



**Site Allocations and Development Management (SADM)
Policies Plan: Consultation on proposed revised Open
Spaces section**

REPRESENTATION FORM

For office use
Reference No:
Date received:

Please use this form to make Representations and return it to Hertsmere Borough Council by 5pm on MONDAY 21 MARCH 2016

By email: local.plan@hertsmere.gov.uk

By post: Policy and Transport Team, Planning and Building Control, Hertsmere Borough Council, Civic Offices, Elstree Way, Borehamwood, Herts, WD6 1WA.

PLEASE READ THE GUIDANCE NOTES AT THE END BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM. THEY EXPLAIN THE TERMS USED AND WILL HELP YOU MAKE YOUR REPRESENTATION(S)

Representations must only relate to the revised Open Spaces section which is proposed to replace the Green Spaces section in the Submitted version of the Plan. This includes revisions to Policies SADM35 Major Green Space and SADM36 Local Green Space and the sites to which the revised policies are proposed to apply.

Details are available on the Council's website
<https://www.hertsmere.gov.uk/openspaceconsultation>
and at Deposit Points across the Borough.

THERE IS NO NEED TO REPEAT REPRESENTATIONS THAT YOU SUBMITTED AT PRE-SUBMISSION STAGE (AUGUST/SEPTEMBER 2015)

This form has three parts:

Part A – Personal details (only needed once)

Part B – Your representation (use a separate Part B for each representation you make).

Part C – What information you want to receive about future progress of SADM

Part A	1. Personal details*	2. Agent details (if applicable)
Title	Mr	
First name	Robert	
Last name	Dunkley	
Job title (if relevant)		
Organisation (if relevant)		
Address		
Post Code		
Telephone		
Email address		

*If an agent is appointed, please enter the person and/or organisation being represented in column 1 and complete all contact details in column 2.

Please note that all representations received will be made publicly available and cannot be treated as confidential.



Part B

IMPORTANT: Please use a separate Part B form for each representation

Name or organisation:

Robert Dunkley

□
For office use only

Ref No:

support:

object:

change:

3. To which part of the proposed revised section on Open Spaces does this representation relate?

Policy SADM35

Site (Specify)

BH007 – The Paddock, Elstree Road

Policy SADM36

Supporting text (Specify paragraph(s))

Matter 7 – Green Spaces, paras 7.7 & 7.13 and Revised Assessment Matrix

4a. In relation to the part of the revised Open Spaces section of the Plan you identified in 3, do you consider the Plan to be Legally compliant? (see Guidance Notes for what this means)

(Please tick which boxes apply)

Yes

No

No comment to make

4b. In relation to the part of the Plan you identified in 3, do you consider the Plan to be Sound? (see Guidance Notes for what this means)

Yes

No

No comment to make

If you have entered 'No' to 4(b), please continue to Q5. In all other circumstances, please go to Q6.

5. If you consider the revised Open Spaces section of the Plan to be unsound is this because it is not:

5(a) Positively prepared

(Please tick which box(es) apply)

5(b) Justified

5(c) Effective

5(d) Consistent with national policy

(see Guidance Notes for what these terms mean)

6. If you consider the revised Open Spaces section of the Plan to be unsound, please give details of why (having regard to the criteria you ticked at 5 above). Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to comment in support of the revised Open Spaces section of the Plan or wish to make any other comment related to this section, please also use this box.

(continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

1: The Paddock: assessment as having “cultural and heritage significance”

I have lived in Bushey Heath for 24 years and have taken an interest in the local history, especially as it relates to Belle Vue Lane (where I live). The information I found was that the unenclosed common land of the Heath lay to the south-east of Belle Vue Lane, whereas the Paddock is to the north-east (and therefore was not part of Bushey Heath).

The scoring in the Matrix, which is heavily weighted in favour of “cultural and heritage benefits” is therefore unsound.

2: The Matrix: arithmetic

The arithmetic of the Matrix is puzzling: on page 1, score 2 x weighting 5 = 10; but on page 2 and following, 2 x 5 = 8, and 1 x 5 = 4. This does not affect the score for The Paddock, but it reduces the scores for other sites, making The Paddock’s score look higher by comparison.

7. Please set out as precisely as possibly what change(s) to the revised Open Spaces section of the Plan you consider necessary to make the Plan sound (having regard to the criteria you ticked at 5 above).

You will need to say why this change will make the Plan sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

(continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

Remove BH007 (The Paddock) from the list of Local Green Spaces. Since its designation is unsound, its removal would make the plan sound.

Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and

supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity for further submissions based on the representation you are currently making. **After this current stage, further submissions will only be able to be made at the Inspector's request, based on the matters and issues he identifies for Examination.**

8. If your representation is seeking a modification/change to the revised Open Spaces section of the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the Examination (the Hearing)?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral Examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral Examination

9. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary.

(continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

Please note: the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination. Please note written representations carry the same weight as those made orally at a hearing.

Signature: R W Dunkley _____

Date: 16th March 2016

Part C (Only needed once)

Name (Print):

If you wish to be informed of the recommendations of the Inspector appointed by the Secretary of State to carry out the independent Examination of the Plan, please tick this box.

If you wish to be informed of the adoption of the Plan by Hertsmere Borough Council please tick this box.

If you no longer wish to receive communications from the Council on SADM please tick this box.