## **Christine Whyte**

From:	Angela Passant
Sent:	28 August 2015 16:59
То:	Local Plan; Ann Darnell; Cllr Harvey Cohen
Cc:	
Subject:	Response to SADM 2nd consultation
Attachments:	Hertsmere Local Plan 2014 - final response.docx

Having spent some time viewing the latest consultation document and attached reports, I am responding to the latest round of consultation on the proposal to build dwellings on the former Sunnybank School site (H9) which is required by 14th September.

On 13th April 2014 a full and clear objection was sent by myself with 54 signatures of nearby residents. I am very disappointed to see that, despite a lengthy delay in the preparation and publication of the responses, some of the key objectives made by the residents in that document have been lost and not answered in the summary of objections document.

While I appreciate the size and complexity of this scheme, the responses we do have lack detail the residents remain uncertain and not re-assuranced that our objections have been treated with the understanding and respect that they deserve. We are discussing the major disruption of people's lives, not a paper exercise. I have attached our response for your information.

For example,

- Our objection No. 2.2. details our concerns re demolition and other heavy traffic/long low-loaders etc not answered by the Highway Authority since this is not the same as every day school traffic. It is obvious that no survey using large trailers and heavy lorries has been undertaken, since they will not be able to turn into Field View Road using the current width of the roads. The inadequate response has been cut and pasted on all such objections. One size does not fit all! **Response inadequate, superficial and does not answer the concerns raised.**
- No response has been made in regard to the compensation necessary for the considerable length of disruption, pollution in the atmosphere and other hazards that we, the residents, will be having to face for the suration of the project., which includes demolition of the old buildings. A proper response is required.
- Our objection No. 4 part of the basis on which this proposal was introduced to us was flawed in that there is no GP surgery nearby and bus stop too far away for many local residents to use this incorrect information remains in the new document and needs to be removed.

Even the very welcome response to retain 1.4 h of green space appears to be only temporary, pending another similar space being found. Since this is an unique place, there can be no similar alternatives identified and we need reassurance that our road will not have to be renamed 'House View Road'.

I shall be happy to meet with one of your representatives to discuss these matters further, as no doubt there will be a number of similar responses from disappointed residents.

Angela Passant