Council will seek to ensure that all new homes built over the next fifteen years incorporate the sixteen Joseph Rowntree Foundation standards for Lifetime Homes. Developers will also be expected to consult with the Council’s housing department to identify any current need for fully wheelchair accessible housing on all developments of more than 15 units.

6.16 The Council recognises that in certain exceptional circumstances, it may not be possible to meet all sixteen of the standards for Lifetime Homes. This may arise, for example, where the gradient of a site is particularly steep or where the conversion of an older building or a listed building is involved. Where higher density developments are considered acceptable by the Council, Lifetime Homes standards should be applicable and developers are urged to refer to the latest research on applying Lifetime Homes standards in higher density developments*.

* See Lifetime Homes - Living Well Together (Hobinteg Housing Association and Joseph Rountree Foundation, 2003)
Policy CS20 Physically accessible buildings

All new development should be designed to ensure that buildings and land within their curtilage are fully accessible to groups with special mobility requirements. Where practicably possible 100% of new residential units should be built to the Lifetime Homes Standards based on the Joseph Rowntree Foundation standards highlighted in the Council’s Planning and Design Guide Supplementary Planning Document. The proportion of wheelchair accessible homes on new residential redevelopments of 15 or more units will be considered on a site by site basis, having regard to current needs in the Borough.
7. Transport and Parking

“*To improve road safety and obtain the best use of the existing highways network through effective design, maintenance and management.*”
*Key environmental aim, Hertsmere Community Strategy, P.16*

“*To reduce the rate of traffic growth through integrated land use planning.*”
*Hertsmere Community Strategy, Environment Action Plan*

“*To raise levels of access by seeking development in locations not dependent on access by car and by requiring the provision of physically accessible transport interchanges and other buildings*”
*LDF Core Strategy Objective 8*

7.1 Congestion is a major local concern with a high number of vehicle movements across the Borough and even greater levels of through traffic, including major traffic flows to and from London. The reduction of traffic congestion has been identified as a key aspiration amongst the local population, standing out as a priority in the 2005 household survey – reflecting concerns over journey delays and the impact of congestion on air pollution and noise. Addressing year-on-year traffic growth in the Borough remains a priority and the Council is committed to supporting the improvement of public transport facilities across the Borough.

7.2 As more people come to own cars, the problem is likely to be exacerbated. High levels of car ownership, as well as the limited relationship between land-use and access to public transport, have contributed to high levels of traffic growth. The number of two-car households in Hertsmere is one-third higher than the national average and traffic growth in the Borough is projected to increase by 22% by 2021. Working with Hertfordshire County Council, the Local Highways Authority, Hertsmere Borough Council has a major role to play in ensuring development is consistent with the key Transport Objectives of the Hertfordshire Local Transport Plan (2006 – 2011). These include delivering the best use of the existing highway network, addressing air quality and safety impacts, and managing the growth of transport and travel volumes. The LDF has an important role to play in shaping transport patterns, both in terms of the location of new development and the attractiveness of alternative modes of travel.

The location of new development

7.3 Planning is the key tool through which the most sustainable patterns of land use can be achieved. This means that, in taking decisions on the location of developments which generate large number of vehicle journeys, factors such as proximity to other related land uses and whether a site is dependent on access by car should be considered. The siting of major developments can have a bearing on local traffic growth for many years to come and, wherever possible, employment, recreational and other uses with a wide catchment area will need to be located in areas with the best links to good public transport.

7.4 The Council acknowledges that there is no single solution to the problem of congestion. However, there is likely to be limited scope for major, trip generating commercial development outside of (1) the identified ‘Transport Development Areas’ and associated transport corridors in Borehamwood and Potters Bar (2) town centres identified in the retail hierarchy or (3) the designated Employment Area on Shenley Road, Borehamwood – unless new or improved public transport, pedestrian and cycle routes can be created or funded and the local environment can accommodate such development. Major trip generating developments are considered to be those creating over 2,500 sq m of new / additional office, retail or leisure floorspace, have a site area of one hectare or greater, or requiring more than 150 car parking spaces.
7.5 In considering the location of major new development proposals, the Council will also have regard to proposals in an approved or emerging Transportation Plan for an area or other related statutory documents, in particular the Hertfordshire Local Transport Plan and the Watling Chase Greenways Strategy. A number of urban transportation plans for different parts of the Borough are also currently under preparation.

7.6 Changing attitudes and behaviour are key to addressing traffic growth. For all types of trip-generating development, there are benefits to be gained from preparing and implementing Travel Plans, known as Green Travel Plans, School Travel Plans or Commuter Travel Plans. The active promotion of Travel Plans is seen as a key means of reducing car dependency and Hertsmere Borough Council, itself a major employer and generator of vehicle trips in the Borough, intends to adopt its own Travel Plan in 2008. An effective Travel Plan will include measures to increase travel choice and reduce dependency on the car such as, for example, implementing a car share scheme or offering discounted public transport for employees.

7.7 Travel Plans will be required for major trip generating commercial, education, healthcare and other major developments through the use of Section 106 legal agreements or planning conditions. Additional details on requirements for Travel Plans will be set out in the new Parking Supplementary Planning Document to be prepared, which will be prepared with regard to separate guidance published by Hertfordshire County Council on both Travel Plans and the wider transport aspects of new development (Roads in Hertfordshire, 2001).

**Policy CS21 Development and accessibility to services and employment**

The Council will work towards Hertfordshire County Council’s vision of providing a safe, efficient and affordable transport system that allows access for all to everyday facilities.

To obtain the best use of the existing highway network, major trip generating development should be focused principally on Transport Development Areas, Transport Corridors and town centres, as indicated on the Key Map. Major developments over 2,500 sq m will only be permitted where:

- i) it does not conflict with the Transport Objectives of the Hertfordshire Local Transport Plan (2006 – 2011) and associated Accessibility Strategy;
- ii) it is accompanied by a suitable Travel Plan, prepared in accordance with guidance set out in the Parking Supplementary Planning Document;
- iii) it is in accordance with Hertfordshire County Council guidance and relevant Local Plan / Development Plan Document policies relating to the operation of the Highways network and the achievement of vehicular and pedestrian safety; and
- iv) it contributes, where required, to the provision or funding of new or improved public transport, pedestrian and cycle routes.

**Parking standards**

7.8 Since 2001 government policy has required Local Planning Authorities to set an upper limit on the amount of off-street car parking for all uses. The Council recognises that excessive levels of off-street parking can encourage additional or unnecessary car use and inefficient use of land, in the same way that new road building can generate demand for additional journeys. However, facilitating fair and equitable access to a range of local services requires that an appropriate amount of off-street car parking is provided to reflect the different local needs which exist across the Borough.


Residential Parking

7.9 The Council's current minimum parking standards were adopted in 1999, prior to the publication of PPG13 which advocates a maximum limit on parking standards. They were also introduced prior to the publication of guidance on car parking in 2000 by Hertfordshire County Council (SPG 25) and the publication of separate guidance on the provision of residential off-street parking in PPG3 (Housing) which has subsequently been replaced by PPS3 (Housing). The Council recognises that there is now a need to review its residential parking standards, as well as introduce motorcycle and cycle parking standards.

7.10 The most recent government guidance in PPS3 (Housing) has proposed the local formulation of residential car parking standards, which are in part based on car ownership. It is expected that this will provide the Council with an opportunity to set residential parking standards which reflect local need and circumstance in the Borough.

7.11 The 2001 Census revealed that average car ownership in Hertsmere stood at 1.36 cars per household, above the figure for both the East of England region (1.27 cars per household) and England (1.11 cars per household). Subsequent research undertaken by Hertsmere Borough Council in 2006 suggested that this number has increased to 1.6 cars per household, indicating that average car ownership for one, two and three bed households remains below two cars per household.

Table 17. Average car ownership in Hertsmere by household size.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of bedrooms</th>
<th>Number of cars (2006)</th>
<th>Average cars /household</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5+</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Base: 418 responses. *Calculated from less than 20 responses – breakdown of ownership not shown. Figures may not tally due to rounding

7.12 On this basis, the Council's proposed option is for an upper limit of 2 car parking spaces per unit for smaller family homes (1, 2 and 3 bedroom) and 3 or 4 car parking spaces for larger units, on the basis that this is likely to meet off-street parking demand. The Council's Planning and Design Guide provides detailed guidance on the design and layout of off-street parking, emphasising that garages need to have an internal width of 3m to be considered as a parking space.

7.13 Actual levels of parking, up to and including the stated maximum, will depend on a range of other factors including proximity to public transport and services and actual on-street parking conditions. Parking levels can also be influenced by the availability of measures which encourage reduced dependency on the car such as the availability of car clubs or high quality cycle lanes and pedestrian links. It is likely to be appropriate and practical to seek a lower average number of spaces per unit where the location of the site can support such parking levels, particularly through the provision of communal parking. The Council's research on car ownership suggests that the development of 1, 2 and 3 bedroom units on sites in Borehamwood and Potters Bar town centre and in all locations with good accessibility to public transport, could reasonably have off-street communal parking of an average of no more than 1 to 1.5 spaces per unit. Given the range of influences on the level of required off-street parking, the overall consideration of new maximum parking standards is likely to be dependent on a range of factors including an assessment of...
the accessibility of a site. This assessment will include use of the latest Accessibility Zones which are based on proximity to a wide range of local shops and services, including public transport facilities.

7.14 A Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) will be prepared setting out detailed car and cycle parking standards within the parameters set by Policy CS22 below. An additional requirement, over and above the maximum allowance, will be required for mobility impaired car users.

**Non-residential parking**

7.15 The Council’s car parking standards for non-residential development were approved in 2003 as Supplementary Planning Guidance. The detailed standards are considered to be appropriate for Hertsmere and as maximum standards are consistent with the requirements of national government to set upper limits. The proposed approach is to retain these standards on the basis that they are still applicable, having regard to the latest Accessibility Zones for Hertsmere.

7.16 It is intended to incorporate these standards into the new Supplementary Planning Document without any significant change, albeit with scope for reduced levels of car parking to be provided within identified areas of higher accessibility. In particular, within the Transport Development Areas in the centre of Borehamwood and Potters Bar, there can be expected to be locations where lower demand and / or levels of car use exist.

**Policy CS22 Accessibility and parking**

*In order to facilitate fair access to local services, the quantity of off-street parking for all modes of transport, to be provided at new developments, will be based on an assessment of:*

i) a site’s location;

ii) local car ownership;

iii) the proposed land use (having regard to Table 18 for residential development);

iv) housing tenure

v) the potential for shared parking, over various times of the day and week, with other uses

vi) local on-street parking conditions and controls;

vii) highway and pedestrian safety considerations;

viii) incentives to reduce dependency on the car and the provisions of any Travel Plan submitted; and

ix) the Accessibility Zones for the Borough;

*together with the extent of compliance with requirements set out in the Parking Supplementary Planning Document.*
7.17 Reducing car dependency and managing traffic growth requires the active promotion of alternatives to the car, in addition to the implementation of policies on the location of new development and the availability of car parking. Such a ‘carrot and stick’ approach involves the promotion of public transport facilities, as well as the promotion of walking and cycling opportunities and enhancement of the wider rights of way network.

**Public transport**

7.18 Although the Council has little direct influence over the provision of rail and bus services, it continues to lobby for, support and fund improvements to services and facilities. Since April 2006, First Capital Connect have been operating the Thameslink and WAGN rail franchises which serve the three railways stations in the Borough – Potters Bar, Radlett and Elstree and Borehamwood. The new franchisee has announced its intention to carry out improvements at 70 stations across the region and the Council will want to ensure that investment is directed towards stations in the Borough and supported by the new planning policy framework for Hertsmere. Bushey station is on the Silverlink Metro route and is located in the neighbouring town of Oxhey, outside of the Borough.

7.19 At the same time, there remains scope to secure financial contributions – particularly from developers of major trip generating schemes - for a wide range of public transport improvements. This may include the creation of new bus routes or bus stops, as well as new or enhanced community transport initiatives such as the Hertsmere Shopper Scheme run by Hertsmere Community Voluntary Support. The revised Accessibility Zones for Hertsmere identify where there is presently limited public transport accessibility across the Borough, indicating where new routes and stops could be provided to make some development more acceptable.

**Non-motorised or ‘Greenways’ routes**

7.20 Since the late 1990s, the Council has actively promoted the development of a largely car-free network of routes known as Greenways. These routes for pedestrians, cyclists and horse riders are intended to run within and between urban destinations and into the countryside. The implementation of the Watling Chase Greenways Strategy (2002) has already seen the opening of several important Greenways routes, involving both new sections and enhancements to the existing rights of way network, as well as cycle routes adjacent to the public highway. Particularly notable implementations have included the second only ‘pegasus’ crossing in Hertfordshire (an equestrian road crossing) and an innovative crossing of the A41, using part of the motorway verge, which has never previously been done in the United Kingdom.

7.21 In implementing a network of routes in the Greenways Strategy, there has been a focus on improving links both within and between towns, as well as on improving access to the countryside. Almost the entire Borough lies within the boundary of Watling Chase Community Forest including large areas within the urban fringe between north London and the wider countryside. Continuing to promote opportunities to

### Table 18. Indicative parameters for Car Parking Standards SPD (residential standards)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of bedrooms (flats, bungalows and houses)</th>
<th>Maximum number of car parking spaces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5+</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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walk, cycle or ride in the countryside will help increase opportunities for recreation and improving access to the countryside, a key objective of the Community Forest and consistent with the Hertsmere Community Strategy aim of creating opportunities to engage in healthy, active lifestyles.

### Table 19. Key Greenways developed from 1999-2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Improved</th>
<th>Created</th>
<th>Length (metres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right of way</td>
<td>Merryhill, Bushey</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tylers Farm, Bushey</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blackhorse Lane, South Mimms</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brookside, South Mimms</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shenley bridleway 13, Shenley</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Packhorse Lane, Well End</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elstree aerodrome, Hogg Lane</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crab Lane &amp; Commonmeadow Lane, Aldenham</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saffron Green Greenway, Galley Lane, Arkley</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blackbirds Lane &amp; Aldenham bridleway 74, Kemp Row</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wash Lane, South Mimms</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hertsmere Park route</td>
<td>Allum Lane Spinney, Borehamwood</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brook Meadow, Haggerston &amp; Leeming Parks, Borehamwood</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parkfields, Borehamwood</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway</td>
<td>Blackhorse Lane, Shenley</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Swanland Road, South Mimms</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>16,602</strong> (10.3 miles)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.22 The promotion of Greenways as an increasingly important alternative to the car will require the continued identification of new links to the proposed Greenways network, as well as to existing or proposed public transport facilities. It may also involve the identification of new routes associated with new development opportunities and in the emerging transport plans for different areas within the Borough.

7.23 The Council considers that it is important to ensure that proposed routes are properly safeguarded, preventing development from occurring which could hinder or prevent routes from being developed in the future. The safeguarded routes will be identified on a separate proposals map for the Borough, prepared as part of the Site Allocations DPD.

7.24 The financing of improvements and development of routes can be achieved through planning conditions and Section 106 legal agreements. It is intended that guidance, presently set out in the Watling Chase Greenways Strategy, will be incorporated into an updated Supplementary Planning Document identifying new priority routes.
Policy CS23 Promoting alternatives to the car

The Council will support a wide range of measures to provide safer and more reliable alternatives to the car for accessing new development and other destinations across the Borough including:

i) improved public transport facilities;

ii) additional public transport routes and stops;

iii) enhanced and new non-motorised links within and between urban and rural areas, along or additional to the existing rights of way and highways network, which increase walking, cycling or riding opportunities; and

iv) The safeguarding of proposed non-motorised routes, where necessary, to prevent development occurring which would prevent their future implementation.

New developments will be assessed in terms of their accessibility by a range of transport modes and where appropriate, measures to promote alternatives to the car will need to be provided as part of a proposed scheme, having regard to the requirements of the Parking Supplementary Planning Document.
8. Town Centres and Shopping

“Thriving, vibrant and attractive town centres are fundamental to the sustainable development of the East of England and they will continue to be the focus for investment, environmental enhancement and regeneration.”

P.22, Draft East of England Plan

“To safeguard and enhance the role of the town and district centres in Hertsmere, steering commercial developments which attract a large number of people toward the most accessible locations”

LDF Core Strategy Objective 12

“Hertsmere Together will work towards promoting healthier communities and leisure and cultural opportunities”

Strategic Objective P.13, Community Strategy

“To reduce anti-social behaviour and alcohol-related disorder.”

Environment key aim P.15, Community Strategy

8.1 All Local Planning Authorities are required to plan positively for the growth of, and development in, existing town centres. The Spatial Vision for the Core Strategy reflects the differences of towns across the Borough meaning that shopping policies need to reflect the diverse requirements of Borehamwood, Bushey, Potters Bar and Radlett as well as the key service villages of Shenley and Elstree.

8.2 The separate roles of the main towns are reflected in a town centre hierarchy which seeks to ensure that any significant growth is proportionate to the size of that centre. The hierarchy is based on current total floorspace, derived from data from the Valuation Office Agency, as set out in Table 18. Historically, these centres have tended to be dominated by small, independent retailers rather than large national multiples, because of the proximity of larger shopping destinations such as the Harlequin Centre in Watford and Brent Cross in North London. Consequently, Policy E5 of the Secretary of State’s proposed changes to East of England Plan clarifies that major new retail development should be directed to larger centres outside of the Borough including Watford, St Albans and Hemel Hempstead with none of the Borough’s town centres identified as being of strategic importance for retail development and other complementary town centre uses.

Town centre strategy

8.3 ‘Health Checks’ are currently being undertaken as a tool for assessing the vitality and viability of local town centres, considering the diversity of town centre uses, as well as factors which attract people into the town centres. The findings of the health checks reflect established trading patterns, in that local centres do not compete directly with larger, out-of-Borough locations for higher order goods. It is considered unlikely that there will be a need for a significant increase in higher order or comparison retail floorspace to serve any of the main towns in the Borough and the Council recognises that the East of England Plan proposes to direct major new retail development to various out-of-borough locations. ‘Significant’ is defined at 2,500 sq m or more of new development, in accordance with national planning policy (PPS6, Planning for Town Centres).
Table 20. Town Centre Floorspace in Hertsmere, 2007 (in sq m)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Centre</th>
<th>Town Centre</th>
<th>Retail (A1)</th>
<th>Restaurant (A3)</th>
<th>Pubs &amp; Bars (A4)</th>
<th>Take-Away (A5)</th>
<th>Others (including A2 uses)</th>
<th>Vacant</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major Town Centre</td>
<td>Borehamwood</td>
<td>37,501</td>
<td>2,303</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>892</td>
<td>4,678</td>
<td>1,636</td>
<td>47,692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Centre</td>
<td>Potters Bar</td>
<td>10,810</td>
<td>1,408</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>1,225</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>14,192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Centre</td>
<td>Potters Bar</td>
<td>7,503</td>
<td>1,607</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>2,239</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>11,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Centre</td>
<td>Potters Bar High Street</td>
<td>2,421</td>
<td>758</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1,615</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>5,109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Centre</td>
<td>Bushey</td>
<td>2,804</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,549</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>6,048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Centre</td>
<td>Bushey Heath</td>
<td>6,886</td>
<td>1,514</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>2,082</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>11,638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Village Centre</td>
<td>Shenley (Andrew Close)</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>705</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: 1. The categories in the table are based on those set out in the Use Classes Order 1987 (as amended) which differentiates between retail and other town centre uses.

2. Tesco and Borehamwood included in Borehamwood figures; Costco not included in Bushey District Centre figures.

8.4 The main centres of Borehamwood and Darkes Lane, Potters Bar will continue to be the preferred location for any new retail, commercial or leisure development. Where significant comparison or convenience retail development is proposed, a need will have to be demonstrated and it should be proven that town centre sites have been considered before edge-of-centre and out-of-town sites, as required by PPS6 (Planning for Town Centres).

8.5 The primary objective for smaller, neighbourhood centres and local parades is to ensure that they can continue to provide essential services for their local catchment. This is consistent with the Community Strategy aim of ensuring fair access to local services. Many of these neighbourhood centres and parades are acknowledged to have high levels of occupancy, such as Manor Way in Borehamwood. However, unless there are controls in place, local shopping facilities will remain under threat from the conversion of premises to eating and drinking establishments, as well as the growth of large supermarkets and superstores, whose range of food and non-food goods continue to increase.

8.6 Should any need for additional supermarket(s) be demonstrated, the Council will also seek to ensure that proposals within Borehamwood, Potters Bar, Radlett and Bushey town centres are appropriate to the size and scale of the centre. Given the number and size of supermarkets in the Borough (in Borehamwood and Potters Bar) and nearby (Watford, London Colney, Stanmore and Edgware), there may be no further need for significant new food retailing floorspace.

**Policy CS24  Town centre strategy**

*Development within the designated town, district or neighbourhood centres of Borehamwood, Potters Bar, Bushey and Radlett will be permitted provided that it maintains their primary retail function and wider role as a focus for business, leisure, cultural and other appropriate town centre uses. Development within neighbourhood centres and local parades will be expected to retain a core of local shopping facilities and accommodate any new retail development, commensurate to their position within the*
town centre hierarchy. Proposals to create in excess of 2,500 sq m of new retail floorspace will be subject to the sequential test and should be focused on Borehamwood and Darkes Lane, Potters Bar town centres and will only be permitted where a clear quantitative need has been established and where it can be demonstrated that it would not harm any existing shopping centre.

The health of individual centres

**Borehamwood**

8.7 Despite the substantial amount of retail floorspace in Borehamwood town centre, the Tesco store and shopping park on Shenley Road account for approximately 60% of all shopping accommodation. The recent healthcheck of Borehamwood town centre revealed a lack of comparison retailers, a high number of take-aways, runs of vacant or charity shops and some shopper dissatisfaction about the current town centre environment. The arrival of brands such as Top Shop, Top Man, Body Shop and Dorothy Perkins to the shopping park off Shenley Road provide some grounds for optimism about the overall vitality of the town centre, although the shopping environment and streetscape along Shenley Road do not reflect this. Given these concerns and the location of Shenley Road at the heart of Borehamwood, the proposed approach for retail growth will be to focus all new retail activity within the existing floorspace along Shenley Road.

8.8 The designation of a primary frontage, where retail activity should be focused, will be the principal means of promoting Shenley Road within Borehamwood town centre. Outside of the primary frontages, a wider range of new uses would be permitted including A3 (dining-in restaurants), A4 (pubs and bars) and A5 uses (take-away), together with other appropriate town centre uses such as financial services, estate agents and employment agencies, leisure and healthcare. The detailed extent of the frontages, together with acceptable proportions of permitted uses, in all centres and parades will be set out in the forthcoming Site Allocations DPD (as with other centres in the Borough). In accordance with national policy, there will be a presumption against any new retail floorspace on the edge of or beyond Borehamwood town centre - unless the need can be demonstrated and there are no suitable sites or premises available for that particular retail format.

**Potters Bar**

8.9 Potters Bar continues to support two town centres although they perform distinctly different roles in terms of their range and quantity of shops and services. LDF public consultation undertaken in 2006 revealed some support for focusing shopping activity along Darkes Lane, reinforcing the view that Potters Bar High Street has a different function. Consultation also revealed concerns about the evening economy and the limited range of retail facilities available in Potters Bar.

8.10 Recent changes to the Use Class Order, with the creation of separate eating and drinking categories, has provided Local Planning Authorities with greater control over the quantity and type of non-retail uses permitted in town centres. The Council’s proposed option for Potters Bar is to promote a primary frontage within Darkes Lane, with an emphasis on retail uses, together with a secondary frontage along the entire length of the High Street where there can be an emphasis on specialist retail and dining-in restaurants within the new A3 Use Class, together with other local services and appropriate town centre uses. The number of A4 (pubs and bars) and A5 uses (take-away) would be strictly controlled and other acceptable uses along the High Street including financial services, estate agents and employment agencies, leisure and healthcare. In this way, the importance of Darkes Lane as a local shopping destination would be reinforced whilst there would be scope over time for the High Street to develop a broader mix of uses appropriate for this location.
**Bushey and Bushey Heath**

8.11 Bushey and Bushey Heath continue to serve day to day convenience shopping needs, together with a limited number of specialist shops. Their role has not changed significantly over the past 15 years and the latest surveys and healthcheck reveal low levels of vacancy, a modest amount of footfall and an attractive shopping environment. However, the low number of national multiples suggests that both centres contain businesses serving a very local population with few visitors from further afield. A principal concern, as with all town centres in the Borough, is to ensure that the number of eating and drinking establishments is kept to a level which protects the retail function of the centres and safeguards local amenities. The proposed option for achieving this for Bushey and Bushey Heath is to focus retail floorspace within new primary frontages and accommodate other town centre uses within secondary frontages, subject to appropriate limits on the number of pubs, bars and take-aways.

**Radlett**

8.12 Radlett is presently designated as a ‘district centre’ although there is some evidence that as a viable and attractive centre, it no longer only serves the immediate population of the town. Low numbers of vacancies and few short-term lets are apparent, along with a number of specialist retailers and an increasing number of restaurants. There is a need to ensure that these trends do not occur at the expense of local convenience retailing and so the designation of a primary frontage, enabling retail uses to be focused within part of the town centre, is proposed for Radlett. This would enable other uses to be controlled, in quantity and location, within a secondary frontage.

8.13 Given that the estimated town centre floorspace of Radlett, at 11,638 sq m, is similar to that of Potters Bar High Street, there is not considered to be justification for changing its position within the retail hierarchy as a district centre. The scale of any new retail floorspace within Radlett town centre will, therefore, need to reflect its position as a District Centre. Substantial increases in floorspace in Radlett, ahead of Borehamwood town centre and Darkes Lane, Potters Bar are unlikely to be appropriate, unless an overwhelming need in that location can be justified.

**Shenley**

8.14 The rapid growth of Shenley, through the residential redevelopment of the hospital in the 1990s, has resulted in an increase of over 200% in the size of the local population. There are presently no vacant units within the Andrew Close shopping parade which serves much of the local community.

8.15 Although the population of Shenley has grown significantly and now exceeds 5,000, the community has limited public transport accessibility (with three bus routes running at certain times of the day). It is unlikely that the local road infrastructure and on-street parking availability could support retail development which attracts significant numbers of visitors from further afield. The Shenley Parish Plan has not identified any particular local need for additional shopping facilities. However, the Plan’s aim of focusing any additional commercial development in the two main existing areas of commercial development (in and around Andrew Close and along London Road in the south east of the village) is reflected in the Core Strategy. Such development will need to be to a scale consistent with the overall hierarchy of settlements in the Borough and excludes development of the school reserve site on Porters Park Drive.

**Policy CS25 Strengthening town centres**

*The retail function and vitality of designated centres will be reinforced through the designation of primary and secondary shopping frontages in Borehamwood, Potters Bar, Bushey and Radlett. There will be a focus on (A1) retail uses within the primary frontages and scope for a wider range of activities in secondary frontages, with an emphasis on a mix of (A1) retail, (A2) financial and professional services and (A3) dining-in establishments. An appropriate proportion of other uses will also be permitted in*
secondary frontages, including (A4) pubs and bars and (A5) take away uses, together with other appropriate town centre uses open to visiting member of the public. Details of frontages and uses will be set out in the Site Allocations DPD.

Policy CS26  Retail and commercial development in Shenley

Small scale retail and commercial development in Shenley should be restricted to suitable sites within existing commercial areas. Locations will be identified in the Site Allocations DPD, based on those defined in the Shenley Parish Plan:

• along London Road in the south east of the village; and
• at Andrew Close and the nearby junction between London Road and Porters Park Drive (excluding the reserve school site).

Retail and commercial development in identified locations in Shenley should be of a size and scale which primarily serves the local community rather than drawing in large numbers of visitors from further afield.

The evening economy

8.16 In promoting the vitality and viability of the various town centres, a balanced approach must be taken with regard to the evening and night-time economy. There is a clear need to provide facilities for younger people, particularly in the evening, and the creation of primary and secondary frontages can enable appropriate numbers of eating, drinking and entertainment premises to be accommodated. At the same time, there is a need to plan for the whole community and ensure that central areas remain attractive to all ages and users. In doing so, planning policies must take account of and complement the Community Strategy objective of creating a safer environment - including the key aims of reducing anti-social behaviour and alcohol-related disorder, together with fear of crime levels.

8.17 The Crime, Disorder and Drugs Reduction Strategy for Hertsmere (CDDRS) 2005-2008 specifically seeks to build on the introduction of a pilot Alcohol Free Zone in Borehamwood and Potters Bar. Pubwatch schemes across the Borough have the key strategic priority of reducing alcohol-related disorder (Page 30, CDDRS) through:

• Targeting prolific and other priority offenders;
• Reducing supply of illegal drugs on our streets;
• Keeping young people safe and out of trouble; and
• Engaging communities in locality problem solving

8.18 In relation to locations where concentrations of drinking establishments and night clubs cause existing problems, there will be a strong presumption against further consents for such uses and encouragement will be given to introducing other suitable daytime and evening uses. The Council welcomes the recent changes to the Use Classes Order which allow for greater differentiation between different types of eating and drinking use classes. This will enable stricter controls to be introduced over the number and type of A3 (restaurants and cafés), A4 (pubs and bars) and A5 (take-away) establishments in primary frontages, as well as other evening uses such as night clubs, amusement arcades, betting shops and casinos. Where new A3, A4 and A5 consents are permitted across the Borough, funding will be sought (through planning obligations), in consultation with Hertfordshire Constabulary to secure measures sought in the CDDRS. This will include improved use of CCTV, improved lighting and improved signage for CCTV and Alcohol Free Zones.
8.19 Whilst there will be greater scope for A4 and A5 uses to locate within secondary frontages, there will be a general emphasis on A2 and A3 uses ahead of A4 and A5 premises. Detailed guidance on uses within the new primary and secondary frontages will be included in the Site Allocations DPD.

**Policy CS27 Safe and attractive evening economy**

The Council wishes to promote a range of uses in town centres which result in a balanced evening economy including entertainment and late night retailing as well as eating and drinking establishments. The quantity, type and location of A3, A4, A5 and other evening or late night uses will be controlled, having regard to other Local Plan and Development Plan Document policies, together with the aims and objectives of the Crime, Disorder and Drugs Reduction Strategy for Hertsmere.

Where new A3, A4 and A5 uses are permitted, financial contributions will be sought for related town centre improvements including additional CCTV, improved lighting and improved signage for CCTV and Alcohol Free Zones. In relation to those areas where concentrations of drinking establishments, night clubs or other evening or late night uses have caused existing anti-social problems, there will be a presumption against further consents for such uses.
9. Monitoring Framework

9.1 The Core Strategy is intended to be a flexible document, capable of responding to changing needs and circumstances, nationally, regionally and most importantly at the local level. Regular monitoring will assess the effectiveness of policies and whether the Spatial Vision and Strategy are being implemented as originally intended. Future Annual Monitoring Reports (AMR) prepared by the Council will need to indicate the extent to which Core Strategy (and other subsequently prepared DPD) policies are being achieved and whether targets are being met. For example, the implementation of Policies CP3 (Phasing of Housing Development), will be dependent on regular review to establish whether the number of homes permitted is significantly exceeding annualised housing requirements, potentially triggering a review of land allocation phasing.

9.2 The AMR will, therefore, consider the extent to whether revisions to the Core Strategy or other DPDs will be necessary or whether new DPDs are required. Other factors may also prompt the need for reviews or partial alterations, including reviews (or partial alterations) of the Regional Spatial Strategy.

9.3 Indicators have been developed to provide a consistent basis for monitoring the performance of the Core Strategy. A number of these have been derived from existing AMR indicators and wherever possible they have been chosen to be SMART i.e. Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic and Timely. Some indicators will also be dependent on external events but they are considered to provide the basis for identifying how the Core Strategy should be maintained or amended. The proposed Monitoring Framework is set out in more detail below in Table 21.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Relevant Core Strategy policies</th>
<th>Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Target(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographic</td>
<td></td>
<td>Core Output / Significant Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Core Output / Core Output / Local Output / Significant Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td></td>
<td>Core Output / Local Output / Significant Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Core Output / Core Output / Local Output / Significant Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Core Output / Core Output / Local Output / Significant Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Core Output / Core Output / Local Output / Significant Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Core Output / Core Output / Local Output / Significant Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Core Output / Core Output / Local Output / Significant Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Core Output / Core Output / Local Output / Significant Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Core Output / Core Output / Local Output / Significant Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Core Output / Core Output / Local Output / Significant Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Core Output / Core Output / Local Output / Significant Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Core Output / Core Output / Local Output / Significant Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Core Output / Core Output / Local Output / Significant Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Core Output / Core Output / Local Output / Significant Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Core Output / Core Output / Local Output / Significant Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td></td>
<td>Core Output / Core Output / Local Output / Significant Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Core Output / Core Output / Local Output / Significant Effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Ind Type</td>
<td>Target(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>Core Output / Significant Effects</td>
<td>For the majority of completed B-class floorspace to be in designated employment areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>Local Output</td>
<td>No net loss of locally significant employment sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>Local Output</td>
<td>For the % of approved floorspace in employment areas for permitted uses set out in Policy CS9 to remain at or in excess of the previous year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Land &amp; Environment</td>
<td>Core Output</td>
<td>Target to be developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Land &amp; Environment</td>
<td>Core Output / Significant Effects</td>
<td>No net loss in areas designated for their environmental value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Land &amp; Environment</td>
<td>Local Output / Significant Effects</td>
<td>No loss of designated green belt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Land &amp; Environment</td>
<td>Local Output / Significant Effects</td>
<td>No loss or damage to TPOd trees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Land &amp; Environment</td>
<td>Local Output / Significant Effects</td>
<td>To maintain an up-to-date Local List</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Land &amp; Environment</td>
<td>Local Output / Significant Effects</td>
<td>For no listed buildings to be identified as ‘at risk’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Land &amp; Environment</td>
<td>Local Output</td>
<td>For Conservation Area Consents for demolition only to be approved where there is an approved detailed scheme for its replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Land &amp; Environment</td>
<td>Core Output / Local Output / Significant Effects</td>
<td>For no permissions to be granted contrary to EA advice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Land &amp; Environment</td>
<td>Local Output</td>
<td>For 100% of major developments to incorporate measures to reduce carbon emissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Land &amp; Environment</td>
<td>Core Output / Significant Effects</td>
<td>To meet RSS target of 10% production from renewable sources by 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Sustainable Communities</td>
<td>Core Output / Significant Effects</td>
<td>For 100% of completed residential development to be within 30 mins public transport of key services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Ind Type</td>
<td>Target(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Sustainable Communities</td>
<td>Local Output</td>
<td>No net loss of identified community facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Sustainable Communities</td>
<td>Local Output</td>
<td>For 66% of major developments in Borehamwood and Potters Bar town centres to incorporate a mix of uses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Sustainable Communities</td>
<td>Local Output</td>
<td>No target set for contextual indicators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport &amp; Accessibility</td>
<td>Contextual</td>
<td>Average daily traffic counts on identified routes in Hertsmere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport &amp; Accessibility</td>
<td>Local Output</td>
<td>To provide at least 2 new Greenways or cycle routes per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport &amp; Accessibility</td>
<td>Core Output</td>
<td>For 100% of completed non-residential developments to comply with parking standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport &amp; Accessibility</td>
<td>Local Output</td>
<td>To achieve lower car parking provision in identified accessibility zones than elsewhere in the Borough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport &amp; Accessibility</td>
<td>Local Output</td>
<td>For 100% of approved major commercial developments to have a Green Travel Plan and incorporate cycling facilities (parking and showering / changing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Centres</td>
<td>Core Output</td>
<td>Target to be developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Centres</td>
<td>Local Output</td>
<td>For the % of retail floorspace in town centres to not fall below 2004/05 levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Centres</td>
<td>Local Output</td>
<td>For the proportion of units in A1 use for valid A1 permission (in identified primary frontages) to not fall below 60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Centres</td>
<td>Local Output</td>
<td>Target to be developed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Theme: Building Sustainable Communities

- **Core Output**
  - No net loss of identified community facilities
  - For 66% of major developments in Borehamwood and Potters Bar town centres to incorporate a mix of uses
  - No target set for contextual indicators

- **Contextual**
  - Average daily traffic counts on identified routes in Hertsmere

- **Local Output**
  - To provide at least 2 new Greenways or cycle routes per year
  - For 100% of completed non-residential developments to comply with parking standards
  - To achieve lower car parking provision in identified accessibility zones than elsewhere in the Borough
  - For 100% of approved major commercial developments to have a Green Travel Plan and incorporate cycling facilities (parking and showering / changing)

- **Core Output**
  - Target to be developed

### Theme: Transport & Accessibility

- **Contextual**
  - Average daily traffic counts on identified routes in Hertsmere

- **Local Output**
  - To provide at least 2 new Greenways or cycle routes per year
  - For 100% of completed non-residential developments to comply with parking standards
  - To achieve lower car parking provision in identified accessibility zones than elsewhere in the Borough
  - For 100% of approved major commercial developments to have a Green Travel Plan and incorporate cycling facilities (parking and showering / changing)

- **Core Output**
  - Target to be developed

### Theme: Town Centres

- **Core Output**
  - Target to be developed
  - For the % of retail floorspace in town centres to not fall below 2004/05 levels
  - For the proportion of units in A1 use for valid A1 permission (in identified primary frontages) to not fall below 60%

- **Local Output**
  - Target to be developed

- **Local Output**
  - For the % of retail floorspace in town centres to not fall below 2004/05 levels
  - For the proportion of units in A1 use for valid A1 permission (in identified primary frontages) to not fall below 60%
10. Responding to this Document

10.1 This Document has set out a range of policy options for the Council’s Core Strategy. The Council would like to know which policies you support or if not, whether you would advocate an alternative approach. A response form is enclosed which you are encouraged to use. In your response you are asked to state the paragraph number(s) and / or policy number to which you are responding. If you are raising any objections to the Core Strategy at this stage, you may wish to consider whether the Document meets the tests of soundness, as set out in Government Policy (PPS12), against which all DPDs are assessed. The tests for the Core Strategy are as follows:

**Procedural**

1. It has been prepared in accordance with the local development scheme;
2. It has been prepared in compliance with the Hertsmere Statement of Community Involvement;
3. The Core Strategy and its policies have been subjected to sustainability appraisal;

**Conformity**

4. It is a spatial plan which is consistent with national planning policy and in general conformity with the regional spatial strategy for the region and it has properly had regard to any other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or to adjoining areas;
5. It has had regard to the authority's community strategy;

**Coherence, consistency and effectiveness**

6. The Core Strategy is coherent and consistent within and between DPDs prepared by the authority and by neighbouring authorities, where cross boundary issues are relevant;
7. The Core Strategy represents the most appropriate in all the circumstances, having considered the relevant alternatives, and they are founded on a robust and credible evidence base;
8. There are clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring; and
9. The Core Strategy is reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances.

10.2 The Council cannot promise to take on board the views of all respondents, particularly where there is direct conflict with national or regional policy. However, it is important that you participate at this particular stage because changes to the planning system have resulted in Inspectors being able to make binding changes on the Council, following the public examination.

10.3 Please take the time to consider the contents of this document and the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal. Your responses should either be completed online at www.hertsmere.gov.uk, by email to core.strategy@hertsmere.gov.uk or should be returned to:

Policy and Transport team
Planning and Building Control Unit
Hertsmere Borough Council
Elstree Way
Borehamwood
Herts WD6 1WA
11. Glossary and Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Full Name</th>
<th>Definition / Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Affordable Housing</td>
<td>Social rented and intermediate housing, provided with an element of subsidy to specified eligible households whose needs are not met by the market. Affordable housing should meet the needs of eligible and include provision for the home to remain at an affordable price for future eligible households or, if these restrictions are lifted, for the subsidy to be recycled for alternative affordable housing provision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMR</td>
<td>Annual Monitoring Report</td>
<td>Report produced by all Local Authorities each year explaining progress against the Local Development Scheme (LDS) and against nationally and locally set indicators which examine how well planning policies are working.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>B-space</td>
<td>For the purposes of this Document, B-space employment comprises activities within Classes B1-B8 of the Use Classes Order, such as office, research and development, industrial and warehousing accommodation, along with related activities such as construction, waste disposal and vehicle repairs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCLG</td>
<td>Department for Communities and Local Government</td>
<td>Central Government department responsible for planning issues. Created in 2006, it took over many of the functions of the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister (ODPM).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPD</td>
<td>Development Plan Document</td>
<td>Development Plan Documents are part of the Local Development Framework (LDF) and contain the main policies for the development of an area. DPDs form part of the Development Plan, which is the main consideration when deciding planning applications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EERA</td>
<td>East of England Regional Assembly</td>
<td>The East of England Regional Assembly is a partnership of elected representatives from the 54 local authorities in the East of England region as well as a number of (non-elected) representatives from social, economic and environmental interests. EERA is responsible for producing the Regional Spatial Strategy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO-East</td>
<td>Government Office for the East of England</td>
<td>Government Offices are part of the Department for Communities and Local Government and help to co-ordinate the work of central Government departments at a regional level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Intermediate housing</td>
<td>Housing at prices and rents above those of social rent, but below market price or rents, and which meet the criteria for Affordable Housing in general. These can include shared equity products, other low cost homes for sale and intermediate rent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDD</td>
<td>Local Development Document</td>
<td>Any document that forms part of the Local Development Framework.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDF</td>
<td>Local Development Framework</td>
<td>Overarching term for all of the documents that are used in developing policies for the use of land and assessing applications for planning permission within a Local Authority.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDS</td>
<td>Local Development Scheme</td>
<td>Part of the Local Development Framework (LDF), the Local Development Scheme sets out which Local Development Documents (LDDs) are to be produced by the Local Authority and a timetable for achieving this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODPM</td>
<td>Office of the Deputy Prime Minister</td>
<td>Former central government department that was responsible for planning issues. Replaced in 2006 by the Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPG</td>
<td>Planning Policy Guidance (note)</td>
<td>Planning Policy Guidance notes set out national planning policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPS</td>
<td>Planning Policy Statement</td>
<td>Planning Policy Statements are the new way in which national planning policy is presented. There is an on-going process to replace all Planning Policy Guidance notes with Planning Policy Statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Full Name</td>
<td>Definition / Explanation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPG</td>
<td>Regional Planning Guidance</td>
<td>Regional Planning Guidance contains policies for the whole of a given region but does not form part of the Development Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSS</td>
<td>Regional Spatial Strategy</td>
<td>The Regional Spatial Strategy contains policies for the whole of a given region as well as setting targets for the building of new homes and the creation of jobs. Once it has been adopted, RSS14 for the East of England will form part of the Development Plan in Hertsmere.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Social rented housing</td>
<td>Rented housing owned and managed by local authorities and registered social landlords, for which guideline target rents are determined through the national rent regime. It may also include rented housing owned or managed by other persons and provided under equivalent rental arrangements to the above, as agreed with the local authority or with the Housing Corporation as a condition of grant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Sustainability Appraisal</td>
<td>Sustainability Appraisal is a way of testing the social, economic and environmental impact of a Local Development Document on the area in which it will be used. Sustainability Appraisal is used to meet the requirements of the Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA</td>
<td>Strategic Environmental Assessment</td>
<td>Strategic Environmental Assessment is the process used to meet the requirements of European Legislation, known as the SEA Directive, which requires the “assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCI</td>
<td>Statement of Community Involvement</td>
<td>Part of the Local Development Framework, the Statement of Community Involvement explains how the public will be involved in the production of Local Development Documents and in the assessment of significant planning applications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPD</td>
<td>Supplementary Planning Document</td>
<td>Supplementary Planning Documents form part of the Local Development Framework. They are not part of the Development Plan and cannot allocate land. However, they can be used to provide extra information to policies contained in Development Plan Documents.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 1

### Linkages between the Hertfordshire Local Transport Plan and Hertsmere Borough Council

Hertfordshire Local Transport Plan 2006/07 - 2010/11 (LTP2)

Key Aims and Objectives Relevant to Core Strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LTP Objective</th>
<th>Core Strategy Section</th>
<th>Key LTP Actions</th>
<th>Supporting text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>The Economy</td>
<td>To improve safety by reducing the number of collisions occurring as a result of the transport system.</td>
<td>Supporting text identifies traffic and parking as significant issues/problems in many Employment Areas. Policy CS9 aims to provide for satisfactory access and parking. Policy CS10 limits new office development exceeding 2,500 sq m to Elstree Way Corridor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### LTP Objective

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key LTP Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Congestion

**To obtain the best use of the existing network through effective design, maintenance and management.**

- Ensure that land use strategies are compatible with congestion management and minimisation.
- Review the Section 106 agreement procedures to ensure maximum and consistent benefits are negotiated for road improvements.
- Review parking policy guidance at county/district level.
- Continue to prioritise safety of pedestrians and transport users.
- Further develop school travel plan programme.
- Extend Business TravelWise links and influence the wider business sector.
- Continue to develop and broaden the county cycling strategy.
- Secure better bus infrastructure through S106 agreements.
- Improving accessibility and increase patronage to public services through more user-friendly public transport facilities.

**Objective 14 of the Core Strategy seeks to “secure efficient land use through well-designed development reflecting the size, pattern and character of settlements in Hertsmere”**.

- Identifies the most accessible locations as the priority location for additional housing, and directs development towards the Borough’s main towns in accordance with a settlement hierarchy. If land is required outside of existing towns, requires the most accessible site to be used.
- Sets limits on the phasing of development to ensure infrastructure is not overburdened.

**Policy CS21 identifies areas of increased public transport accessibility and restricts major trip generating developments to these areas. Also requires such developments to ensure safety, incorporate a travel plan and make appropriate contributions towards public transport an alternative modes.**

**Policy CS22 sets out five criteria which will be used in the assessment of parking provision on new developments**.

**Policy CS16 requires the impact of new developments on existing infrastructure to be assessed and, where necessary, provision to be made to meet any shortfall.**

**Policy CS10 limits new office development exceed 2,500 sq m to Elstree Way Corridor.**

### Transport and Parking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core Strategy Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overview</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**To develop an efficient, safe, cost-effective and enhanced transport system which is attractive, reliable, integrated and makes best use of resources.**

- Identify the most accessible locations as the priority location for additional housing, and directs development towards the Borough’s main towns in accordance with a settlement hierarchy. If land is required outside of existing towns, requires the most accessible site to be used.
- Sets limits on the phasing of development to ensure infrastructure is not overburdened.

**Policy CS21 identifies areas of increased public transport accessibility and restricts major trip generating developments to these areas. Also requires such developments to ensure safety, incorporate a travel plan and make appropriate contributions towards public transport an alternative modes.**

**Policy CS22 sets out five criteria which will be used in the assessment of parking provision on new developments.**

**Policy CS16 requires the impact of new developments on existing infrastructure to be assessed and, where necessary, provision to be made to meet any shortfall.**

**Policy CS10 limits new office development exceed 2,500 sq m to Elstree Way Corridor.**

**Supporting text identifies traffic and parking as significant issues/problems in many Employment Areas. Policy CS9 seeks protection for Locally Significant Employment Sites with, inter alia, satisfactory access and parking. Policy CS10 limits new office development exceed 2,500 sq m to Elstree Way Corridor.**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LTP Objective</th>
<th>Key LTP Actions</th>
<th>Core Strategy Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>Use of accessibility mapping in the locating of new facilities</td>
<td>Overview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Better use of Green Travel Plans</td>
<td>Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Objective 8 of the Core Strategy seeks to &quot;raise levels of access by seeking development in locations not dependent on access by car and by requiring the provision of accessible buildings&quot;.</td>
<td>Transport and Parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Policy CS21 identifies areas of increased public transport accessibility and restricts major trip generating developments to these areas. Also requires such developments to ensure safety, incorporate a travel plan and make appropriate contributions towards public transport alternative modes.</td>
<td>Delivering Sustainable Communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Policy CS24 confines major retail developments to Borehamwood and Darnes Lane, Potters Bar</td>
<td>Open Space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Policy CS16 seeks a mix of uses on major development sites to reduce the need to travel.</td>
<td>The Economy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aims to develop and improve car-free access to Watling Chase Community Forest gateway sites</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Policy CS10 restricts large, new office developments to Elstree Way, Borehamwood as this is considered the most sustainable location within Employment Areas for major office developments in excess of 2,500 sq m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Quality</td>
<td>School and business travel plans</td>
<td>Overview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased cycle network provision</td>
<td>Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased public transport provision</td>
<td>Transport and Parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Footway and footpath improvements</td>
<td>Town Centres and Shopping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Promotion of use of alternative fuels in vehicles e.g. LPG, electric, hybrid engines</td>
<td>Delivering Sustainable Communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pedestrianisation</td>
<td>Open Space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Objective 2 of the Core Strategy seeks, inter alia, to promote &quot;sustainable access to the wider countryside wherever possible&quot;.</td>
<td>The Economy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Policy CS21 requires Travel Plans for major trip-generating developments. Policy CS23 supports &quot;a range of measures&quot; to provide alternatives to the car including improved public transport routes and facilities, enhanced or new non-motorised routes and the preservation of existing non-motorised routes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Policy CS9 allows for the potential release of &quot;bad neighbour&quot; uses to less polluting classes of development (i.e. housing).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Quality of Life

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UTP Objective</th>
<th>Key UTP Actions</th>
<th>Core Strategy Section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To raise awareness and encourage use of more sustainable modes of transport through effective promotion, publicity, information and education.</td>
<td>To protect and enhance streetscapes and the built environment. Improve access to transport services and facilities. Reduce crime and the fear of crime. To improve health through transport initiatives. To reduce noise complaints relating to road traffic. To reduce CO2 emissions. To protect landscapes and biodiversity</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To reduce the need for the movement of people and goods through integrated land use planning, the promotion of sustainable distribution and the use of telecommunications.</td>
<td>See objectives 2, 5 &amp; 8 outlined above. Identifies the most accessible locations as the priority location for additional housing, and requires a settlement hierarchy to be followed. If land is required outside of existing towns, requires the most accessible site to be used. Policy CS23 supports &quot;a range of measures&quot; to provide alternatives to the car including improved public transport routes and facilities, enhanced or new non-motorised routes and the preservation of existing non-motorised routes.</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Policy CS18 seeks a mix of uses on major development sites to reduce the need to travel.</td>
<td>Aims to develop and improve car-free access to Watling Chase Community Forest gateway sites. Allows for the potential release of &quot;bad neighbour&quot; uses to less polluting classes of development (i.e. housing).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Strategic Objective 1: Create a safer environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Aim 1: To reduce crime: prioritising vehicle crime, burglary, domestic violence, race hate and crimes of local concern.</th>
<th>Housing</th>
<th>Transport and Parking</th>
<th>Town Centres and Shopping</th>
<th>Delivering Sustainable Communities</th>
<th>Open Space</th>
<th>The Economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1.3 Introduce ‘Secure by Design’ into car park developments. Test: Reduction of thefts from vehicles.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1.3 Introduce ‘Secure by Design’ into car park developments. Test: Reduction of thefts from vehicles.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.1.24 To work towards ‘Designing Out Crime’ in Potters Bar.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key Aim 2: To build community confidence and reduce fear of crime levels.**

| 1.4.12 Develop a range of environmental enhancements on housing estates for ‘Designing Out Crime’. Schemes already being developed in parks and open spaces. |

---

### Strategic Objective 2: Improve and sustain the quality of Hertsmere's environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Aim 1: To improve road safety and obtain the best use of existing highway network through effective design, maintenance and management.</th>
<th>Housing</th>
<th>Transport and Parking</th>
<th>Town Centres and Shopping</th>
<th>Delivering Sustainable Communities</th>
<th>Open Space</th>
<th>The Economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.2.4 To reduce the rate of traffic through integrated land use planning and support for alternative modes of transport to the private car. Test: % completed residential development within 30 mins of PT of key services, plus number of Green Travel Plans approved.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Hertsmere Borough Council - Core Strategy Preferred Options Report (November 2007)
<p>| Key Aim 3: To ensure neighbourhoods and public spaces are cleaner and greener, and communities take an active role in managing their own environment. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing</th>
<th>Transport and Parking</th>
<th>Town Centres and Shopping</th>
<th>Delivering Sustainable Communities</th>
<th>Open Space</th>
<th>The Economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.2.6 Identify and develop new Greenways routes in accordance with approved Strategy. Test: No./length of routes implemented (AMR local indicator).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Key Aim 4: To protect and enhance the natural and built environment. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing</th>
<th>Transport and Parking</th>
<th>Town Centres and Shopping</th>
<th>Delivering Sustainable Communities</th>
<th>Open Space</th>
<th>The Economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.4.1 To make efficient use of land and focus new development on previously developed sites. Test: BWR106, average density of new developments.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.2 To maintain the open character of the Green Belt, conserving areas of ecological importance. Test % designated as Green Belt, No. local wildlife sites.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.3 Provide a framework for the Borough’s sustainable development needs, meeting the targets in the preparation of the LDF. Test: BWR1200.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.4 To protect and improve the built heritage of the Borough. Test: Establishment of Local List, character assessment of CAs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.5 To protect and improve the natural heritage of the Borough. Test: TPOs - new and resurveyed, Wildlife sites with management plan.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3.4 Future environmental improvement projects to be identified across other spaces, neighbourhoods and public spaces with partners. No test as yet.

2.3.7 Establish Neighbourhood Teams to facilitate joint approach to environmental management issues at a local level including enforcement, environmental improvements and community engagement.
### Strategic Objective 3: Promote healthier communities and Leisure and cultural opportunities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Housing</th>
<th>Transport and Parking</th>
<th>Town Centres and Shopping</th>
<th>Delivering Sustainable Communities</th>
<th>Open Space</th>
<th>The Economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Aim:</strong> To improve opportunities for people to engage in a healthy, active lifestyle through the development of facilities, activities and cultural activities.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Increase the percentage of adults aged 45+ participating in at least 30 minutes moderate intensity sport and active recreation on three or more days a week. 2. Increase the number of 16 to 24 year olds participating in at least 30 minutes of moderate intensity sport and physical activity at least 3 times per week.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Strategic Objective 4: Encourage economic development, lifelong learning, employment and regeneration opportunities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Housing</th>
<th>Transport and Parking</th>
<th>Town Centres and Shopping</th>
<th>Delivering Sustainable Communities</th>
<th>Open Space</th>
<th>The Economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Aim 4:</strong> To support appropriate inward investment and infrastructure improvements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.4.2 Ensure that new developments provide for their infrastructure requirements through the negotiation of S106 contributions when granting planning permissions. Test Level of contributions negotiated.</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.4.4 Lobby infrastructure providers to ensure that there is appropriate investment in the Borough to meet local needs and future requirements. Test level of investment in the Borough.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Strategic Objective 5: Work towards meeting local housing needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Aim 1: To optimise the supply of affordable housing.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>5.1.1</strong> Work to ensure the latest evidence of housing needs is reflected in key policies and strategies, including the LDF and SPDs. To develop and adopt a SPD investigating (and where appropriate including) reduced thresholds for affordable housing provision. For the SPD to review the current rural exceptions policy. Investigate the possibility of introducing standard charge for smaller application. Test: BVPI 200 LDS milestones. Over 100 additional affordable homes through use of SPD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5.1.2</strong> Work towards addressing housing needs of gypsies and travellers. Test: Sites identified through LDF process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5.1.3</strong> Work sub-regionally to maximise investment in affordable housing including developing S106 good practice guide for developers and RSLs. Staff training for planning and housing. Joint approach to needs and market assessments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5.1.5</strong> Optimise affordable housing provision through the use of Council’s assets: Land, finance, and urban capacity study. 33 homes facilitated by disposal of land.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5.1.8</strong> Map special needs provision, needs and gaps. Gap analysis completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5.1.9</strong> Maximise the contribution of the private sector to affordable housing; empty dwellings, rent deposit/guarantee scheme, S106 contributions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Aim 2: To improve domestic energy efficiency and reduce fuel poverty.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>5.2.1</strong> Achieve annual improvement in domestic energy efficiency. Test: 500 homes improved.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Aims: Work towards meeting local housing needs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>5.5.1</strong> Increase the number of lifetime and wheelchair accessible homes developed. Test: Targets to be developed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5.5.7</strong> Improve percentage of private sector homes complying with Decent Homes Standards.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Policies</th>
<th>Designations</th>
<th>Major Developments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Core Strategy I&amp;O published in 2007..</td>
<td>1. UDP Policies since 1994 with interim amendments. Details on those being saved within LDS. Policies will be guided by London Plan.</td>
<td>1. There are no designations likely to affect Hertsmere as identified in UDP.</td>
<td>1. There is a recognised surplus (in the current UDP) of industrial / warehousing land and buildings. It is likely therefore that the majority of major developments will occur on vacant or not-fit industrial land. Enfield has had a number of major commercial developments in the form of business parks (to the east and south of borough) and it is likely that these will expand rather than new ones be developed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Some issues with housing requirements, which will in turn lead to major issues with school places, particularly secondary school level. Could impact indirectly on school places in Potters Bar.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Potential major development that could have an impact on Hertsmere is the closure of Chase Farm Hospital A&amp;E plus other services. This may lead to an increase in need for community type care in neighbouring towns such as Potters Bar community hospital.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. Tottenham Football Club have been trying to get permission for a major sports training ground at Bulls Cross (a Green Belt location with some Conservation Area and Wildlife issues near Junction 25 of M25). Hertsmere has set precedent by allowing a similar development by Arsenal FC.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## London Borough of Barnet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Policies</th>
<th>Designations</th>
<th>Major Developments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Hertsmere Borough Council - Core Strategy Preferred Options Report (November 2007)

### London Borough of Harrow (Core Strategy Issues and Options Reports (various) 2006/7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Policies</th>
<th>Designations</th>
<th>Major Developments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. There is an ageing and growing ethnic population with increasing need.</td>
<td>1. There are some policy options to encourage tourist activity to areas of cultural importance located in the north of the Borough, namely RAF Bentley Priory.</td>
<td>1. There are no designations for developments that will affect Hertsmere.</td>
<td>1. Proposals for significant new development at RAF Bentley Priory being considered through emerging SAD. Could have bearing on future of Green Belt between Stanmore and Bushey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. There are high vacancy rates in retail areas to the north of the Borough, but this may be due in part to their proximity to larger retail centres such as Watford.</td>
<td>2. Potential new sites of Nature Conservation Importance to the north of the Borough, including Watling Chase Community Forest environs.</td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Given the current threats associated with the future of hospitals there is the chance that Stanmore Hospital in the Green Belt to the north of the Borough, near Bushey will come under threat from development in the longer term.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. There are high levels of vehicle ownership with 42% in or out-commuting, resulting in a lot of through traffic across the main roads of the Borough.</td>
<td>3. UDP Area of Special Character is proposed to remain the same, this covers majority of Green Belt area to the north of the Borough.</td>
<td></td>
<td>3. Construction underway for residential development on ex BAE Systems site in Stanmore in Green Belt site identified in UDP.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

St Albans City and District Council (District Plan Review 1994)(I&O consultation May 2006) (Core Strategy Issues and Options, July 2007)

| Issues                                                                 | Policies                                                                 | Designations                                                                 | Major Developments                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Historic town centre with development and congestion constraints.   | 1. I&O policy options include a park and ride scheme to deal with developments and congestion in the city centre | 1. Five provisional proposals for identifying Major Development Sites in the Green Belt. 12 sites have been identified as potential designations as MDS in the GB, including Harperbury Hospital. Eight Areas of Search for future growth including land near London Colney. | 1. Series of developments and proposals in Green Belt near Hertsmere could cumulatively have major effect on northern half of Borough. Includes changes to residential from waste sites and farm holdings. These could also set a precedent in close proximity to Hertsmere towns and villages. Changes to Green Belt boundaries could set a precedent for developments in villages that would otherwise have been protected. |
| 2. Potential Green Belt alterations to accommodate increasing housing requirements. | 2. Green Belt reviews considered through I&O near London Colney which could, with Harperbury proposals, trigger coalescence with Radlett and Shenley. | 2. The UDP already designates land for the M25 widening scheme, within the confines of the existing M25 land. | 2. Potential by-pass schemes mostly around Harpenden but also includes schemes further south which could impact on Hertsmere in terms of vehicle movements and facilitating other Green Belt developments, including the rail freight proposal. |
|                                                                         |                                                                         |                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|                                                                         |                                                                         |                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|                                                                         |                                                                         |                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                |

3. The majority of land bordering Hertsmere is GB land. There are no other designations for development within the UDP that will affect Hertsmere. | 3. Harperbury Hospital and M25 widening will have the biggest impacts on Hertsmere. Proposals for Radlett Railfreight would have major bearing on Hertsmere; decision by St Albans deferred in November 2006. |
### Watford Borough Council (Core Strategy Issues and Options, December 2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Policies</th>
<th>Designations</th>
<th>Major Developments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Retail survey revealed that for Watford to fulfil its role as a regional centre it will need 30-35% additional floorspace by 2016.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Currently has a shortage of development sites for warehousing/industrial uses but has a surplus of large office stock.</td>
<td>1. Options include releasing land in areas unsuitable for modern business needs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Affordable housing is an increasing issue.</td>
<td>2. Option includes allotting sites where the requirement for affordable housing will be higher than the standard policy, i.e. 100%. Otherwise, option is to increase requirement level or reduce thresholds to capture smaller sites and request developer contributions for smaller sites.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Joint Urban Capacity Study revealed a capacity of over 7,000 homes, but the council considers this an unrealistic target. A review of sites found over 5,000.</td>
<td>3. Options include allotting sites to meet annual figures, but placing a moratorium on windfall sites.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The redevelopment of Charter Place conflicts with infrastructure capacity to cope with high numbers of visitors using the private car to get to, from and around the Town Centre.</td>
<td>4. Option for Designating land as Character Areas with higher density or open space requirements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Six areas where air quality is an issue.</td>
<td>5. Policies include requiring developer contributions to secure improvements to public transport provision and non-car modes of transport.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Concerns over lack of local facilities and services.</td>
<td>2. Option to designate neighbourhood areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Welwyn Hatfield District Council

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues</th>
<th>Policies</th>
<th>Designations</th>
<th>Major Developments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No LDF proposals yet published. Implications arising from increased housing growth put forward by in the Secretary of State’s proposed changes to the East of England Plan for Welwyn Hatfield. Consequently housing requirements may have to be met through building on the Green Belt. Brookmans Park and Little Heath (adjacent to Potters Bar) are unlikely to be locations for development with Welwyn Garden City and Hatfield identified as “Key Centres for Development and Changes”.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>No designations likely to have an affect on Hertsmere.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 4

Hertsmere LDF Sustainability Objectives

1. To improve educational achievement, training and opportunities for lifelong learning and employability
2. To ensure ready access to essential services and facilities for all residents
3. To improve the quality and affordability of housing
4. To reduce poverty and social exclusion and promote equality of opportunities
5. To reduce and prevent crime, fear of crime and anti-social behaviour
6. To improve population’s health and reduce inequalities both geographically and demographically
7. To make the most efficient use of previously land developed land and existing buildings before Greenfield sites
8. To reduce contamination and safeguard soil quality and quantity
9. To protect and enhance landscape character, historic buildings, archaeological sites and cultural features of importance to the community
10. To maintain and enhance the quality of countryside and landscape
11. To reduce dependence on private car and achieve modal shift to more sustainable transport modes
12. To protect and enhance wildlife and habitats which are important on an international, national and local scale
13. To improve the quality of surface and ground waters
14. To minimise water consumption
15. To minimise the risk of flooding taking account of climate change
16. To improve local air quality
17. To reduce greenhouse gas emissions
18. To minimise the need for energy, increase energy efficiency, and to increase the use of renewable energy
19. To reduce the generation of waste and encourage re-use and recycling of waste
20. To provide a prosperous, balanced and stable economy
21. To sustain and enhance the viability and vitality of town centres
## Appendix 5

*Local Area Agreement targets with land use implications*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Area Agreement Target (county-wide)</th>
<th>Land Use Implication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase the number of Pre-starts who establish a business from 373 to 453 by 2009.</td>
<td>Supply of small business accommodation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase the % of 5 to 16 year olds who travel to school using sustainable modes of transport, including 'active transport' (cycling, walking etc.) from 56.5% to 59% by 2009.</td>
<td>Location of new schools development. Travel Plans for existing schools.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce the % of people surveyed who consider vandalism, graffiti and other deliberate damage to property or vehicles to be a big or fairly big problem in their local area by 4% by 2009.</td>
<td>Secure by design measures for new development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce the % of people surveyed who consider people being drunk or rowdy in public spaces to be a big or fairly big problem in their local area by 4% by 2009.</td>
<td>Number of eating and drinking establishments. Growth of evening economy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce the disposal of non-biodegradable household waste to landfill through increasing recycling of non-biodegradable household waste across the county from 6.66% to 9.16% by 2009.</td>
<td>Provision of on and off-site recycling facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase the number of older people helped to live at home from 8,901 to 9,812 by 2009.</td>
<td>Provision of lifetime and wheelchair accessible homes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase the number of 16 to 24 year olds participating in at least 30 minutes of moderate intensity sport and physical activity at least 3 times per week.</td>
<td>Provision of garden / open space within new residential development. Provision of cycle routes. Supply of parks and recreational open spaces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To increase the % of adults aged 45+ by 2009 participating in at least 30 minutes moderate intensity sport and active recreation (including recreational walking and recreational cycling) on 3 or more days a week by 4%.</td>
<td>Provision of garden / open space within new residential development. Supply of parks and recreational open spaces.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>