Elstree Way Corridor Area Action Plan



Representations can be made using this form:

For office use only Reference No: 0 1039 /1 /001

Date received: 71/0

Please return to Hertsmere Borough Council by 5pm on 31st March 2014

By post:

Policy and Transport team, Planning and Building Control Unit,

Hertsmere Borough Council, Elstree Way, Borehamwood, Herts, WD6 1WA

By email:

elsteewaycorridor@hertsmere.gov.uk

This form has two parts:

Part A - Personal details (only needed once)

Part B - Your representation(s). Please complete a separate sheet for every representation you wish to make, remembering to insert your name or organisation's name.

Please read the guidance notes before completing this form.

PART A

	1. Personal details*	, "a 1	2. Agent details (if applicable)
Title			
First name			
Last name			
Job title (where relevant)			
Organisation (where relevant)		4112	
Address			
Post Code			(AH) (C) (AH
Telephone number			
Email address			

^{*}If an agent is appointed, please enter the person and/or organisation being represented in column 1 and complete all contact details in column 2

Please note that all representations received will be made publically available and cannot be treated as confidential.

D	_	r¢.	
		III II.	

Please use a separate sheet for each representation
Name or organisation:

For offic	e use	only	William.
Ref No:	The Part		
support:	1	1. 1	dell
object: omissior	nen y		14:30

3. To which part of the Area Action Plan does this representation relate?
Paragraph 4-11 Policy EWC4
Polyou pone (der tije Area Aption Plair is:
(1) Legally Compliant Yes No Do Nor Know
(2) Sound Yes No
If you have entered 'rio' to 4(2), please continue to Q5. In all other circumstances, please go to Q6. 5. Do you consider the Area Action Plan is unsound because it is not:
(1) Justified
(2) Effective
(3) Consistent with national policy Do NOT KNOW
6; Please give details of your answer to question 4 or 5 and why you have responded in this way.
Page 12 Paragraph 4:11 states that the area comprising the Guide Hut and Maxwell Park Community Centre has been RESERVED for a Primary School. Page 26 key to map indicates it has been ALLOCATED for a primary school. Policy WEC4 further states that Maxwell Park Community Centre will be reprovided for on Shenley Road along with the Library. Not only is this a poor choice of position for a school, due to the lack of access and egress to the site, it will mean that most of the regular weekly activities currently provided for by Maxwell Park Community Centre will be lost. Enquiries have already been made at 96 Shenley Road and we have been told that there is no way they can accommodate all of our groups or provide the required storage and parking for those who could find a time-slot.

(continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at the publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ACK AND WICKSAM	res at 50 Shenley Road needs	k Community Centre Guide Hut Site, and to provide
m west is a	wn crueria, which states	a site for redevelopment. The plan has already falle
community to	acilities. 96 Shenley Road is aire	ision for, or support, <u>improved and additional</u>
the Village Hi cannot also a	all, the Library, the Museum,th bsorb Maxwell Park Communit	Sunday School and Youth Connections shop, It
Centre and the	ne Guide Hut. The suggestion or mmunity use is also not feasible	f the proposed primary school having shared facility
is no-one wo	uld be allowed on-site during s	chool hours due to child protection requirements.
	The same and the s	
		(continue on a separate sheet if necessa
il Vote nep		lance, the you consider it necessary so
	No, I do not wish to	The state of the s
	participate at the oral	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral
	examination	examination
		examination
f you wish	to participate at the ora r	
f you wish consider		examination
f you what consider	to participate at the ora r	examination
f you wish consider	to participate at the ora r	examination
f you wish consider	to participate at the ora r	examination
f you wish consider	to participate at the ora r	examination
f you wish consider	to participate at the ora r	examination, please outline why
	The Access to the County of th	examination, please outline why
se note the	to participate at the oral to the to be necessary.	examination, please outline why

Elstree	Way	Corridor	Area	Action	Plan
---------	-----	----------	------	--------	------

For office use only

Representations can be made using this form:

Reference No: 0 1040/1/00) Date received: 3

Please return to Hertsmere Borough Council by 5pm on 31st March 2014

By post:

Policy and Transport team, Planning and Building Control Unit,

Hertsmere Borough Council, Elstree Way, Borehamwood, Herts, WD6 1WA

By email:

elsteewaycomidor@hertsmere.gov.uk

This form has two parts:

Part A - Personal details (only needed once)

Part B - Your representation(s). Please complete a separate sheet for every representation you wish to make, remembering to insert your name or organisation's name.

Please read the guidance notes before completing this form.

PART A

	1. Personal details*	2. Agent details (if applicable)
Title		
First name		
Last name		+ -
Job title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Post Code		
Telephone number		
Email address	*	

^{*}If an agent is appointed, please enter the person and/or organisation being represented in column 1 and complete all contact details in column 2

Please note that all representations received will be made publically available and cannot be treated as confidential.

Part B

Please use a separate sheet for each representation ...
Name or organisation:

For office use only Ref No. support Soleat omission.

Enconcine to ma Alexan	elion	Plân doest	his repres	entation re	late?		
Paragraph 4-11	ı	Policy	₩EW(C4			4
AND OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PART	ction	Plan la		a de la companione de l	adole Tota	T. D.	施
(1) Legally Compliant	es	V	No		72		-
(2) Sound Y	65		No	V			
If you have entered 'no' to 4(2), place to You consider the Area?	ese c	ontinue to Q5. n Plan is un	In all other	circumstan	ces, please not	go to C)6 .
(1) Justified		$\overline{}$					
(2) Effective						170	
(3) Consistent with national poli	icy		ton od	know			· ·
6 : Please give details of your	ansv	er to quest	on 4 or 5	and why y	ou have	7周进界	W

Page 12 Paragraph 4:11 states that the area comprising the Guide Hut and Maxwell Park Community Centre has been RESERVED

for a Primary School. Page 26 key to map indicates it has been ALLOCATED for a primary school. Policy WEC4 further states that

Maxwell Park Community Centre will be reprovided for on Shenley Road along with the Library. Not only is this a poor choice of

position for a school, due to the lack of access and egress to the site, it will mean that most of the regular weekly activities currently

provided for by Maxwell Park Community Centre will be lost. Enquiries have already been made at 96 Sheniey Road and we have

been told that there is no wey they can accommodate all of our groups or provide the required storage and parking for those who

could find a time-clot.

(continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note your representation should cover succincily all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at the publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Please set out what change(s) you consider paceasary to make the Area Action
Plan legally compilarit or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5
above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will
make the Area Action Plan legally compilent or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. The proposal to redevelop the Maxwell Park Community Centre Guide Hut Site, and to provide for its activities at 96 Shenley Road needs to be removed completely from the plan as a site for redevelopment. The plan has already falled to meet its own criteria, which states that all new development has to make provision for, or support, improved and additional community facilities. 96 Shenley Road is already replacing the Village Hall, the Library, the Museum, the Sunday School and Youth Connexions shop. It cannot also absorb Maxwell Park Community Centre and the Guide Hut. The suggestion of the proposed primary school having shared facilities for school/community use is also not feasible as no-one would be allowed on-site during school hours due to child protection requirements. The second secon sau Haya Andrews (continue on a separate sheet if necessary) Contraction of the Contraction o No. I do not wish to participate at the oral participate at the oral examination examination 9. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the exemination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary. (continue on a separate sheet if necessary) Please note the inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination. Signature: Date: 31 . 7 . 124

Secretary of State, please tick this box

If you wish to be informed of the date of the submission of the document to the

Eistree Way Corridor Area Action Plan

For office use only

Reference No: 0 (0 41 /4 00)

Representations can be made using this form:

Date received: 71

Please return to Hertsmere Borough Council by 5pm on 31st March 2014

By post:

Policy and Transport team, Planning and Building Control Unit,

Hertsmere Borough Council, Elstree Way, Borehamwood, Herts, WD6 1WA

By email:

elsteewaycomidor@hertsmere.gov.uk

This form has two parts:

Part A - Personal details (only needed once)

Part B - Your representation(s). Please complete a separate sheet for every representation you wish to make, remembering to insert your name or organisation's name.

Please read the guidance notes before completing this form.

PART A

	1. Personal details*	2. Agent details (if applicable)
Title		
First name		
Last name		
Job title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Post Code		
Telephone number		
Email address		

[&]quot;If an agent is appointed, please enter the person and/or organisation being represented in column 1 and complete all contact details in column 2

Please note that all representations received will be made publically available and cannot be treated as confidential.

 $\hat{x}^{(j)}$ = $\hat{x}_i = \hat{x}_i$ ac A in a second in

Part B Please use a separate sheet for each representation For office use only Ref No. Support object ownstion
Name or organisation:
3: To which part of the Area Action Plan does this representation relate? Paragraph 4- - - - - - - - - - - - -
4. Do you consider the Area Action Plants:
(1) Legally Compliant Yes No No
(2) Sound Yes No
If you have entered 'no' to 4(2), please continue to Q5. In all other circumstances, please go to Q6.
CODO you consider the Area Action Plan is unsound because it is not
(1) Justified
(2) Effective
(3) Consistent with national policy
Please give details of your answer to question 4 or 5 and why you have responded in this way.
Page 12 Paragraph 4:11. states that the area comprising the Guide Hut and Maxwell Park Community Centre has been RESERVED for a Primary School. Page 26 key to map indicates it has been ALLOCATED for a primary school. Policy WECA further states that Maxwell Park Community Centre will be reprovided for on Shenley Road along with the Library. Not only is this a poor choice of position for a school, due to the lack of access and agrees to the site, it will meen that most of the regular weekly activities currently provided for by Maxwell Park Community Centre will be lost. Enquiries have already been made at 96 Shenley Road and we have been told that there is no way they can accommodate all of our groups or provide the required storage and parking for those who could find a time-slot.

(continue on a separate sheet if necessary)

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at the publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Area Action Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. The proposal to redevelop the Maxwell Park Community Centre Guide Hut Site, and to provide for its activities at 96 Shenley Road needs to be removed completely from the plan as a site for redevelopment. The plan has already falled to meet its own criteria, which states that all new development has to make provision for, or support, improved and additional community facilities. 96 Shenley Road is already replacing the Village Hall, the Library, the Museum, the Sunday School and Youth Connexions shop, it cannot also absorb Maxwell Park Community Centre and the Guide Hut. The suggestion of the proposed primary school having shared facilities for school/community use is also not feasible as no-one would be allowed on-site during school hours due to child protection requirements. the statement of the st and the filter as to come in a large of the second (continue on a separate sheet if necessary) Or Physics and the condition of the examination? No. I do not wish to Yes, I wish to participate at the oral participate at the oral examination examination 9. If you wish to be recessary. (continue on a separate sheet if necessary) Please note the inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination. Signature: Date: % if you wish to be informed of the date of the submission of the document to the

7. Please set out what shange(s) you consider necessary to make the Area Action

Secretary of State, please tick this box

Nep 89



Objections to Elstree Way Corridor proposals

Dear Planning officer

I moved to Borehamwood and have lived here for many years with my wife and 3 children. I am dismayed at what is being proposed for Borehamwood. We have an inadequate road system and poor local GP services. Waiting times to see a GP are far too long.

Borehamwood

While all these proposals may comply with the law they are not well thought through and cannot be justified for the size of the town.

I attach the objections as I fully agree with them

01042/1

Yours sincerely income

na land there is some years to be as a fabrical for Bosebagon and the Bosebagon and the land to the land the land to the land the

or with the property of the second se

 $\label{eq:controller} \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{\hat{x}} \left[\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{\hat{x}} \cdot \mathbf{x} \right] + -\mathbf{\hat{x}} \left[\mathbf{\hat{x}} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{\hat{x}} \right] + \mathbf{\hat{x}}$

Objections to EWC PROPOSALS

Para 3.3b Policy EWC 1

No matter what work is carried out on existing road networks there will continue to be traffic congestion for most parts of the day. Our roads were built in the 1930s and will remain narrow and unfit for the 21st century. Getting out of the EWC in the morning is a nightmare with the option of going North on the A1 being the only route free of massive congestion.

Although near to public transport routes;

- · persuading residents to give up their cars is aspirational not a reality;
- the routes do not necessarily correspond with where people need to go or want to travel

Rail transport to and from the town leaves much to be desired and travel to and from the station adds considerably to the congestion, particularly in the morning and evening. In a recent survey First Capital Connect who run the rail service, in a recent Which Report, were rated 17th out of 19 companies for customer satisfaction. This clearly adds to the frustration of commuters living in the EWC. The lack of parking at the station is another bone of contention.

Stakeholders in transport matters are outside the planning authority control.

Solution: Before any development takes place, it is critical that the transport requirements need to be identified and plans set in place, with timescales to ensure that they proceed in parallel.

Para 4.11 & 4.12 Policy EWC 4 has read a proceed to be desired as

The site is not suitable for a primary school. It is too small, has poor access and has a busy main road separating the catchment area. The school grounds would encroach onto a valued green space against the SADM policy.

The activities that take place at present at Maxwell Community Centre & Guide HQ could not be accommodated within a school. To comply with safeguarding of children, people would not be allowed on school premises in term time, during school sessions or after school activities. Regular classes could not be run as there would be no guarantee of continuity. Parking, too, would be a problem. Shared use would not work for either the community or the school.

Solutions

- To build the new school on the Hertswood Academy site at Cowley Hill;
- To change 1FE schools into 2 FE schools and absorb the extra pupils that way.
 St Teresa's, Saffron Green, Kenilworth, Monksmead and St Nicholas are all 1FE.

It is noted that Herts County Council have not set aside any money to purchase sites for new schools.

Para 4.16 & 4.17 Policy EWC 4

96 Shenley Road does not and could not replace 7 current buildings. The Library, the Museum, Youth Connexions Shop, Village Hall, Church Sunday School and Guide HQ activities were all expected to move to 96 and help to pay the running costs. All these buildings provided important recreational and leisure activities for the Town.

restant to the description of the second



The Library and the Museum have moved into smaller accommodation at 96. Storage is a big problem for the Museum. It is hard to get to and cannot open when the Library is closed.

The main Hall at 96 is too small for some activities e.g. indoor bowls and table tennis, neither could their equipment be stored at 96. The main hall at 96 can be divided with a partition but it would require 2 guiet or silent activities to take place.

The activities at Maxwell cannot be accommodated at other community centres for many reasons, lack of parking, cost, availability and storage are all factors.

Loss of green space at Maxwell Park would be a travesty.

Solution; Build the school elsewhere and allow Maxwell and the Guide hut to remain

Para 6.23 policy EWC 8

There is not enough parking allowed for residents, visitors, friends, family, GPs, health visitors, district nurses, care workers social workers or deliverymen. Although we would want to encourage less cars and make more use of public transport that is an aspiration not a reality.

Solution: Make the car parking allocations realistic.

Para 1 Policy EWC 9

Isopad House and Hertsmere House were not in the original plan but were added after a planning application slipped through for a 12 storey building of 150 dwellings with 150 car park spaces. It breached the design conditions for the EWC of 5/6 storeys.

LOS SINGER SEED OF THE SEED OF

A 12-storey building is not a gateway to the Town but an affront to residents. Residents were not properly consulted about this.

Solution; Encourage the developers to go for their second back up option of change of use to an accommodation of 40 dwellings.

Production of the west building.

chapter of the other state area of the conditions of the condition

he should be said by for the programmer.

THE THE PARTY IS THE OLD



Rep 80

OBJECTIONS AND COMMENTS TO THE EWC PROPOSALS

Para 4.11 and Para 4.12 EWC page 12 "Education, Retail and Other Uses "

I am writing to object to the potential loss of the north east section of Maxwell Hillside Park.

This is wrong for a number of reasons:

- 1. It involves the loss of a community facility which goes against Policy CS18. The replacement of the Maxwell Community Centre by the new centre at Building 96 Shenley Road is not adequate as it is not like for like. There is no single large open space for dance classes. The Maxwell Community Centre is home to 18 organisations and clubs. This was a building donated in good faith to the local community. It has been suggested that the Centre could move into an existing school but this is impractical as many activities takes place during the day and having adults who are not CRB checked on a school site is prohibited.
- 2. The site itself is too small for establishment of a school according to the Local Development Plan Section 4 para 4.5, albeit this an idealised prescription, and the overspill will impinge into Maxwell Park itself. This is a high quality area of low fertility neutral grassland which is kept long on a linear axial belt running north to south amongst old specimen Oak Trees. This is high quality wildlife habitat with high visual appeal set amongst a generally low quality environment. This land is valuable grazing land for birds and fits in nicely with the adjacent woodland belt. Uncommon species such as Bullfinch, linnet and House Sparrow (all 3 are Red Data species and the 1st 2 are priority UK BAP species) still are still found in this local area. In the light of the proposed re-development of the back of Elstree studios and the loss of the scrubland and ruderal habitat, any further loss of this habitat will go against the Core Policy of the council CS12for the enhancement of the natural habitat.

Hertsmere School in Thrift Lane; site H6 in the SADMP DPD document is a good site for a new primary school. There is already a school on the site and the site has been identified as a possible re-development site for local housing. Instead it can be used for a new primary school which will go some way towards satisfying the need for school provision.

The document at no point discusses how sustainable the development will be. There is no method for measuring the effects of the development on air quality in the EWC. It is not the list of AQMS monitoring areas but the inevitable increased density in the town centre will mean poorer air quality.

Poor air quality means early deaths especially for those with existing respiratory health problems. This goes against the Core Srategy CS7 and CS9. The developers should be made to cover the costs of analysis. There is no point making a Sustainability Assessment if one cannot measure the actual changes.

There is no mention of SUDS or biodiversity enhancement in the EWC document although there is in the Sustainability Assessment. The SA just refers to the Core Stategy which just contains vague statements. There is no provision for permeable surfaces. The provision of flood prevention measures at present are not positive ones. In the example of isopad House as long as the 1 in a 100 year floodrisk probability was taken into account it was enough. SUDS should be a consideration in 100% of all applications according to the Sustainability targets.

A more positive approach would be to include biodiversity improvement potential at an early stage.

Biodiversity improvement does not have to be large scale.

Here are some suggestions:

- 1. The provision of gaps of hardstanding. That is instead of having solid hard structures in landscaping, have infilled soil. Instead of infilling the gaps with ornamental planting, leave it to develop it's own flora or plant it with native species with differing flower structure to suit a variety of invertebrates. Having bare soil encourages soil nesting invertebrates. Leaving such areas to develop their own flora is more economic.
- 2. Green or Brown roofs. This increases green space without taking ground level space and at the same time increasing biodiversity.
 - 3. Ponds or temporary waterbodies can act as soakaways.
 - 4. Swift nest boxes can be included as part of the built environment

http://www.swift-conservation.org/Nestboxes&Attraction.htm

5. The maximisation of planting and street trees will provide shade and absorbtion of air pollution.